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Abstract

Gliding bacteria are virtually everywhere. These organisms are phylogenetically diverse with their hundreds of types, different shapes and several modes of motility. One possible mode of gliding motility in the rod shaped bacteria is that they propel themselves by producing undulating waves in their body. Few bacteria glides near the solid surface over the slime without any aid of flagella so the classical Navier-Stokes equations are incapable of explaining the slime rheology at the microscopic level. Micropolar fluid dynamics however provides a solid framework for mimicking bacterial physical phenomena at both micro and nano-scales, and therefore we use the micropolar fluid to characterize the rheology of a thin layer of slime and its dominant microrotation effects. It is also assumed that there is a certain degree of slip between slime and bacterial undulating surface and also between slime and solid substrate. The flow equations are formulated under long wavelength and low Reynolds number assumptions. Exact expressions for stream function and pressure gradient are obtained. The speed of the gliding bacteria is numerically calculated by using a modified Newton-Raphson method. Slip effects and effects of non-Newtonian slime parameters on bacterial speed and power are also quantified. In addition, when the glider is fixed, the effects of slip and rheological properties of micropolar slime parameters on the velocity, micro-rotation (angular velocity) of spherical slime particles, pressure rise per wavelength, pumping and trapping phenomena are also shown graphically and discussed in detail. The study is relevant to emerging biofuel cell technologies and also bacterial biophysics.

© 2018 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Introduction

Prokaryotic microorganisms achieve locomotion by several possible modes, for instance, swimming in a fluid medium, crawling, gliding, and skimming over solid substrates. Among these microorganisms, gliding is adopted by rod-shaped bacteria which do not possess flagella. Some pertinent examples include flexibacter stain BH 3, cytophaga, oscillitaria and vitreoscilla. This gathering of life forms also incorporates the phototrophic cyanobacteria and a portion of the phototrophic green microbes and additionally the chemoheterotrophic filamentous floating microscopic organisms, fruiting myxobacteria, and cytophageae. Generally, few of these bacteria glide on a thin layer of adhesive slime. The slime is basically a loose thin sheath, composed of exopolysaccharides, glycoproteins and glycolipids secreted by the bacterium, and is distinct to the S-layer (surface layer). The S-layer consist of monomolecular layer composed of (5 to 10% of total cell protein) glycoprotein. Bacterial S-layers (present in most of the gliding bacteria) plays an important role in enhancing their ability to associate with macrophages, shape maintenance of bacterium, molecular sieving and facilitating binding of bacterium to the host molecules [1]. The role of S-layer in bacterial motion is very important. S-layer helps to maintain overall rigidity of the cell wall and surface layers, as well as cell shape, which are important for self-propulsion. S-layers protect the cell from ion/pH changes, osmotic stress, detrimental enzymes, bacterial viruses, and predator bacteria. They can provide cell adhesion to other cells or surfaces. For pathogenic bacteria they can provide protection from phagocytosis.

Bacterial gliding is not only limited to aqueous phases but adjusted to drier regions and to development inside of solid masses, for example, soil, silt, and decaying wood that are penetrated by little channels [2]. The exact mechanism of gliding motility is still in debate. Available literature supports the bacterial motility by rotating cell surface discs, electro-kinesis, slime secretion, osmotic forces, generating peristaltic waves within their surface, and contractile components [3,4]. Here, we focus our attention in examining the bacterial gliding by means of undulatory waves in its outer surface. This approach has been supported by
numerous researchers who have confirmed the robustness of the theory of bacterial gliding as a result of undulating waves in the external layer of their cell and due to the secretion of the parallel array of slime fibrils. This hypothesis was suggested by Costerton et al. [5] as a possible mechanism of gliding motility adopted by vitreoscilla. Halen and Castenholz [6] suggested that gliding motility in blue-green algae (oscillatoria princeps) is due to its wavy surface, which is nearly in contact with the solid boundary.

