Writing for publication: Personal and editorial perspectives
Grant, MJ

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Title</strong></th>
<th>Writing for publication: Personal and editorial perspectives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Authors</strong></td>
<td>Grant, MJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type</strong></td>
<td>Conference or Workshop Item</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>URL</strong></td>
<td>This version is available at: <a href="http://usir.salford.ac.uk/18103/">http://usir.salford.ac.uk/18103/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Published Date</strong></td>
<td>2011</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

USIR is a digital collection of the research output of the University of Salford. Where copyright permits, full text material held in the repository is made freely available online and can be read, downloaded and copied for non-commercial private study or research purposes. Please check the manuscript for any further copyright restrictions.

For more information, including our policy and submission procedure, please contact the Repository Team at: usir@salford.ac.uk.
Writing for Publication: Personal & Editorial Perspectives

@MariaJGrant
Research Fellow, University of Salford, UK
Editor, Health Information & Libraries Journal
My Background

• 1987–1990, First job in a hospital library
• 1990-1993, BA (Hons) in Library and Information Science, University of Central England
• 1994-1995, Government Agencies/Departments: Health and Safety Executive and Employment Department
• 1995-1998, National Information Services: UK Clearing House on Health Outcomes and the Centre for Health Information Quality
• 1998-Present, University of Salford
Where is the University of Salford?

- North West of England
- 1.5 miles from Manchester city centre
- Manchester United Football Club
Me and Writing for Publication

- 1998, I contributed to my first journal paper
- 2003, I first began to contribute to the evidence base in the form of a commentary
- 2004, I put myself forward as a peer reviewer
- 2005, I published my first solo peer-review for a journal
- 2006, I was appointed as Review Editor
- 2009, I was appointed Editor-in-Chief
Structure of This Presentation

• Personal experiences of writing for publication
• Editorial experiences of writing for publication

Personal Experiences of Writing for Publication

• In 2006, an external speaker came to talk about ‘Getting Published’ at the University of Salford

• Lots of reasons for not writing
  – Lack confidence, motivation or momentum
  – Lack systems to encourage, develop and support novice writers

• What can we do to address these problem?

http://phil-race.co.uk/
What Did the Evidence Suggest?

Four options were identified to support writing activity within the university. They were:

– Writing courses run by experts
– Writing retreats to avoid distractions
– How to guides on writing for publication
– Writing groups
Option 1: Writing Courses

- Run by someone with experience in writing for publication
- Time limited option
- Unlikely to have central funding

http://phil-race.co.uk/
Option 2: Writing Retreats

• Taken away from every day distractions
• Able to focus purely on the task of writing
• How sustainable was this in the longer term?

Option 3: ‘How To’ Guides on Writing for Publication

• Step by step guides
• Practical advice
• Exercises

Option 4: Writing Groups

• With or without a facilitator
• Provide momentum
• ‘Provide a collegial and supportive environment between peers’


http://bit.ly/qKkVVX
Cross Disciplinary Writers’ Group

• Summer of 2006 an invitation was sent out
• No preconceptions about what the group might look like
• Knew that it was unlikely that there would be any centrally funded support
• The writers’ group would need to be a self supporting enterprise

http://bit.ly/qPSbnA
What Would the Group Look Like?

• At the first meeting we determined the group’s terms of reference
  – When we would meet
  – How long we would meet for
  – How regularly we would meet
  – Structure of meeting

Structure of Meetings

- Chairing and make notes of the meeting
- Provide updates on our writing activity
  - Student reports, emails, writing for newsletters
- Lead a writing activity
- Provide feedback on writing
- Set goals for what we would like to achieve before the next meeting
## Schedule of Meetings

### Writers' Group Schedule 2010 - 2011

Meetings are held on the first Thursday of every month, 12 noon - 1.30pm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Venue</th>
<th>Chair</th>
<th>Facilitator</th>
<th>Open Activity</th>
<th>Writing for Feedback</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>07-Oct</td>
<td>RG004</td>
<td>Farad</td>
<td>Stan</td>
<td>Planning your writing career</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04-Nov</td>
<td>Maxwell 921</td>
<td>Jaye</td>
<td>Frances Bell</td>
<td>Peer review: writing and responding</td>
<td>Sian Etherington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02-Dec</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>meeting cancelled due to snow</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06-Jan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>no meeting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03-Feb</td>
<td>RG003</td>
<td>Farad</td>
<td>Maria</td>
<td>Strategies for planning long term writing activity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03-Mar</td>
<td>N8258</td>
<td>Jaye</td>
<td>Cristina</td>
<td>Online writing strategies &amp; blogging</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07-Apr</td>
<td>N8160</td>
<td>Cristina</td>
<td>Wendy</td>
<td>Writing Sandwich</td>
<td>Maria J Grant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05-May</td>
<td>N8160</td>
<td>Stan</td>
<td>Jaye</td>
<td>Reading as part of writing</td>
<td>Sian Etherington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02-Jun</td>
<td>N8261</td>
<td>Leslie</td>
<td>Sian</td>
<td>No open activity but two writing for feedback</td>
<td>Cristina Costa AND Joye Mboadac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07-Jul</td>
<td>N8261</td>
<td>Maria</td>
<td>Ali</td>
<td>Review of year and planning</td>
<td>Janet Suckley</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Writing Activities

