MRI brain scan classification using novel 3-D statistical features
Hasan, A, Meziane, F, Aspin, R and Jalab, HA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>MRI brain scan classification using novel 3-D statistical features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authors</td>
<td>Hasan, A, Meziane, F, Aspin, R and Jalab, HA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Conference or Workshop Item</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>URL</td>
<td>This version is available at: <a href="http://usir.salford.ac.uk/43758/">http://usir.salford.ac.uk/43758/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Published Date</td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

USIR is a digital collection of the research output of the University of Salford. Where copyright permits, full text material held in the repository is made freely available online and can be read, downloaded and copied for non-commercial private study or research purposes. Please check the manuscript for any further copyright restrictions.

For more information, including our policy and submission procedure, please contact the Repository Team at: usir@salford.ac.uk.
MRI Brain Scan Classification Using Novel 3-D Statistical Features

Ali M. Hasan  
Al-Nahrain University, College of Medicine, Baghdad, Iraq.  
School of Computing, Science and Engineering, University of Salford, Manchester, UK.  
a.hasan4@edu.salford.ac.uk.

Farid Meziane and Rob Aspin  
School of Computing, Science and Engineering, University of Salford, Manchester, UK.  
f.meziane@salford.ac.uk, r.aspin@salford.ac.uk.

Hamid A. Jalab  
Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology.  
University of Malaya, 50603 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.  
hamidjalab@um.edu.my

ABSTRACT

The paper presents an automated algorithm for detecting and classifying magnetic resonance brain slices into normal and abnormal based on a novel three-dimensional modified grey level co-occurrence matrix approach that is used for extracting texture features from MRI brain scans. This approach is used to analyze and measure asymmetry between the two brain hemispheres, based on the prior knowledge that the two hemispheres of a healthy brain have approximately a bilateral symmetry. The experimental results demonstrate the efficacy of our proposed algorithm in detecting brain abnormalities with high accuracy and low computational time. The dataset used in the experiment comprises 165 patients with 88 having different brain abnormalities whilst the remaining do not exhibit any detectable pathology. The algorithm was tested using a ten-fold cross-validation technique with 10 repetitions to avoid the result depending on the sample order. The maximum accuracy achieved for the brain tumors detection was 93.3% using a Multi-Layer Perceptron Neural Network.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Medical image analysis is a rewarding field for investigating, developing and applying methods of image processing, computer vision and pattern recognition. Medical images are different from other images, as they show distributions of various physical features measured from the human body, and attributes that are not otherwise accessible [1]. The role of image processing in medicine has been expanded with the progress of medical imaging technologies. Many of these technologies show a projection of the 3D human body onto a 2D plane and slice images in such a way that the slices may be stacked to create a volume model. Diagnostic imaging is an invaluable tool in medicine today. Standard medical imaging techniques include ultrasonography (US), computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). MRI is a popular imaging technique because it provides more detailed information about tumor types, position and size. Image processing has now been embedded in medical systems and applications and is used widely in medicine from diagnosis to therapy. Brain tumors are different from other neoplasms such as lung and breast, because they are complicated pathologically, difficult to diagnose and considered to have a high morbidity and require special studies [2]. Brain tumors and stroke lesions in particular are crucial cases in medical imaging as their accurate detection and segmentation have a significant influence on clinical diagnosis. They also help in predicting prognosis and treatment in addition to being beneficial for the general modelling of the brain’s pathologies and its anatomical construction [3].

In current clinical routines, most of the clinicians’ time is spent on data examination and interpreting medical images. Therefore, it is desirable to have a high level of experience to carry out manual and accurate segmentation and classification of these images in order to achieve the final diagnosis. However, due to the large number of slices which are produced by medical scanners, the manual detection of tumors is considered to be very cumbersome, a time consuming task and prone to human errors. They are evaluated either based on qualitative criteria by observing hyper intense tissue appearance or by depending on primitive quantitative measures such as the largest diameter visible from the axial viewing [4, 5].

