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Summary

We study the steady, laminar thermal convection flow in a participating, absorbing-emitting fluid-saturated porous medium occupying a cylindrical annulus with significant thermal radiation effects as a simulation of a solar energy absorber system. The dimensionless incompressible, viscous conservation equations for mass, axial momentum, radial momentum, heat conservation and radiative transfer equation are presented with appropriate boundary conditions in an axisymmetric (X, R) coordinate system. The Traugott P1-Differential radiative transfer model is used which reduces the general integro-differential equation for radiation heat transfer to a partial differential equation. The Darcy-Forchheimer isotropic porous medium drag force model is employed to simulate resistance effects of the solar porous medium with constant permeability in both the radial (R) and axial (X) direction. A numerical finite difference (FTCS) scheme is used to compute the velocity (U,V), temperature (Θ) and dimensionless zero moment of intensity (I₀) distributions for the effects of conduction-radiation parameter (N), Darcy parameter (Da), Forchheimer parameter (Fs), Rayleigh buoyancy number (Ra), aspect ratio (A) and Prandtl number (Pr). The computations have shown that increasing aspect ratio increases both axial and radial velocities and elevates the radiative moment of intensity. Increasing Darcy number accelerates both axial and radial flow whereas increasing Forchheimer number decelerates the axial and radial flow. Higher values of optical thickness induce a weak deceleration in the radial flow whereas they increase both axial flow velocity and temperature. Increasing optical thickness also reduces radial radiative moment of intensity at intermediate axial coordinate values but enhances them at low and high axial coordinate values. Extensive validation is conducted with the network thermo-electric simulation program RAD-SPICE. The model finds important applications in solar energy porous wafer absorber systems, crystal growth technologies and also chemical engineering thermal technologies.
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### Nomenclature

#### Roman

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$a$</td>
<td>Darcy parameter in Darcy-Forchheimer drag force model ($= \mu/K$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A$</td>
<td>aspect ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$b$</td>
<td>Forchheimer form drag (second order) parameter in Darcy-Forchheimer drag force model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D$</td>
<td>annular gap radius ($= r_o - r_i$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Da$</td>
<td>Darcy number (dimensionless permeability parameter)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_s$</td>
<td>Forchheimer inertial number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G$</td>
<td>incident radiation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H$</td>
<td>height of cylindrical annulus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$g$</td>
<td>acceleration due to gravity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_o$</td>
<td>dimensionless zero moment of intensity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$i_o$</td>
<td>zero moment of radiation intensity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K$</td>
<td>permeability of saturated porous medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k$</td>
<td>thermal conductivity of saturated porous medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N$</td>
<td>conduction: radiation heat transfer parameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Nu$</td>
<td>local Nusselt number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\bar{Nu}$</td>
<td>mean Nusselt number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N+1$</td>
<td>number of uniformly discretized axial points $X_i$ (i=1,2,…N+1) in FTCS method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p$</td>
<td>pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p_\infty$</td>
<td>reference pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P$</td>
<td>dimensionless pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\nabla p$</td>
<td>pressure gradient in Darcy-Forchheimer drag force model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\partial p/\partial X$</td>
<td>axial pressure gradient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\partial p/\partial R$</td>
<td>radial pressure gradient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Pr$</td>
<td>Prandtl number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$q_\xi$</td>
<td>$\xi$-direction radiative heat transfer flux</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$q_\eta$</td>
<td>$\eta$-direction radiative heat transfer flux</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r$</td>
<td>dimensional radial coordinate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r_i$</td>
<td>inner cylinder radius</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r_o$</td>
<td>outer cylinder radius</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r^*$</td>
<td>radius ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R$</td>
<td>dimensionless radial coordinate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Ra$</td>
<td>Rayleigh free convection (buoyancy) number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_k$</td>
<td>number of radial points $= (k-1) \Delta R$ ($k=1,2,\ldots$) in FTCS difference method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta R$</td>
<td>radial grid spacing in FTCS difference method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_h$</td>
<td>constant Inner cylinder wall temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_c$</td>
<td>constant outer cylinder wall temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u$</td>
<td>dimensional axial velocity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$U$</td>
<td>dimensionless axial velocity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$U^i_k$</td>
<td>value of $U$ at axial node $X_i$ and at radial node $R_k$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$v$</td>
<td>dimensional radial velocity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V$</td>
<td>dimensionless radial velocity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x$</td>
<td>dimensional axial coordinate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$X$</td>
<td>dimensionless axial coordinate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta X$</td>
<td>axial grid spacing ($= 1/(N+1)$) in FTCS difference method</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1. INTRODUCTION

Numerical modeling of heat transfer processes in energy systems and technologies has developed considerably in the last two decades. This approach provides a relatively inexpensive and powerful compliment to experimental and field-based testing of energy devices. Numerous techniques have been developed to provide faster and more accurate simulations of complex, multi-physical transport processes arising in solar power cells [1], hybrid batteries [2], magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) pumps [3], electrohydrodynamic (EHD) pumps [4] and other renewable energy systems [5]. Both academic and commercial industrial research in energy systems, which is fundamental to mechanical, chemical, biomedical and materials processing systems, has benefited immensely from the many different computational solvers which have been developed, refined and implemented. These include finite element methods [6], alternating direction implicit (ADI) finite difference techniques [7], spectral collocation solvers [8], smoothed particle hydrodynamics (SPH) which is an alternative mesh-free Lagrangian method [9], neural network and genetic algorithms [10], CFD softwares e.g. FLUENT [11], network
simulation codes [12] and control volume computational solvers [13]. Solar energy engineering presents a particularly rich forum for the implementation of advanced numerical analysis since the phenomena encapsulated in realistic solar energy simulations involve phase change, surface effects, gel systems, transient heat transfer, complex geometries, the fluid dynamics of enclosures and of course thermal radiation heat transfer. The urgency for green alternatives to current methods also provides a great stimulus for expanding research in solar power. Thermal radiation heat transfer is a particularly challenging component of solar energy systems modeling since owing to coupling with other heat transfer modes, the resulting interaction of conduction, convection and radiative heat transfer generates highly nonlinear partial differential equation systems. The principal difficulty posed by radiative transfer problems is the complexity of the general equation for radiation which is of the integro-differential type, as described by Hottell and Sarofim [14]. Even in one-dimensional radiative heat transfer with simple geometries this equation can be challenging to solve accurately. Approximation methods are therefore employed to simulate radiative effects, in particular where thermal radiation is coupled with thermal convection. Examples of these algebraic “flux” approximations include Rosseland’s diffusion approximation, Schuster-Schwartzchild two-flux approximation, Jeans P-N (spherical harmonics) approximation, the Milne-Eddington differential approximation and most complex of all, the Chandrasekhar discrete ordinates (S-N) approximation [14]. Several excellent studies have considered thermal radiation effects in solar energy heat transfer. Siebers and Viskanta [15] used a dual spectral band model to simulate the coupled conductive-convective-radiative heat transfer from the absorber of a flat plate solar collector analytically. They showed that a single selective surface on the absorber provides the best performance in terms of minimized radiation heat transfer losses. Bohn and Mehos
employed two-flux, discrete ordinate, and the Monte Carlo methods for radiative transport simulation of the radiative intensity field and absorbed solar flux effects in the behavior of solar-driven chemical reactors for the case of an axisymmetric cylinder with a specified intensity field at one end, diffuse reflection at boundaries, and containing a participating medium. Chow and Chan [17] studied solar radiation effects in solar-collector orientations for the coastal region of South China, showing that a solar collector facing the south-west direction could be most desirable for a wide range of tilt angles, and for maximizing the annual yield. Leutz and Annen [18] used a reverse ray-tracing method together with a solar radiation heat transfer model to study the annual energy collection efficiency of stationary and quasi-stationary (seasonally tilted) solar concentrators. Augustus and Kumar [19] studied parametrically the effects of porosity, airflow rate, solar radiation, and solar absorptivity/thermal emissivity on collector efficiency, heat exchange effectiveness, air temperature rise and useful heat delivered in unglazed transpired solar collectors.