Humphrey et al. [7] supported the hypothesis of flexibacter’s movement by dispatching waves in its outer surface. Read et al. [8] accepted this theory as a mechanism responsible for generating the necessary force for gliding in cyanobacteria. In his review article, Hoiczky [9] reported on the generation of small surface waves, which travel down the filament surface, as one of the two possible mechanism adopted by cyanobacteria for motility. The micrographical results of the locomotion of cytophaga sp strain RB1058 also confirms the same phenomenon of gliding motility (associated with undulating outer surface) on a trail of thin liquid over a solid wall [10]. These biological studies motivated O’Brien [11] to develop a mathematical model to study the gliding motion of bacteria. He calculated the power dissipation and maximum speed of the flexibacter using lubrication theory. Subsequently the locomotion of the flexibacter by the same mechanism was addressed by Wakabayashi et al. [12] and later by Ridgway and Lewin [13]. Nakane et al. [14] observed a novel apparatus composed of Gld and Spr proteins for Flavobacterium gliding. In view of their results they proposed a model for gliding. In the same view of their results they proposed a model for gliding. In this model, a new finding helps us to calculate the gliding speed for large values of the rheological parameter. Moreover, in the present case the distance between bacterial lower surface and solid substrate is so small (filled with a thin layer of non-Newtonian fluid) which give rise to the dominant microrotation effects of the spherical slime particle.

The present letter is arranged as follows: In Section “Micropolar slime model and mathematical analysis”, we present the geometry of the problem along with the governing equations for micropolar fluid which is used to simulate the bacterial slime. The problem is also formulated with lubrication theory i.e. long wavelength approximation and low Reynolds number assumptions in Sectio n “Micropolar slime model and mathematical analysis”. Exact solutions are determined in Section “Exact solutions”. In Section “Forces generated and power required for propulsion”, the forces generated by the organism and power required for propulsion are presented. Gliding speed is calculated in Section “Gliding speed of the organism”. The results obtained for a fixed glider are explained in Section “The pumping problem”. Conclusions are summarized in Section “Conclusions”. All the constants involved in the solution of the problem are listed in the Appendix.

Micropolar slime model and mathematical analysis

Let (U and V) are the velocity components along X- and Y-directions (i.e. fixed frame OXYZ), respectively. Fig. 1 illustrates the undulating surface model in which the upper peristaltic wall represents the rightward moving waves generated in the outer surface of the bacteria. The velocity of the wave is $c$. Due to these continuous moving waves bacteria pushes backward a thin layer of micropolar slime and thus, engaging itself in a self-powered motion called gliding that permits the organism to propel with speed $V_g$ near the lower slippery solid boundary (substratum). So $(V_g - c)$ is the relative wave speed with respect to the fixed frame (OXYZ). The profile of the sinusoidal wave within the glider’s outer surface is described by the following expression [22–26].

$$h(X, t) = h_0 + a\sin \left( \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} (X - (c - V_g) t) \right), \quad (1)$$

where $a$ is the amplitude, $\lambda$ is the wavelength and $h_0$ is the mean distance of organism from the solid substrate. In the fixed frame, the flow is unsteady. However, it can be treated as steady in a frame oxyz moving with the speed $c$. The frame oxyz is called the wave frame.
The dimensional slip conditions in fixed frame (OXYZ) of reference are

\[ U = -V_g - \frac{l}{\eta} T_{xy} \text{ at } Y = h, \]
\[ U = \frac{l}{\eta} T_{xy} \text{ at } Y = 0, \]

where \( l \) is the slip length. The choice of slip condition is motivated by the fact that the slime beneath glider is typically a non-Newtonian fluid [26,35] and it is generally accepted that there is a certain amount of slip present when non-Newtonian fluids flow in contact to a solid boundary. This behavior of non-Newtonian fluid is quite different from Newtonian fluids for which adherence condition is valid. The transformations relating coordinates, velocities and pressures between the fixed frame (OXYZ) and the wave frame (oxyz) are defined as:

\[ x = X - (c - V_g)t, \quad y = Y, \]
\[ u = U - (c - V_g), \quad v = V, \quad p = p \]

where \( u, v \) are the respective velocity components in the wave frame along the \( x \) and \( y \) directions. The constitutive equations characterizing the steady flow of the micropolar slime in the wave frame are given by

\[ \nu_{ij} = 0, \]
\[ \rho \nu_i \nu_j = T_{ij}, \]
\[ \rho j \nu_i \omega_j = \Gamma_{ij} + \nu_{ij} T_{jk}, \]

while the linear constitutive laws take the forms [27,32].