The main objective of this study is to compare and evaluate the results reported in an early study by the authors [6] with the current results using three-dimensional feature extraction method in terms of accuracy and computational complexity. The proposed method is used to extract the texture features that are used to discriminate normal and pathological patients without any clinician’s interception; hence reducing clinicians’ examination and interpretation time. The remainder of the paper is organized as follows, in Section 2, we review some related work and introduce the contribution of this research. In Section 3, material and methods are described. In sections 4 and 5 we demonstrate how the features are extracted and classified respectively. The experimental results are discussed in Section 6. Finally, the conclusions are drawn in Section 7.

2. RELATED WORK

Chaddad [7] presented a novel method for Glioblastoma (GBM) feature extraction from MRI scans based on the Gaussian mixture model (GMM) features. The proposed method is composed of a preprocessing step which included normalization and noise removing. This was followed by the tumor area detection by using thresholding segmentation, and then by features extraction by using GMM. Kharrat, et al. [8] and Beura, et al. [9] used Grey Level Co-occurrence Matrix method (GLCM) and wavelet features to extract texture features in their studies. Kharrat, et al. [8] developed an
3. MATERIAL AND METHODS

The main objective of this research is to investigate the use of texture features that are extracted from volumetric data of MRI for distinguishing the normality and abnormality of MRI brain scans. The overall flow chart of the proposed algorithm was explained in details in [6]. It starts with the data collection phase from the Iraqi hospital. A set of algorithms in the pre-processing stage are implemented and they are followed by a features extraction algorithms by the proposed a three-dimensional modified grey level co-occurrence matrix (3DMGLCM), and finalized by the classification.

3.1 Data Collection

The same data set of MRI brain scans that was used in [6], is used in this study. The dataset was collected from one of Iraqi hospital, and includes 165 patients, they were collected from two different scanners. 88 patients have pathological brain scanning and 77 patients have normal brain scanning.

3.2 Image Preprocessing

Image pre-processing is an important step and associates with preparing the MRI brain scanning images for the main processing tasks of this project. Typically, it includes image resizing, image enhancement, standardizing intensity range, MSP detection and correction, and aligning the MRI slices into the same spatial location. These algorithms were developed and explained in details in [6, 19].

4. Proposed Feature Extraction Method

The fundamental objective of any diagnostic medical imaging investigation is tissue characterization. The texture analysis is an important way of providing unique information on the texture, or spatial variation of pixels from medical images [3]. Texture analysis methods are useful for studying and discriminating between pathologically different regions on medical images because it provides better performance than human eyesight in discriminating certain classes of texture. Practically it requires careful consideration of the significance of the individual features to achieve high discrimination by reducing the effect of heavily correlated features, and the features with little discriminatory power [20]. The choice of appropriate features depends on the particular image and the application and they should be reliable such that the features of the same class should have similar values, uncorrelated in order to avoid the wasteful in computation [21], and they should be extracted in a reliable way [22, 23]. In this study, we concentrate on textural feature because there are no specific size and organized shapes of the brain tumors in addition it may appear in different image intensities [24]. The texture features will be extracted from MRI brain slices to encode clinically valuable information by the proposed modified grey level co-occurrence matrix (3DMGLCM) method that will be used to measure statistically the similarity between the two separated volumetric hemispheres of the brain. Initially, prior pre-processing algorithms that should be taken to prepare the MRI brain slices for texture features extraction by 3DMGLCM are explained in details in details in next sections [6].