In the above studies generally only pure fluid regimes were considered. However the presence of a porous medium has been shown to have a positive influence in the design of solar energy absorbers [20]. Generally for low velocity transport in porous systems the Darcian model [21] is implemented. Such a model is only valid when the Reynolds number based on the pore size is less than unity i.e. “creeping” flows. For higher velocity flows however, beyond a Reynolds number of 10, and in porous media of large pore radius, the Darcy model is no longer sufficient to accurately simulate porous media hydrodynamic effects since it neglects inertial drag forces and also boundary vorticity diffusion (Brinkman friction) effects which become significant at higher velocities and near enclosing boundaries, respectively. Kleinstreuer and Chiang [22] studied numerically the porous-
medium flat-plate solar collector as an alternative to conventional fin-and-tube designs using a non-Darcy flow equation and convection-conduction heat transfer equation, showing that the porous medium results in high-performance efficiency compared with advanced tubular-type collectors. Bég et al. [23] studied the dissipative thermal convection flow in Darcy-Brinkman porous media using a numerical difference scheme for a wide range of Eckert numbers. Rabadi and Mismar [24] designed two solar energy collectors employing curved channel technology and porous media (with a porosity of 0.1453) aimed at enhancing heat transfer performance and for extra energy storage capability. The porous medium was shown to strongly reduce the rate of decline in water temperature to approximately half its value for the non-porous medium case. Other studies of thermal convection in porous media with applications in solar energy absorber modeling and other topics have been discussed at length by Nield and Bejan [25]. Several studies have examined thermal radiation effects on convection in Darcy-Forchheimer porous media. Takhar et al [26] used the Keller-Box implicit difference solver to simulate combined radiation-convection flow in non-Darcy porous media with the Cogley-Vinceti-Giles differential radative equilibrium approximation and viscous dissipation effects. Nagaraju et al. [27] studied the composite radiative-convective heat transfer in a variable-porosity medium using the Schuster-Schwartzchild two-flux radiation approximation. Bég and Takhar [28] obtained numerical solutions for heat transfer in second order viscoelastic fluid from a wedge embedded in Darcy-Forchheimer-Brinkman porous media with the Rosseland radiation model. Bég et al. [29] investigated the mixed radiation-convection flow of an optically dense viscous fluid in a non-Darcy porous medium using a diffusion approximation. Transient radiation-convection flow in porous media have also been studied for the Darcian case and non-Darcian case, respectively by Bég et al. [30, 31]
using Network Simulation Methodology (NSM). A more complex thermal radiation model, the *P1 spherical harmonics model* however has been shown by Bouallou and Sacadura [32] to more accurately predict radiative heat transfer in the optically-thick limit in enclosures, compared with the Schuster flux model or the Rosseland model. In the present article we therefore study theoretically and computationally the combined thermal convection and radiative heat transfer flow of an absorbing, emitting and non-scattering gray fluid in a cylindrical porous annulus system containing a Darcy-Forchheimer porous medium using a numerical method. The *P-1 radiative approximation* is employed and requires numerical solution of a supplementary radiative heat transfer partial differential equation, in addition to the energy conservation and momentum conservation equations. We study the influence of radiation-conduction parameter, Rayleigh number, optical thickness, Darcy number, Forchheimer number and aspect ratio on the velocity, radiative moment of intensity and temperature field distributions in the annular regime. The study is relevant to hybrid porous media solar cell collectors.

2. MATHEMATICAL THERMAL CONVECTION-RADIATION MODEL

We study the hydrodynamics and heat transfer of an incompressible, absorbing, emitting and non-scattering, gray, Newtonian fluid in an anisotropic, non-Darcian, porous medium filling a vertical cylindrical annulus enclosure possessing “black” walls. The physical regime is depicted below in *figure 1*. The upper and lower surfaces of the enclosure are adiabatic. The *P1-radiative transfer model* is adopted from the Traugott analysis [33]. Bayazitoglu and Higenyi [34] have indicated that the P1 model provides accuracy of about 90% for *optical thicknesses up to unity*. It is therefore a *superior radiative model* to, for example, the popular Rosseland diffusion flux model [35, 36]. The P1-model for two-
dimensional systems with general coordinates \((\xi, \eta)\) may be expressed as follows, following Weng and Chu [37]:

\[
\frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial \xi^2} + \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial \eta^2} - 3\tau_0^2 (1 - \omega)G = -12\tau_0^2 (1 - \omega)\phi^4
\]  

(1)

\[
q_\xi^r = \frac{1}{3\tau_0} \frac{\partial G}{\partial \xi}
\]  

(2)

\[
q_\eta^r = \frac{1}{3\tau_0} \frac{\partial G}{\partial \eta}
\]  

