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BACKGROUND

A crucial part of auditory scene analysis is attention:

- top-down, attentional 'searchlight' controlled by the listener, and
- bottom-up, which relies on physical features of sound. The property of sound which makes it stand out in a scene is saliency.

A crucial part of auditory scene analysis is attention: which sound will become foreground, and which will become background.

Both affect stream competition, which determines which sound will become foreground, and which will be background.

METHODS

Experiment was based on the Segregation of Asynchronous Patterns (SOAP) framework [1].

- Assumption: in absence of top-down effects, a more salient stream will be more likely to become foreground. Also, it will be easier to detect changes in the foreground (more salient) stream.
- Scenario: two simultaneous sound patterns, one of which includes a change (shortened inter-stimulus interval). Task: detect the change and determine in which pattern it originated.

- Spatial extension of SOAP: sound patterns from 2 different location around the listener.
- Stimuli: patterns of noise bursts
  - 2 frequencies: high- and low-pass filtered noise, \( f_0 = 2\text{kHz} \)
  - 2 pattern tempos: short and long stimuli
- Setup: listening room, 6 loudspeakers

OBJECTIVE

The objective of this study is to test the relationship between absolute stimulus location and saliency.

- Hypothesis: perceived saliency of sound changes with absolute location of stimulus around the listener.
- Motivation: aid development of a spatial auditory attention model.

If the hypothesis is true, this effect could be directly implemented in a binaural saliency model.

RESULTS

Response Times

Pre-processing: normalizing 1/x transformation was applied (resulting in response speed).

Statistical analysis: repeated-measures ANOVA to test main effects of location, frequency and tempo and their interactions on response speed:

- effect of tempo, \( F(1,18)=30.9, p<0.0001, \eta^2_p=0.01 \)
- interaction effect between location and frequency, \( F(5,90)=5.1, p=0.0004, \eta^2_p=0.02 \)

For high frequency sounds - effect of location on response speed, \( F(5,90)=3.4, p=0.008, \eta^2_p=0.03. \)

- interaction effect between frequency and tempo, \( F(1,18)=7.2, p=0.02, \eta^2_p=0.01 \)

Accuracy

Pre-processing: personalized acceptance window, removed on average 27% of each response.

Statistical analysis: Generalized Estimating Equations, significant predictors:

- interaction between frequency and tempo \( (\beta=0.76, \text{SE}=0.18, p<0.0001) \)
- interaction between location 4 (back) and frequency \( (\beta=0.82, \text{SE}=0.41, p=0.045). \)

DISCUSSION

Summary:

- Participants generally responded quicker to the fast pattern than to the slow pattern (median difference, \( md = 40\text{ms} \), but not more accurately.
- The effect of tempo was smaller for low frequency (\( md = 20\text{ms} \)) than for high frequency sounds (\( md = 57\text{ms} \)). The responses were also more likely to be correct for high frequency fast, than low frequency slow patterns.
- For high frequency stimuli, responses were slower (\( md = 84\text{ms} \)) if target was behind the listener, than if it was in front of them, and they were also more likely to be incorrect.
- The results suggest that spatial saliency is related to the spectral content of the sound. For high (but not low) frequency noise, sounds in the back are less salient than those in the front.

Future work:

- Analyse head movement data from Kinect
- Similar experiment but with natural sounds and/or including visual attention effects
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