4.1 Three-Dimensional Modified Grey Level Co-occurrence Matrix 3DMGLCM Algorithm

The proposed algorithm gives information about the patterning of the texture of MRI brain scanning images which could be used to
calculate textural features. These features are extracted from volumetric data of MRI brain scans and used to measure statistically the degree of symmetry between the two hemispheres of the brain. It is a second order statistical method and used to generate texture features of MRI brain scans by computing a spatial relationship of the joint frequencies of all pairwise combinations of grey levels configuration of each pixel in the left hemisphere of the brain, which is considered as a reference pixel, with one of the nine opposite pixels in the right hemisphere according to the nine offsets. These nine pixels are distributed among three opposite successive slices according to the nine offsets \( \theta = (45,45), (0,45), (315,45), (45,0), (0,0), (315,0), (45,315), (0,315), (315,315) \), and one distance \( d=1 \). Figure 1 shows how the joint frequencies of all pairwise combinations of grey levels configuration of reference pixel in slice \( z \) with nine opposite pixels which are distributed over slices \( z+1, z \), and \( z-1 \). Consequently, because each pixel on the left hemisphere has nine opposite pixels on the right hemisphere, nine co-occurrence matrices were obtained for each MRI brain scanning image. Thereafter, each co-occurrence matrix is normalized by the sum of all its elements to calculate the co-occurrence relative frequency between the grey levels of joint pixels in the brain hemispheres. The nine co-occurrence matrices are defined using Eq. 1.

\[
P(i,j|x,R) = \frac{1}{V} \sum_{x,y,z} \sum_{j=0}^{255} \sum_{i=0}^{255} \left( 1, \text{if } L(x,y,z) = i \text{ and } R(x+dx,y+dy,z+dz) = j \right)
\]

Where \( L \) and \( R \) denote the left and right volumetric hemispheres respectively, both of them have size of \((512\times256\times z)\) pixels.

![Figure 1. Relation between a reference pixel with its opposite nine pixels.](image1)

Where for slice \( z \)
- If \( \theta_1 = 0 \) and \( \theta_2 = 0 \) then \( \Delta x = 0 \) and \( \Delta y = 0 \) and \( \Delta z = 0 \), If \( \theta_1 = 0 \) and \( \theta_2 = 45 \) then \( \Delta x = 1 \) and \( \Delta y = 0 \) and \( \Delta z = 0 \), If \( \theta_1 = 0 \) and \( \theta_2 = 315 \) then \( \Delta x = 1 \) and \( \Delta y = 0 \) and \( \Delta z = 0 \).
- \( z \) represents the number of MRI slices of scan. \( P \) is the resultant co-occurrence matrix. \( \Delta x \), \( \Delta y \), and \( \Delta z \) are changed upon the directions of measured matrix.
- For slice \( z+1 \)
  - If \( \theta_1 = 45 \) and \( \theta_2 = 0 \) then \( \Delta x = 0 \) and \( \Delta y = 0 \) and \( \Delta z = 1 \), If \( \theta_1 = 45 \) and \( \theta_2 = 45 \) then \( \Delta x = 1 \) and \( \Delta y = 0 \) and \( \Delta z = 1 \), If \( \theta_1 = 45 \) and \( \theta_2 = 315 \) then \( \Delta x = 1 \) and \( \Delta y = 0 \) and \( \Delta z = 1 \).
- For slice \( z-1 \)
  - If \( \theta_1 = 315 \) and \( \theta_2 = 45 \) then \( \Delta x = 1 \) and \( \Delta y = 0 \) and \( \Delta z = -1 \), If \( \theta_1 = 315 \) and \( \theta_2 = 315 \) then \( \Delta x = 1 \) and \( \Delta y = 0 \) and \( \Delta z = -1 \), If \( \theta_1 = 315 \) and \( \theta_2 = 0 \) then \( \Delta x = 0 \) and \( \Delta y = 0 \) and \( \Delta z = -1 \).

The resultant co-occurrence matrices are approximately symmetric around the forward diagonal for patients who have healthy brain, and asymmetrical for pathological patients.

Figure 2 shows two examples of abnormal and normal MRI brain scanning and corresponding co-occurrence matrix at \( \theta_1 = 0 \) and \( \theta_2 = 0 \). On the left, the MRI scans of two patients who have pathological and normal brain scanning respectively. On the right, the corresponding co-occurrence matrices of those patients. The computing time for implementing 3DMGLCM for the patient who has 10 MRI slices is about 80 min by using an HP Workstation Z820 with Xeon E5-3.8GHz (Quad-Core), and 16GB of RAM. That means, each slice is required 1.53 min to determine its co-occurrence matrix.

Finally, 21 co-occurrence statistics are extracted from each co-occurrence matrix. These feature were used to measure statistically the degree of symmetry between the two volumetric hemispheres of the brain [6].