(3)

where \(q_\xi^r\) is the \(\xi\)-direction radiative heat transfer flux, \(q_\eta^r\) is the \(\eta\)-direction radiative heat transfer flux, \(G\) denotes the incident radiation, \(\tau_0\) is the optical thickness of the medium, \(\omega\) is the albedo and \(\phi\) is a temperature function. The gradients of the functions in (2) and (3) are therefore introduced into the energy conservation equation in the present problem. For two-dimensional axisymmetric porous isotropic flow, in an \((X,R)\) i.e. axial-radial coordinate system, we shall utilize a single permeability \((K)\) in the axial and radial directions to simulate porous media hydraulic conductivity. We implement the Darcy–Forchheimer model which is a second order relationship defining the pressure gradient as:

\[
\nabla p = -a\Psi + b\Psi^2
\]  

(4)

where \(\Psi\) denotes a general velocity, \(\nabla \hat{p}\) is pressure gradient, \(a\) and \(b\) are constants defined by \(a = \mu/K\) and \(b\) is a function of the geometry of porous medium i.e. \(b\) is the Forchheimer form-drag parameter for quadratic effects and \(\mu\) is the dynamic viscosity of the gray fluid. The flow regime is illustrated in figure 1 below. The inner cylinder has a radius \(r_i\) and is maintained at isothermal conditions (temperature \(T_h\)); the outer cylinder has radius \(r_o\) and
is also at constant temperature, $T_c$. The $X$-coordinate is directed along the vertical axis parallel to the height dimension of the system and the radial coordinate is normal to this.

The regime is one of buoyancy and simulated via the Boussinesq approximation. When the radiative flux equations (2) and (3) are included in the generalized Navier-Stokes equations in an axisymmetric coordinate system, neglecting tangential momentum contributions, the dimensionless conservation equations can be shown to take the form:

**Mass Conservation**

$$\frac{\partial (RU)}{\partial X} + \frac{\partial (RV)}{\partial R} = 0 \quad (5)$$

**Axial (X-direction) Momentum Conservation**

$$\frac{1}{R} \left[ \frac{\partial (RU)}{\partial X} + \frac{\partial (RV)}{\partial R} \right] = -\frac{\partial P}{\partial X} + \frac{Pr}{R} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial X} (RU) + \frac{\partial}{\partial R} (RV) \right]$$

$$+ Ra Pr \Theta \frac{1}{Da} \chi U - \frac{Fs}{Da} \lambda U^2 \quad (6)$$

**Radial (R-direction) Momentum Conservation**

$$\frac{1}{R} \left[ \frac{\partial (RUV)}{\partial X} + \frac{\partial (RVR)}{\partial R} \right] = -\frac{\partial P}{\partial R} + \frac{Pr}{R} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial X} (RUV) + \frac{\partial}{\partial R} (RVR) \right]$$

$$- Pr \frac{V}{R^2} - \frac{1}{Da} \chi V - \frac{Fs}{Da} \lambda V^2 \quad (7)$$

**Energy (Heat) Conservation**

$$\frac{1}{R} \left[ \frac{\partial (RUd)}{\partial X} + \frac{\partial (RdV)}{\partial R} \right] = -\frac{\partial P}{\partial X} \frac{\partial (d)}{\partial X} + \frac{\partial (Rd) / \partial R}{\partial R} + \frac{\Theta f \tau_o}{N} \left[ (\Theta + 1)^4 - I_o \right] \quad (8)$$

**Radiative Transfer Equation**

$$\frac{1}{R} \left[ \frac{\partial (RD\theta)}{\partial X} + \frac{\partial (Rd)}{\partial R} \right] = 3 \tau_o \left[ I_o - \frac{\Theta}{\Theta f} \right] \quad (9)$$
where \( X = \frac{x}{D} \) and \( R = \frac{r}{D} \) are dimensionless axial and radial coordinates, \( U = \frac{uD}{\alpha} \) and \( V = \frac{vD}{\alpha} \) are dimensionless axial and radial velocity components in the \( X \) and \( R \) directions,

\[
P = \frac{p - p_\infty}{\rho(\alpha / D)^2}
\]

is dimensionless pressure, \( \alpha \) is thermal diffusivity of the gray fluid, \( \rho \) is density of the gray fluid, \( \Theta = \frac{T - T_c}{T_h - T_c} \) is dimensionless temperature function,

\[
\Theta_f = \frac{T_c}{T_h - T_c}
\]

is dimensionless reference temperature ratio, \( N = \frac{k\sigma_a}{4\sigma T_c^3} \) is the conduction: radiation heat transfer parameter, \( I_o = \frac{i_o}{4\sigma T_c^4} \) is the dimensionless zero moment of intensity in which \( i_o \) is zero moment of radiation intensity, \( \sigma \) is the Stefan-Boltzmann constant, \( \tau_o = \sigma_a D \) is optical thickness where \( \sigma_a \) is the absorption coefficient, \( A = \frac{H}{D} \) is the aspect ratio, \( r^* = \frac{r_i}{r_o} \) is the radius ratio, \( D = r_o - r_i \) is annular gap radius, \( \Pr = \frac{V}{\alpha} \) is the Prandtl number, \( Ra = \frac{g\beta(T_h - T_c)D^3}{\nu\alpha} \) is the Rayleigh free convection (buoyancy) number,

\[
Da = \frac{K}{D^2}
\]

is the Darcy number (dimensionless permeability parameter) for both radial and axial directions, \( Fs = \frac{b}{L} \) is the Forchheimer inertial number for both radial and axial directions, \( \chi \) is a modified viscosity parameter, \( \lambda \) is a modified thermal diffusivity parameter, \( \rho \) is density of gray fluid, \( \beta \) is coefficient of thermal expansion, \( \nu \) is kinematic viscosity of gray fluid, \( \alpha \) is the Stefan-Boltzmann constant, \( k \) is thermal conductivity, \( g \) is
acceleration due to gravity. In equation (6) $+ Ra Pr \Theta$ is the \textit{buoyancy} (free convection) term, coupling the axial momentum equation (6) to the energy equation (8). Also in Eqn. (6) the penultimate term on the right hand side is the \textit{axial Darcian drag force} and the last term is the \textit{axial Forchheimer drag force}. Similarly in equation (7) the penultimate term is the \textit{radial Darcian impedance} and the final term on the right hand side is the \textit{radial Forchheimer impedance}. The corresponding boundary conditions are as follows:

At $R= 1/(r^*-1)$: \quad $U = V = 0, \Theta = 1, \quad (1- \frac{2}{3\tau_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial R})I_0 = \left[ \frac{1}{\Theta_f} + 1 \right]^4$ \quad (10)