\[ T_{ij} = -p \delta_{ij} + \frac{1}{2} \left( 2\eta k + k \right) \left( \nu_{ij} + \nu_{ji} \right) + k \epsilon_{ijk} (o_k - w_k), \]
\[ \Gamma_{ij} = \alpha \omega_{i,k} \delta_{ij} + \beta w_{ij} + \gamma_{ij}, \]

where \( T_{ij} \) and \( \Gamma_{ij} \) are Cauchy stress tensor and couple stress tensor, respectively. \( \delta_{ij} \) is the Kronecker delta, \( \epsilon_{ijk} \) is the alternating tensor, \( p \) is the isotropic pressure, \( \nu_i \) are the components of velocity vector, \( o_k \) are the components of vorticity vector \( (o_k = (1/2) \epsilon_{ijk} \partial_j v_i) \) and \( w_i \) are the components of micro-rotation vector \( w \) and \( \rho j \) are the fluid density and micro-rotation parameter and a comma after \( \nu \), respectively.

\[ \Gamma_{ij} \]

The choice of micropolar model for slime is driven by the fact that the gap between substrate and glider is very small (of the order \( 10^{-5} \) m). The micro-rotation effect is of crucial importance for flows in narrow gaps (channel). It is expected that when fluid flow through such gap the micro-rotation of the fluid particles (micropolarity of the fluid) affect the important flow characteristics. This factor is investigated thoroughly by Pietal [31] for squeeze flow of water, blood and electro-rheological suspension using micropolar fluid. In view of the above fact, it is quite natural to investigate the effect of micropolarity of the fluid on gliding mechanism.

The velocity field of the slime is given by \( \mathbf{v} = (u, v, 0) \) and its particle’s micro-rotation vector is \( \mathbf{w} = (0,0,w) \). To facilitate solutions, the following dimensionless variable and numbers are introduced:

\[ x' = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} x, \quad y' = \frac{y}{h_0}, \quad u' = \frac{u}{c}, \quad v' = \frac{v}{\partial c}, \quad h' = \frac{h(x)}{h_0}, \]
\[ p' = \frac{2\pi h_0}{\lambda} p, \quad T_0 = \frac{h_0}{\eta C_2} T_{ij}, \quad f' = \frac{1}{h_0} f, \quad \delta = \frac{2\pi h_0}{\lambda}, \quad \text{Re} = \frac{p h_0}{\eta}, \]
\[ N = \frac{k}{\eta + k}, \quad m = \frac{N(2-N)}{c(1-N)}, \quad \epsilon = \frac{\gamma_{ij}}{h_0^2} \]

Further we define a stream function by the relations

\[ u' = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y}, \quad v' = -\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}, \]

Substituting Eqs. (7) and (8) into Eqs. (4)–(6), using relations (10) the resulting dimensionless conservation equations for linear momentum and angular momentum (micro-rotation) emerge as:

\[ \delta \text{Re} \left[ \frac{\partial (\partial \psi)}{\partial y} \frac{\partial (\partial \psi)}{\partial x} \frac{\partial (\partial \psi)}{\partial y} \right] = \]
\[ -\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{1-N} \left[ N \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} \left( \delta \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial y^2} \right) - \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} \right], \]

\[ \delta \text{Re} \left[ \frac{\partial (\partial \psi)}{\partial y} \frac{\partial (\partial \psi)}{\partial x} \frac{\partial (\partial \psi)}{\partial y} \right] = \]
\[ \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{1-N} \left[ N \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} \left( \delta \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial y^2} \right) - \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} \right], \]

\[ \frac{\partial \text{Re}(1-N)}{\partial x} \left[ \frac{\partial (\partial \psi)}{\partial y} \frac{\partial (\partial \psi)}{\partial x} \right] \mathbf{w} = \]
\[ -2 w + \left( \delta \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial y^2} \right) \left[ \frac{\epsilon (1-N)}{N} \mathbf{w} - \psi \right]. \]
In the above equations, the superscript * is omitted for the brevity. It is noted that due to the solenoidal nature of the microrotation vector, the coefficient of gyroviscosity \( \alpha \), and \( \beta \), do not appear in the governing equations. Moreover, these equations reduce to the classical Navier-Stokes equations (for the Newtonian case) when \( N, \epsilon \), and \( j \) vanish. Employing the long wavelength and low Reynolds number assumption, Eqs. (12)-(14) reduce to [22-26]:

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial y^2} = (1 - N) \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} - N \frac{\partial w}{\partial y},
\]

(15)

\[
\frac{\partial p}{\partial y} = 0,
\]

(16)

\[
\psi(1 - N) \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial y^2} - 2w = \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial y^2},
\]

(17)

Eqs. (15)-(17) are subject to following slip boundary conditions:

\[
\psi = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} = V_b + \beta T_{xy}, \quad w = 0, \quad \text{at} \ y = 0,
\]

(18)

\[
\psi = F, \quad \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} = -1 - \beta T_{xy}, \quad w = 0, \quad \text{at} \ y = h.
\]

where \( \beta (= \eta) \) and \( \phi (= \xi) \) is the slip and occlusion parameter, respectively with \( V_b = \frac{\eta}{\xi} - 1 \). Here the flow rates in fixed (OXYZ) and wave (Oxyz) frames are denoted by \( \Theta \) and \( F \), respectively. They are related to each other according to [26]:

\[
\Theta = F - V_b.
\]

(19)

**Exact solutions**

In view of Eq. (16), Eq. (15) can be written as:

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial y^2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[ (1 - N) \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} y - Nw \right].
\]

(20)

Integration of Eq. (20) yields:

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial y^2} = (1 - N) \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} y - Nw + C_1.
\]

(21)

Using Eq. (21) in Eq. (17), we get

\[
d^2w \quad m^2w = (1 - N) \chi^2 \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} y + \chi^2 C_1.
\]

(22)

The general solution of Eq. (22) is:

\[
w = C_2 \cosh \chi y + C_3 \sinh \chi y + \frac{2 1}{m^2} \left[ (1 - N) \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} y + C_1 \right].
\]

(23)

Replacing the value of \( w \) in Eq. (21) and integrating twice gives:

\[
\psi = (1 - N) \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{N \chi^2}{m^2} \right) \frac{\chi y^3}{3} + C_1 \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{N \chi^2}{m^2} \right) \frac{y^2}{2} \right]
\]

\[
- \frac{NC_2}{m^2} \cosh \chi y - \frac{NC_2}{m^2} \sinh \chi y + C_4 \chi y + C_5,
\]

(24)

in which \( C_{1-5} \) are constant of integration, \( m = \sqrt{(2 - N) \chi} \) and \( \chi^2 = N / \alpha (1 - N) \).

The constants \( C_{1-5} \) can be determine by using boundary conditions (18) and are given by:

The axial pressure gradient is given by:

\[
\frac{dp}{dx} = \frac{3 \sinh \chi h (2F + h - hv_b)}{h(N - 1)(I_{23} + I_{24} \sinh \chi h)}.
\]

(25)

For the micropolar slime, the stress tensor is non-symmetric. The dimensionless forms of the shear and normal stresses for the problem under consideration are given by:

\[
T_{xy} = \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial y^2} - \left( \frac{N}{1 - N} \right) w,
\]

(26)

\[
T_{xx} = \left( \frac{1}{1 - N} \right) \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial y^2} + \left( \frac{N}{1 - N} \right) w.
\]

(27)

\[
T_{xx} = 0, \quad T_{yy} = 0.
\]

(28)

In view of Eq. (25), the dimensionless pressure rise per wave length is given by:

\[
\Delta p = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{dp}{dx} dx = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{3 \sinh \chi (2F + h - hv_b)}{h(N - 1)(I_{23} + I_{24} \sinh \chi h)} dx.
\]

(29)

**Forces generated and power required for propulsion**

The dimensionless horizontal and vertical forces on the bacterial organism surface are given by

\[
F_x = \int_0^{2\pi} \tau_x \mid_{y=0} dx, \quad F_y = \int_0^{2\pi} \tau_y \mid_{y=0} dx.
\]

(30)