4.2 Feature Aggregation

Due to the proposed 3DMGLCM method determining nine co-occurrence matrices for all MRI slices of each patient, there are 21 descriptors that are determined for each co-occurrence matrix. This mean that, there are 189 descriptors for each MRI brain scan in addition to the cross correlation descriptor that is determined for volumetric MRI brain slices. Consequently, there are 190 descriptors for each MRI brain scan.

4.3 Preparing the Extracted Features for Classification

There are some preprocessing steps that should be performed to prepare the extracted features for classification as they help in improving the accuracy, efficiency and scalability of the classification process [25]. Features transformation is the process of transforming all extracted features by normalization, into new forms that are more appropriate for the classification process to prevent features with large ranges outweighing those with smaller ones. In this study, the min-max normalization method is used to perform a linear transformation on the extracted features whilst preserving the relationships between the original features [25].
Relevance Analysis is the process of identifying and removing irrelevant and redundant features that do not contribute to the classification process. It is also used to reduce computational complexity by transforming high-dimensional data into a meaningful representation of a reduced one. It also contributes to improving the training of the classifier to be faster, more effective and more accurate [26, 27]. A redundant feature is defined as one that it is highly correlated with one or more of the other features, provided both of them are relevant, while the irrelevant predictor can be removed without affecting the classification performance.

In this study, stepwise analysis of variance ANOVA [6] is used to measure the significance and relevance of features, it is used to measure the significance of predictors which are extracted by 3DMGLCM. In order to eliminate the features that have small significance as well as minimize the redundancy between features, the F-statistic will be threshold by different threshold values. Such that, the number of features is shrunk when increasing the threshold values. The optimal threshold value is chosen based on examining the classification accuracy of the three classifiers that are used in this study.

4.4 Feature Normalization

All extracted features are normalized by using min-max normalization approach due to the significant variation between the extracted features that makes some predictors that have great values influence more than other predictors with small values on the behavior of the classifier [6, 28].

5. Classification

Classification is the process of sorting objects in an image into separated classes and represents the final step of image processing. Three classifiers are applied and the results are compared. These classifiers are Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA), Support Vector Machine (SVM), and Multi-Layer Perceptron Neural Network (MLP). Training samples are randomly selected and a 10-folded cross-validation is used to validate the robustness of our proposed system. Cross-validation also helps to prevent overfitting.

6. Experimental Results

To evaluate the proposed algorithms of this study a set of examples will be implemented using these algorithms.

6.1 Classification Results

As mentioned earlier, 190 features were extracted for each image using the 3DMGLCM method. The highest classification accuracy was 88.2% achieved by SVM. The performance of 3DMGLCM method was compared with the achieved results of MGLCM method that was described in [6]. Similarly, the same co-occurrence statistics which were used in this study were computed using the traditional grey level co-occurrence matrix (GLCM) method in order to demonstrate a comparison between these two methods. Four co-occurrence matrices with four orientations (0°, 45°, 90° and 135°) and distance 1 were computed. The maximum classification accuracy was achieved by MLP at 86%, followed by 82% for SVM and the LDA classifier achieved 74%. Additionally, the performance of the features which were extracted by 3DMGLCM was compared with the Gabor wavelet features that were applied with five different scales and eight orientations using a window size of (33x33). The length of the Gabor feature vector was 655360. The achieved classification accuracy by the three classifiers was 90% for SVM, 62.5% for LDA and 87.4% for MLP. Figure 3 shows that there is a superiority in classification accuracies of MGLCM than LDA and MLP, while, 3DMGLCM outweighed GLCM when using SVM and MLP classifiers. After implementing the stepwise analysis of variance ANOVA method for relevance analysis, in this study the critical value α is set to 0.001 in order to get highly significant features. The assessment of the features will depend on both F-statistic value and p-value, as it is not enough that a predictor has a p-value that is less than 0.001 but it should also have a high F-statistic value.