At $R= r^*/(r^*-1)$: \quad $U = V = 0, \Theta = 0, \quad (1+ \frac{2}{3\tau_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial R})I_0 = 1$ \quad (11)

At $X= 0$: \quad $U = V = 0, \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial X} + \frac{1}{3N} \frac{\partial I_0}{\partial X} = 0, \quad (1- \frac{2}{3\tau_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial X})I_0 = \left[ \frac{\Theta}{\Theta_f} + 1 \right]^4$ \quad (12)

At $X= A$: \quad $U = V = 0, \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial X} + \frac{1}{3N} \frac{\partial I_0}{\partial X} = 0, \quad (1+ \frac{2}{3\tau_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial X})I_0 = \left[ \frac{\Theta}{\Theta_f} + 1 \right]^4$ \quad (13)

In practical solar engineering design, the boundary heat transfer rates for the annulus are important. We may therefore define the local Nusselt number on the inner vertical cylinder and the outer vertical cylinder, which take the form:

$$Nu = -R \left( \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial R} + \frac{1}{3N} \frac{\partial I_0}{\partial R} \right)$$ \quad (14)
where the appropriate value for the radius is used for the two cases. Additionally a mean Nusselt number may be computed by integrating the local Nusselt number along the cylindrical wall surface, viz:

\[ \bar{Nu} = \frac{1}{A} \int_{A}^{A} Nu \, dX \]  \hspace{1cm} (15)

A number of special cases can be derived from the transformed momentum, energy and radiative transfer equation (5) to (9). As \( Fs \to 0 \), inertial porous hydromechanical drag effects vanish and the regime becomes a purely Darcian (isotropic) porous medium. With \( Da \to \infty \) and \( Fs \to 0 \), all porous hydrodynamical body forces vanish. This implies that the porous matrix permeability becomes infinite so that the regime is purely fluid in the annulus. Equations (6) and (7) are therefore reduced to the model solved by Weng and Chu [37] with the same boundary conditions, although their study infact ignores variation of Prandtl number. As \( Ra \to 0 \), buoyancy forces vanish and only the \( X \)-direction (axial) momentum equation (6) is affected. With \( N \to \infty \), radiative heat transfer effects are negated and this affects the energy conservation equation (8); the radiative transfer equation (9) also vanishes.

3. FTCS NUMERICAL SIMULATION

Numerical solutions to the governing transformed partial differential equations (5) to (11) under boundary conditions (12) to (15) are to be obtained using a robust finite difference numerical method. We utilize a well-tested, versatile, explicit numerical scheme which is \textit{forward in time} and \textit{central in space} [38]. This scheme has been successfully applied to a variety of complex geometric and material non-linear fluid dynamics problems [39-41].
According to this scheme the various axial and radial partial derivatives of $U$, $V$, $\Theta$, $I_o$ appearing in (5)-(9) are approximated as, for example:

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial X} \approx \frac{U^k_{i+1} - U^k_{i-1}}{2\Delta X} = U_X$$

(16)

$$\frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial X^2} \approx \frac{U^k_{i+1} - 2U^k_i + U^k_{i-1}}{(\Delta X)^2} = U_{XX}$$

(17)

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial R} \approx \frac{U^k_{i+1} - U^k_{i-1}}{2\Delta R} = U_R$$

(18)

$$\frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial R} \approx \frac{\Theta^k_{i+1} - \Theta^k_{i-1}}{2\Delta R} = \Theta_R$$

(19)

$$\frac{\partial I_o}{\partial R} \approx \frac{I^k_{\varphi_{i+1}} - I^k_{\varphi_{i-1}}}{2\Delta R} = I_{oR}$$

(20)

where for instance, $U^k_i$ denotes the value of $U$ at axial node $X_i$ and at radial node $R_k$.

Similar expressions for other partial derivatives may then be derived. With the aid of Eqns. (5)-(9), the numerical solution can be generated for $(N+1)$ uniformly discretized points $X_i$ ($i=1,2,...,N+1$), with an axial grid spacing of $\Delta X=1/(N+1)$, and at radial points $R_k=(k-1)\Delta R$ ($k=1,2,...$), with a radial grid spacing of $\Delta R$. Test computations are performed for the present case. A stable solution with an accuracy of $10^{-7}$ is achievable.
4. VALIDATION WITH NETWORK SIMULATION METHOD (NSM)