- What is a writing activity?
  - Exercise or discussion about any topic relating to writing
- Where do the ideas for writing activities come from?
  - Reading about writing
- What were the writing activities?
  - Getting started
  - Mining projects for papers
  - Negotiating authorship
  - Nutshelling
Writing Activities 1: Negotiating Authorship

• Different disciplines have different standards
  – Who is an author?
  – How do you wish to be cited?
  – How do you decide on the sequence of authors?
  – What strategies can be adopted to avoid disputes?

Negotiating Authorship – Question Sheet

Attribution can become a contentious issue when more than one person is involved in a research project, the writing of a research report or a journal paper.

The following five questions are posed to identify interpretations and alternative practices which group members may find helpful in negotiating authorship:

• What does authorship mean?
• Who is an author?
• How do you wish to be cited?
• How do you decide on the sequence of authors?
• What strategies can be adopted to avoid disputes?
Writing Activities 2: Nutshelling

• Defining our topic in less than 200 word
• A technique we were using with our students but not applying to our own practice

Providing Writing for Feedback

- Develop a protocol for providing feedback
  - Responding to the ideas
  - Adopt a solution-orientated approach
  - Be specific
  - Be constructive
Setting Writing Goals for the Next Meeting

- To maintain the momentum
- When we are actively thinking about our writing
- Making a public commitment to writing

http://bit.ly/mZCQnS
What Purpose Does the Writing Group Serve?

- Five years later we are still meeting
- Rather than ‘finding’ time for writing activity, we actively schedule time
- Engaging with a range of writing related activities has broadened our writing horizons
  - Identify opportunities for adapting our projects for different audiences
  - Levels of output and acceptance rates have increased

Outputs From the Writers’ Group

- Books and book chapters
- Conferences
  - Oral presentations
  - Poster presentations
- Journal articles
  - Non-peer reviewed articles
  - Peer-reviewed articles
- Reports
  - Internally funded
  - Externally funded
- Others
  - Editorship
  - Peer reviewing
  - Writing for publication workshops
Editorial Experiences of Writing for Publication

- Invitation to write
- Peer review of other people's writing
- Commissioning of manuscripts
- Editing manuscripts
  - Advising author/s
  - Synthesising referees comments
Health Information and Libraries Journal

• Established in 1984
• Official journal of the Health Libraries Group of the Chartered Institute of Library and Information Professionals
• Jointly owned by the Health Libraries Group and Wiley-Blackwell Publishing
• Published quarterly

Key Milestones

• 2001 - Introduction of Regular Features
• 2006 – Accepted for inclusion in the Journal Citation Report (Impact Factor)
• 2007 - Introduction of Reviews
• 2008 - 25th Anniversary issue
• 2009 - Impact Factor
• 2010 - Virtual Issues

A Typical Issue of the Health Information and Libraries Journal

- Editorial
- A review article
- Original articles
- Regular features
- Obituaries

Review Articles

- Introduced in March 2007
- Different types of review require different approaches
  - Literature review vs systematic review
  - State-of-the-art
  - Overview
- Speak with Review Editor before starting work on a review
Original Articles

- 6-8 original articles published in each issue
  - Educating and training health library and information workers
  - Identifying health information needs and use
  - Information technology and its application in healthcare settings
  - Managing health information programmes and services in the changing environment
  - Outreach to healthcare providers as users of health information services
Regular Features

• International Perspectives and Initiatives
• Learning and Teaching in Action
• Using Evidence in Practice

• Content is usually commissioned by the feature editor
Manuscript Types Submitted, June 2010 - May 2011*

* 155 manuscripts handled by the editorial office, representing 68 unique submissions and subsequent revisions.
Manuscripts Submitted by Geographic Area
June 2010 to May 2011

- UK: 28%
- Europe: 22%
- North America: 15%
- Central Eurasia: 9%
- East Asia: 7%
- South Asia: 6%
- Australasia: 9%
- South Africa: 3%
- South America: 1%
A Typical Manuscript

• Submitted online via ScholarOne Manuscripts (S1M)
• First assessment by the editorial team
• Assignment to at least 2 referees
  – If there is a consensus a decision is recorded
  – If there is a disagreement a further referee is invited
• Usually 2 revisions before a final decision is made
  – Not unusual for 3 or 4 revisions to be required
Contact with Editorial Teams

• Is the manuscript within scope?
• Usually invite to submit a structured abstract
  – Determine whether the manuscript is likely to fit within the journals aims and scope
• Will still need to be peer reviewed
  – To determine quality of the methodology and the reporting
Challenges When Writing for Publication

@MariaJGrant
Editor