In order to eliminate the features that have small significance as well as minimize the redundancy between features, the F-statistic will be threshold by different threshold values. Different F-statistic threshold values are tested at each run (see Table 1). It is noted that the classification accuracies of the three classifiers have improved and reached the maximum value when the F-statistic threshold value is set to 0, and the best performance of MLP, SVM, and LDA were 93.3%, 88.2% and 76.8% respectively (see Figure 4). The transfer function that was used in the MLP network was the tangent function as well as the scaled conjugate gradient method (trainscg) that is suggested by MATLAB was used to update the weights and bias values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>F-statistic threshold value</th>
<th>No. of selected predictors</th>
<th>LDA Accuracy</th>
<th>SVM Accuracy</th>
<th>MLP Accuracy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>76.8%</td>
<td>88.2%</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>77.3%</td>
<td>88.2%</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>61.2%</td>
<td>89.3%</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. The result of using different F-statistic threshold values on the classification accuracy.

The average performance of the MLP network was 93.3% and sensitivity and specificity rates were 94.1% and 92.8% respectively. Consequently, the number of predictors in the feature vector is reduced from 190 to 100 predictors with 90 predictors discarded and considered as irrelevant or redundant features. Eleven relevant and significant features for each angle of the 3DMGLCM are chosen by the ANOVA method namely: contrast,
correlation, dissimilarity, energy, homogeneity, maximum probability, difference entropy, information measure of correlation I, information measure of correlation II, inverse difference normalized (IDN), inverse difference moment normalized (IDMN), cross correlation. Figure 5 shows how these selected features are significantly different in means and standard errors (SE) between these two groups.

7. Discussion

Since the visual diagnosis of the MRI scans is subjective and depends on the expertise of the radiologist, texture analysis has been widely studied for improving the diagnosis of MRI brain scans.

![Figure 4. The optimal number of features corresponding with optimal threshold value.](image)

![Figure 5. Textural features (mean ± standard error) of the normal and pathological MRI brain scans.](image)

A novel 3DMGLCM method was proposed to accelerate and reduce the computational complexity of the texture feature extraction from MRI brain slices of the MGLCM method. This method was implemented on all MRI slices of each patient at the same time instead of extracting texture features from each MRI slice separately from its neighboring slices such as MGLCM method. Over the entire dataset which included 165 patients, the average highest achievable accuracy by 3DMGLCM was 93.3% by using MLP classifier compared with 97.8% was achieved by MGLCM. Since there are nine co-occurrence matrices are computed by 3DMGLCM, the total processing time is TP=9n for all MRI slices of each patient and by using the Big-O notation, we could write T_f = O(9n), compared with the Big-O notation of MGLCM was T_f = O(9n) for each MRI slice. That means the computation complexity of MGLCM method is multiplied with increasing number of MRI slices. Consequently, the computation time for extracting texture features from MRI brain scanning is reduced from 2.3 min/MRI slice using MGLCM method to 1.53 min/MRI slice using 3DMGLCM method by using an HP Workstation Z820 with Xeon E5-3.8GHz (Quad-Core), and 16GB of RAM. It is concluded, that there is a trade-off between accuracy and computation time, once the accuracy is an important, the computation time will be neglected and vice versa. The MGLCM gives high performance and accuracy in discriminating the normality and abnormality of the brain without needing to implement skull removing.

8. Conclusion

An automated screening algorithm of MRI brain scanning images is developed to identify brain abnormality. This algorithm helps clinicians to improve the accuracy of the diagnosis and to reduce the diagnosing time. It is observed that the statistical texture features which were extracted by 3DMGLCM are sufficient to discriminate the pathological patients from non-pathological patients by using T2 weighted MRI images because most of the brain tumors appear hyper intense in these images relative to normal brain tissue.

It is also noted, that the achieved accuracy with low computational complexity demonstrates the efficiency of the proposed method for features extraction and its independence from the atlas registration method. A further advantage of our approach is that it uses a single MRI scan modality (T2 weighted image). The 3DMGLCM gives high performance and accuracy in discriminating the normality and abnormality of the brain. However, the method is computationally expensive and memory requirements represent the main disadvantage.
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