To verify the accuracy of the FTCS computations, we have also solved the nonlinear boundary value problem with a modified version of the electro-thermal solver, PSPICE [42], namely RAD-PSPICE. The transformed partial differential equations (8) to (10) subject to the temporal and spatial boundary conditions (11) have been solved with the RAD-PSPICE Network Simulation Method software (NSM) approach in a MATLAB environment. This technique is founded on the thermo-electrical analogy and has been implemented in many diverse areas of applied mechanics, thermal sciences and fluid dynamics, being equally adept at solving linear and non-linear, steady or transient, hydrodynamic or coupled transport problems. Network simulation methodology uses the network theory of thermodynamics, in which flux-force relationships in dynamical systems are modelled using electric networks. NSM effectively exploits the formal similarities between the mathematical structure underlying different phenomena with the same balance and constitutive equations and intrinsic to this approach is the design of an “analogous electric circuit” which possesses the same balance and constitutive equations as the physical problem of interest. NSM was introduced by Nagel [42] originally for semi-conductor and transient electrical circuit problems. It has also been implemented in magnetic tribology (squeeze films for spacecraft landing gear) [43], magnetic biopolymer materials processing [44], magneto/electro-rheological (M/ER) smart lubrication systems for earthquake shock protection (seismic bearings) [45], electro-kinetic stabilization of geotechnical materials [46], stratified materials processing flows [47] and rotating Couette flows [48]. Discretization of the differential equations is founded on the finite-difference methodology, where only a discretization of the spatial co-ordinates is necessary. Numerical differentiation is implicit in such methods and some expertise is
required in avoiding numerical diffusion, instability and convergence problems. **NSM** simulates the *electrical variable of voltage* as being equivalent to the velocities \((U,V)\), temperature \((\Theta)\) and dimensionless zero moment of radiation intensity \((I_o)\), while the *electrical current* is equivalent to the velocity flux e.g. \((\partial U/\partial X, \partial V/\partial R)\), temperature flux e.g. \((\partial \Theta/\partial X, \partial \Theta/\partial R)\) and radiation intensity flux e.g. \((\partial I_o/\partial X, \partial I_o/\partial R)\). A network electrical model for *each* volume element is designed so that its electrical equations are formally equivalent to the spatial discretized equation. The whole network model, including the devices associated with the boundary conditions, is solved by the modified numerical computer code **Pspice** [49]. *Fourier's law* is utilized in the spatial discretization of the dimensionless transport equations. The electrical analogy is applied to the discretized equations together with *Kirchhoff's law* for electrical currents. To implement the boundary conditions, constant voltage sources are employed for velocity, temperature and concentration. *Time* remains as a real continuous variable. Researchers need not manipulate the finite difference differential equations to be solved nor expend effort in convergence exercises. The principal advantage of NSM is that it negates the requirement in standard numerical finite difference schemes of manipulation of difference equations and the constraints of specified yardsticks around the convergence of numerical solutions. Details of the discretization and electronic network diagram construction have been provided in many previous studies and the reader is referred to Bég et al. [49]. The MAG-PSPICE code is designated the “electric circuit simulator”. Nagel [42] has elucidated in detail the local truncation errors present in the original **SPICE** algorithm. A necessary criterion for using **RAD-SPICE** effectively is a familiarity with electrical circuit theory. Momentum, temperature and concentration balance “currents” are defined systematically for each of the discretized equations and
errors can be quantified in terms of the quantity of control volumes. The user however needs to program a customized protocol file, (file “RadNetwork.cir”). This program rapidly generates the file for execution in RAD-PSPICE, and the program permits the reading of the solutions provided by RAD-PSPICE (file “RadNetwork.out”). Following the simulations, the code plots waveform results so the designer can visualize circuit behavior and determine design validity. Graphical results of each simulation are presented in the RAD-PSPICE “Probe window waveform viewer” and analyzer, where it is possible to see the velocity, temperature and concentration field at any point of the boundary layer. A summary of the procedure is given in Fig. 2 below. NSM implements the most recent advances in software in the resolution of electrical networks to solve diverse types of partial differential equations which may be elliptical, hyperbolical, parabolic, linear, non-linear and 1-, 2- or 3-dimensional. At least one of the FTCS finite difference computations in each validated graph has been closely verified with RAD-PSPICE. The NSM (RAD-PSPICE) solutions are given the symbol in selected graphs (Figs. 3-10) presented. The FTCS computations are therefore shown to be highly accurate as corroborated by an independent numerical simulation tool. Although simpler models do exist with which we can benchmark very special reduced cases of the general model presented in the current article, we have opted to validate the general model including all hydrodynamic and heat transfer effects. This is a significantly more zealous approach and confirms general solutions obtained by the FTCS code with the RAD-SPICE code. Moreover, it provides extensive confidence to other researchers who may wish to extend the present model to for example non-Newtonian flow and are therefore provided with solutions to validate their own programs.
5. NUMERICAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In the present study we present an extensive range of FTCS solutions. Figs 3-10 include RAD-SPICE validation. The nonlinear boundary value problem entails 4 variables to compute in the axial (X) and radial (R) direction i.e. U, V, \( \Theta \), \( Io \). The regime conservation equations and boundary conditions are controlled by 9 thermo-physical parameters: \( Pr \), \( Ra \), \( Da \), \( Fs \), \( \chi \), \( \Theta_0 \), \( N \) and 2 geometric parameters i.e. \( A \) and \( ri \). We note that \( A = \frac{H}{D} \) i.e. aspect ratio, represents the ratio of height of the cylinders to the gap radius, \( H \) height of cylindrical annulus, \( D = (r_o - r_i) \) and \( r^* = \frac{r_i}{r_o} \) is the radius ratio and generally for an annular regime \( r_o > r_i \). For example for \( r_o = 2r_i, r^* = 0.5 \), for \( r_o = 4r_i, r^* = 0.25 \). We consider the case of constant axial and radial pressure gradients, so these parameters may be prescribed unity values i.e. In Eqn (6), \( \frac{\partial P}{\partial X} = -1 \) and in Eqn (7), \( \frac{\partial P}{\partial R} = -1 \). We primarily study the effects of \( Ra \), \( Da \), \( Fs \), \( N \) and \( \tau_0 \). In the plots dimensionless radius, \( R \), is denoted by numerical \( r \). Generally we prescribe \( Pr = 2.0 \) (water-based suspensions), \( Da = 0.1 \) (very high permeability), \( Fs = 1 \) (weak quadratic porous drag) are prescribed.

Evidently in fig. 3 an increase in Rayleigh number accelerates the axial flow. With \( Ra > 1 \), the thermal buoyancy force exceeds the viscous hydrodynamic force. This aids momentum development and increases velocities. The axial velocity profiles also evolve from a plateau structure at lower Rayleigh numbers to a more parabolic nature at higher Rayleigh numbers. The Rayleigh number, \( Ra = \frac{g\beta(T_h - T_c)D^3}{\nu \alpha} \), arises only in the axial momentum eqn. (6) and couples this equation to the energy eqn. (8) via the term, \( Ra Pr \Theta \). Although linear, this term has a profound effect on the interaction of heat transfer and momentum in
the regime. **Fig. 4** presents the temperature variation across the annular zone with variation in Rayleigh number. At low radial coordinate values, the temperature is found to be enhanced with greater $R_a$ i.e. increasing thermal buoyancy force; however at further radial values the opposite behavior becomes apparent. The Rayleigh numbers required to achieve a change in temperature are orders of magnitude greater than for the velocity field. Momentum is therefore extremely sensitive to buoyancy effects whereas temperature field is much less responsive. Velocity vanishes at the initial and final radial coordinate values, whereas temperature has a non-zero value here in accordance with the specified boundary conditions. Temperatures at $r=0$ are however in excess of those at $r=2$, irrespective of the Rayleigh number value. **Fig. 5** illustrates the effect of Rayleigh number on dimensionless zero moment of intensity ($I_0$) in the axial direction. In the present study we have aimed to directly address the response of this radiative heat transfer characteristic, in addition to elucidating the effect of conduction-radiation parameter ($N$), rather than indirectly studying solely the influence of radiative heat transfer on velocity and thermal fields. The former direct methodology has been followed by Bouallou and Sacadura [32]. The latter indirect approach yields very limited understanding of the physics of radiative heat transfer- it is an approach that was adopted for example by Weng and Chu [37]. Evidently $I_0$ magnitudes are suppressed with increasing Rayleigh number; they are observed to peak at central axial coordinate values. There is very strong dual coupling between the energy (temperature) field in eqn. (8) and the radiative field i.e. eqn. (9). For example in the former we observe the algebraic term $\frac{\Theta_f}{N} \tau_0^2 [\Theta + 1]^4 - I_0].$ In this term only, $N = \frac{k\sigma_T}{4\sigma T_c^3}$ i.e. the conduction: radiation heat transfer parameter also arises. In the latter, another algebraic term arises, viz: $3\tau_0^2 [I_0 - (\Theta + 1)^4].$ In both terms zero moment of intensity is linear
whereas in the former temperature is both linear and quartic, whereas in the latter it is a quartic term. Despite these terms, physically the Rayleigh number only exerts a very weak influence on radiative field. The dominant influence is on the velocity field owing to the buoyancy effect. **Fig. 6** shows that moment of intensity, $I_o$, exhibits a **monotonic decay** in the **radial direction**, distinct from the parabolic distribution in the **axial direction** (fig. 5). Once again there is a marginal reduction in radiative moment of intensity with a very significant rise in Rayleigh number (thermal buoyancy effect).

**Figs. 7-11** illustrate the influence of the permeability parameter i.e. *Darcy number* on velocity, temperature and radiative moment of intensity distributions. Radial velocity (fig. 7) is found to be strongly increased with a rise in Darcy number. $Da$ appears as a denominator in the axial Darcian and Forchheimer drag force terms, $\frac{1}{Da} \chi U, -\frac{Fs}{Da} \lambda U^2$

in eqn (6) and the radial Darcian and Forchheimer drag force terms, $\frac{1}{Da} \chi V, -\frac{Fs}{Da} \lambda V^2$

in eqn (7). As $Da$ increases the medium becomes progressively more fluid with lesser and lesser solid fibers present. This depletes both the Darcian and Forchheimer impedances, with constant $\chi$ (viscosity parameter), $\lambda$ (thermal diffusivity parameter) and $Fs$ (Forchheimer number). This leads to *acceleration* in the radial flow (fig. 7). A weak increase in temperature (fig. 8) also accompanies increasing Darcy number, in the axial direction. However the effect of greater Darcy number values on radial temperature distribution, albeit assistive, is very weak (fig. 9). Marginal elevation in radiative zero moment of intensity is also computed in both axial (fig. 10) and radial (fig. 11) directions.

**Figs. 12-14** depict the evolution of axial and radial velocity components and temperature, with different Forchheimer numbers. Contrary to the Darcy number, which appears as a denominator in both Darcian and Forchheimer drag forces, as elaborated earlier,
Forchheimer number arises only as a numerator in terms, $-\frac{Fs}{Da} \lambda U^2$ and $-\frac{Fs}{Da} \lambda V^2$.

Although Forchheimer drag is second order and is related to high velocity flow, it increases as the flow velocity increases. This leads to a \textit{substantial deceleration} in both axial (fig. 12) and radial (fig. 13) flow with increasing $Fs$ values. Therefore greater $Fs$ values will decrease viscous diffusion rate. This will also oppose energy diffusion. Temperatures (fig. 14) are therefore also reduced with greater Forchheimer effect. Higher velocity flow in the porous medium therefore acts to depress temperatures. The Forchheimer number is related more to the geometry of the porous medium and is an inertial effect, as opposed to the Darcy number which is a global representation of the medium permeability. The general trends reported in figs 12-14 concur with earlier studies by other researchers, for example Dybbs and Edwards [50]. Although we have examined $Fs$ influence on radiative moment of intensity, no tangible changes were observed and therefore both axial and radial distributions are omitted here.

\textbf{Fig. 15} presents the response of temperature to a variation in conduction-radiation parameter, $N = \frac{k \sigma_a}{4 \sigma_f \tau_c^3}$. This parameter features as a denominator in the energy eqn. (8), viz $\frac{\Theta_f \tau_0 \tau_c^2}{N} \left[ \frac{\Theta}{\Theta_f} + 1 \right]^4 - I_0$. As $N$ increase in value the radiative contribution is decreased and conductive heat transfer contribution is increased. For $N = 1$ both modes of heat transfer contribute equally. As $N \rightarrow \infty$, the radiative contribution vanishes and thermal conduction dominates. Fig. 15 shows that a significant \textit{decrease in temperature} is induced with greater $N$ values. The temperature is maximized for lowest $N$ value of 0.1 i.e. strongest thermal radiation case and minimized for the largest value of $N = 1.2$ i.e. weakest thermal radiation
case. Thermal radiation therefore exerts the correct effect on temperature distributions i.e. heats the saturated porous medium by introducing significant thermal energy.

**Figs 16-19** exhibit the variation in axial and radial velocity, temperature and radiative moment of intensity with a change in the annulus aspect ratio ($A$). \[ A = \frac{H}{D} \] which defines the ratio of height of the cylinders to the gap radius, $D (= r_o - r_i)$. For $A \gg 1$, taller and narrower cylindrical annular zones arise and with $A \ll 1$ shorter and wider geometries are produced. In the former case therefore axial dimensions exceed radial dimensions and vice versa for the latter case. This parameter is therefore very important from the view point of solar collector design. In earlier plots we have considered only $A = 2$ i.e. the annulus is twice as high as it is wide, for which symmetrical axial velocity distributions were computed (e.g. fig. 4). In **Figs. 16 and 17**, we observe that as $A$ increases from 1 through 2, 5 to 10, both axial and radial flow are accelerated. However the parabolic distribution of axial flow (**Fig. 16**) at low aspect ratio is transformed to a strong plateau distribution at large aspect ratio i.e. the profiles are evened out in the axial direction. The radial flow is not affected in this way- for any aspect ratio a homogenous profile is obtained across the radial gap (**Fig. 17**). Magnitudes of axial velocity are however greater at higher aspect ratio than corresponding radial velocities. The constriction in geometry of the annulus with greater aspect ratio results in a slight decrease in temperature (**Fig. 18**) whereas it leads to a strong elevation in radiative moment of intensity, this being sustained for all radial coordinates (**Fig. 19**). Evidently geometrical configuration of the medium has a marked influence on the propagation of thermal radiation and momentum diffusion, whereas it has a weak influence on thermal diffusion. Since greater radiative moment of intensity is associated with better solar collector performance, it would appear that taller and slender solar
collectors achieve enhanced efficiency compared with shorter and wider cells. Indeed this has been noted in several experimental studies of solar cell performance, notably [51 - 53].

Finally figs. 20-25 illustrate the effect of optical thickness ($\tau_0$), a key radiative property, on thermofluid characteristics. In the vast majority of radiative-convective studies, optical thickness is assumed to be either very small (optically thin approximation) or very large (optically thick approximation). The latter results in a Rosseland-type diffusive flux model [54]. These extreme values of optical thickness are not representative of real media in solar collectors. More robust values correlating with solar cell design fall between 0.1 and 1, and these are implemented in the present computations. As optical thickness (optical depth) increases, thermal radiation is better attenuated in the medium and this induces heating. Optical thickness is a dimensionless quantification of how much a given medium retards the passage of thermal radiation. Radiative intensity falls by an exponential factor when optical thickness is unity. Physically optical thickness will be a function of absorption coefficient, medium density and propagation distance. Strong axial flow acceleration is generated with greater optical thickness (fig. 20) whereas weak radial deceleration (fig. 21) is produced. Figs. 22 and 23 show that both axial and radial temperature distributions are strongly enhanced as the medium becomes more optically thick. Higher temperatures are observed in the radial direction however. Lord and Arpaci [55] have observed a strong increase in temperatures with increasing optical thickness- this trend is confirmed in the present study. A weak decrease in radiative moment of intensity distribution with radial coordinate is caused with increasing optical thickness (fig. 24). Conversely at low and high axial coordinates, radiative moment of intensity is elevated with optical thickness whereas at intermediate axial coordinate values it is depressed. Optical thickness therefore exerts a consistent and negative effect in the radial direction whereas the influence is more complex.
in the axial direction (fig. 25). This has important implications in optimized solar cell design.

6. CONCLUSIONS

An axisymmetric ($X, R$) dimensionless heat transfer model has been developed for the radiative-convective flow of a gray fluid in an absorbing-emitting fluid-saturating a porous medium contained in a cylindrical annulus regime, as a simulation of a hybrid solar energy collector system. The *Traugott P1-Differential radiative transfer model* has been used to analyze radiative flux and transpose the general integral radiative equation to a partial differential equation. The nonlinear Darcy-Forchheimer porous medium drag force model is utilized with isotropic permeability (i.e. the same permeability in both the radial ($R$) and axial ($X$) directions), in order to study the effects of more complex porous absorber materials on the thermofluid dynamics. A finite difference numerical scheme has been employed to compute the velocity, temperature and radiation intensity distributions in the regime, for the effects of *conduction-radiation parameter* ($N$), *Forchheimer parameter* ($Fs$), *Rayleigh buoyancy number* ($Ra$), *annulus aspect ratio* ($A$), *Darcy number* ($Da$) and *optical thickness* ($\tau_0$). Greater aspect ratio has been shown to generally accelerate flow and enhance radiative moment of intensity. Larger Darcy number and Forchheimer number, respectively accelerate and decelerate the axial and radial flow. With greater optical thickness of the saturated porous medium, radial flow is weakly retarded, axial flow is accelerated, temperatures are enhanced, however radial distributions of radiative moment of intensity are slightly depressed whereas at high and low axial coordinate values, radiative moment of intensity values are boosted. The current study has applications in hybrid porous media absorber solar energy systems. Although quite sophisticated
characteristics have been studied herein, the work can be refined to examine *slip flows* [56] and furthermore *non-Newtonian* working fluids [57].
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**FIGURES**

*Figure 1:* Radiative convection in a cylindrical isotropic porous medium annulus

*Fig. 2:* RAD-PSPICE network simulation methodology
Fig 3: Axial velocity ($U$) versus radius (at $X = 1$) for $Pr = 7.0$, $Da = 0.1$, $Fs = 1$, $\lambda = 1$, $\chi = 1$, $\tau_0 = 0.1$, $\Theta_f = 0.5$, $N = 1$, $A = 2$, $r_i = 0.5$. [RAD-SPICE case validated for $R_a = 5$]

Fig 4: Temperature ($\Theta$) versus radius (at $X = 1$) for $Pr = 2.0$, $Da = 0.1$, $Fs = 1$, $\lambda = 1$, $\chi = 1$, $\tau_0 = 0.1$, $\Theta_f = 0.5$, $N = 1$, $A = 2$, $r_i = 0.5$ for various Rayleigh numbers. [RAD-SPICE case validated for $R_a = 1000$]
Fig 5: Dimensionless zero moment of intensity \((I_0)\) versus \(X\) (at \(R = 1\)) for \(Pr = 2.0\), \(Da = 0.1\), \(Fs = 1\), \(\lambda = 1\), \(\chi = 1\), \(\psi = 0.1\), \(\Theta_f = 0.5\), \(N = 1\), \(A = 2\), \(r_i = 0.5\) for various Rayleigh numbers. [RAD-SPICE case validated for \(R_a = 100\)]

Fig. 6: Dimensionless zero moment of intensity \((I_0)\) versus radial coordinate (at \(X = 1\)) for \(Pr = 2.0\) (water), \(Da = 0.1\) (high permeability), \(Fs = 1\) (weak quadratic porous drag), \(\lambda = 1\), \(\chi = 1\), \(\psi = 0.1\), \(\Theta_f = 0.5\), \(N = 1\), \(A = 2\), \(r_i = 0.5\) for various Rayleigh numbers. [RAD-SPICE validation for \(R_a = 10\)]
Fig. 7: Radial velocity versus $X$ (at $R = 1$) for $Pr = 2.0$, $Ra = 10$, $Fs = 1$, $\lambda = 1$, $\chi = 1$, $\vartheta = 0.1$, $\Theta_f = 0.5$, $N = 1$, $A = 2$, $r_i = 0.5$ for various Darcy numbers. [RAD-SPICE validation for $Da = 0.1$]

Fig. 8: Temperature ($\Theta$) versus axial coordinate ($X$) at $R = 1$, for $Pr = 2.0$, $Ra = 10$, $Fs = 1$, $\lambda = 1$, $\chi = 1$, $\vartheta = 0.1$, $\Theta_f = 0.5$, $N = 1$, $A = 2$, $r_i = 0.5$ for various Darcy numbers. [RAD-SPICE validation for $Da = 0.1$]
Fig. 9: Temperature ($\Theta$) versus radial coordinate at $X = 1$, for $Pr = 2.0$, $Ra = 10$, $Fs = 1$, $\lambda = 1$, $\chi = 1$, $\tau_0 = 0.1$, $\Theta_f = 0.5$, $N = 1$, $A = 2$, $r_i = 0.5$ for various Darcy numbers. [RAD-SPICE validation for $Da = 1$]

Fig. 10: Dimensionless zero moment of intensity ($I_0$) versus axial coordinate ($X$) at $R = 1$, for $Pr = 2.0$, $Ra = 10$, $Fs = 1$, $\lambda = 1$, $\chi = 1$, $\tau_0 = 0.1$, $\Theta_f = 0.5$, $N = 1$, $A = 2$, $r_i = 0.5$ for various Darcy numbers. [RAD-SPICE validation for $Da = 1$]
Fig. 11: Dimensionless zero moment of intensity ($I_0$) versus radial coordinate at $X = 1$, for $Pr = 2.0$, $Ra = 10$, $Fs = 1$, $\lambda = 1$, $\chi = 1$, $\tau_0 = 0.1$, $\Theta_f = 0.5$, $N = 1$, $A = 2$, $r_i = 0.5$ for various Darcy numbers.

Fig. 12: Axial velocity ($U$) versus $X$ (at $R = 1$) with $Pr = 2.0$, $Ra = 10$, $Da = 0.1$, $\lambda = 1$, $\chi = 1$, $\tau_0 = 0.1$, $\Theta_f = 0.5$, $N = 1$, $A = 2$, $r_i = 0.5$ for various Forchheimer numbers.
**Fig. 13:** Radial velocity ($V$) versus radius (at $X = 1$) with $Pr = 2.0$, $Ra = 10$, $Da = 0.1$, $\lambda = 1$, $\chi = 1$, $\omega = 0.1$, $\Theta_f = 0.5$, $N = 1$, $A = 2$, $r_i = 0.5$ for various Forchheimer numbers.

**Fig. 14:** Temperature ($\Theta$) versus axial coordinate, $X$, at $R = 1$ and $\Theta$ versus $R$ (at $X = 1$) for $Pr = 2.0$, $Ra = 10$, $Da = 0.1$, $\lambda = 1$, $\chi = 1$, $\omega = 0.1$, $\Theta_f = 0.5$, $N = 1$, $A = 2$, $r_i = 0.5$ for various Forchheimer numbers.
Fig. 15: Temperature ($\Theta$) versus axial coordinate ($X$) at $R = 1$ with $Pr = 2.0$, $Ra = 10$, $Da = 0.1$, $Fs = 1$, $\lambda = 1$, $\chi = 1$, $\tau_0 = 0.1$, $\Theta_f = 0.5$, $A = 2$, $r_i = 0.5$ for various conduction-radiation parameters.

Fig. 16: Axial velocity ($U$) versus axial coordinate ($X$), at $R = 1$, with $Pr = 2.0$, $Ra = 10$, $Da = 0.1$, $Fs = 1$, $\lambda = 1$, $\chi = 1$, $\tau_0 = 0.1$, $\Theta_f = 0.5$, $N = 1$, $r_i = 0.5$ for various aspect ratio values.
Fig. 17: Radial velocity ($V$) versus radial coordinate at $X = 1$ with $Pr = 2.0$, $Ra = 10$, $Da = 0.1$, $Fs = 1$, $\lambda = 1$, $\chi = 1$, $\tau_0 = 0.1$, $\Theta_f = 0.5$, $N = 1$, $r_i = 0.5$ for various aspect ratio values.

Fig. 18: Temperature ($\Theta$) versus radial coordinate, at $X = 1$, with $Pr = 2.0$, $Ra = 10$, $Da = 0.1$, $Fs = 1$, $\lambda = 1$, $\chi = 1$, $\tau_0 = 0.1$, $\Theta_f = 0.5$, $N = 1$, $r_i = 0.5$ for various $A$ values (1, 2, 5, 10).
Fig. 19: Dimensionless zero moment of intensity ($I_0$) versus radial coordinate at $X = 1$, with $Pr = 2.0$, $Ra = 10$, $Da = 0.1$, $Fs = 1$, $\lambda = 1$, $\chi = 1$, $\tau_0 = 0.1$, $\Theta_f = 0.5$, $N = 1$, $r_i = 0.5$ for various aspect ratios.

Fig. 20: Axial velocity ($U$) versus $X$, at $R = 1$, with $Pr = 2.0$, $Ra = 10$, $Da = 0.1$, $Fs = 1$, $\lambda = 1$, $\chi = 1$, $\Theta_f = 0.5$, $N = 1$, $r_i = 0.5$, $A = 2$ for various optical thickness ($\tau_0$) values.
Fig. 21: Radial velocity ($V$) versus radial coordinate at $X = 1$, with $Pr = 2.0$, $Ra = 10$, $Da = 0.1$, $Fs = 1$, $\lambda = 1$, $\chi = 1$, $\Theta_f = 0.5$, $N = 1$, $r_i = 0.5$, $A = 2$ for various optical thickness ($\tau_0$) values.

Fig. 22: Temperature ($\Theta$) versus axial coordinate ($X$) at $R = 1$, with $Pr = 2.0$, $Ra = 10$, $Da = 0.1$, $Fs = 1$, $\lambda = 1$, $\chi = 1$, $\Theta_f = 0.5$, $N = 1$, $r_i = 0.5$, $A = 2$ for various optical thickness ($\tau_0$) values.
Fig. 23: Temperature ($\Theta$) versus radial coordinate at $X = 1$, with $Pr = 2.0$, $Ra = 10$, $Da = 0.1$, $Fs = 1$, $\lambda = 1$, $\chi = 1$, $\Theta_f = 0.5$, $N = 1$, $r_i = 0.5$, $A = 2$ for various optical thickness ($\tau_0$) values.

Fig. 24: Dimensionless zero moment of intensity ($I_0$) versus radial coordinate at $X = 1$, with $Pr = 2.0$, $Ra = 10$, $Da = 0.1$, $Fs = 1$, $\lambda = 1$, $\chi = 1$, $\Theta_f = 0.5$, $N = 1$, $r_i = 0.5$, $A = 2$ for various optical thickness ($\tau_0$) values.
Fig. 25: Dimensionless zero moment of intensity ($I_0$) versus axial coordinate ($X$) at $R = 1$ with $Pr = 2.0$, $Ra = 10$, $Da = 0.1$, $Fs = 1$, $\lambda = 1$, $\chi = 1$, $\Theta_f = 0.5$, $N = 1$, $r_i = 0.5$, $A = 2$ for various optical thickness ($\tau_0$) values.