Here \( \tau_x \) and \( \tau_y \) are components of the stress vectors \( \tau \) defined as follows:

\[
\tau = \begin{pmatrix} \tau_x \\ \tau_y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} + T_{xy} \\ -\frac{w}{\partial x} + T_{xy} \end{pmatrix}.
\]

(31)

For brevity, here we omit the detailed derivation of Eq. (32), which can be found in Ref. [22]. From, Eqs. (26)-(28) and (31), Eq. (30) gives:

\[
F_x = \int_0^{2\pi} \left( 3 \sinh \chi h (2F + h - hv_b) \right) \frac{m(N - 2)(I_{23} + I_{24} \sinh \chi h)}{2I_{25} I_{26}} dx.
\]

(32)

\[
F_y = 0.
\]

(33)

The vertical force is zero, due to the choice of symmetric wave form on the glider surface. In the moving frame of reference this wave causes the glider surface to move to and fro in y-direction. Therefore the horizontal component of \( \tau \) does not play any role in the power propulsion. The power required for propulsion in non-dimensional form [11] is:

\[
P = -\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{dh}{dx} dx
\]

(34)

Integration of (34) yields:

\[
P = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{3 \sinh \chi h (2F + h - hv_b)}{(N - 1)(I_{23} + I_{24} \sinh \chi h)} dx.
\]

(35)

**Gliding speed of the organism**

Bacterium steadily glides over a solid wall only when the equilibrium condition (i.e., \( F_x, F_z \) and \( \Delta p \), must be zero) is satisfied. As in the Newtonian case, the lift force \( (F_z) \) generated by the micropolar slime is also zero. Therefore, we are left with two non-linear equations as a result of equating to zero the expressions of \( \Delta p \) and \( F_z \). These equations are:

\[
\Delta p (V_b, F) = \int_0^{2\pi} \left( \frac{dp}{dx} (V_b, F; x) \right)_{y=0} dx = 0.
\]

(36)

\[
F_z (V_b, F) = \int_0^{2\pi} \left( \tau_{xy} (V_b, F; x) - \frac{w}{\partial x} (V_b, F; x) \right)_{y=0} dx = 0.
\]

(37)
From (36) and (37), it is difficult to find the closed form expressions of $V_b$ and $F$ in term of other parameters. Hence, for the fixed values of $\phi$, $m$, $\beta$ and $N$, one can calculate the plausible numerical values of $F$ and $V_b$ using the modified Newton-Raphson method. The numerical solution obtained via this procedure must satisfy physically realistic conditions such that $-1 < V_b < 0$ and $F < 0$. To employ modified Newton-Raphson method, we set

$$r = \left[ \frac{V_b}{F} \right]$$

and

$$\eta = \left[ \frac{\Delta P}{F_{c0}} \right].$$

Starting with some initial guess, the refined values of $V_b$ and $F$ can be obtained using the formula:

$$r_{i+1} = r_i - \frac{\partial r_i}{\partial r_i}, \quad \text{where } i = 0, 1, 2 \ldots ,$$

where the Jacobian matrix $J$ is defined as:

$$J = \left[ \begin{array}{c}
\frac{\partial r_i}{\partial \Delta P} \\
\frac{\partial r_i}{\partial F_c}
\end{array} \right].$$

The iteration procedure described above is implemented in the symbolic code Mathematica and results obtained are shown graphically in Figs. 2–4.

To develop a compatibility between the experimental values i.e. $h_0 = 0.025 \mu m$, $\phi = 0.07 \mu m$, $a = 0.015 \mu m$, $\eta = 1P$ and $V_b = 1.5 \mu m/s$ (gliding speed of Flexibacter sp. Strain BH3) and our theoretical results Fig. 2 is plotted where, the value of wave speed can be approximated as $c = 2.5 \mu m/s$ (see Refs. [22,35]). Hence the non-dimensional gliding speed can be approximated as $V_g/c = 0.6$.

Fig. 2 clearly testifies our model as it indicates that the plot reaches the experimental value of the bacterial speed with considerable slip effects.

In order to illustrate the general trend, gliding speed of the bacterium as a function of micropolar parameter ($\varepsilon$) is displayed in Fig. 3(a) for different values of slip parameter ($\beta$).

This figure reveals the asymptotic behavior of the bacterial velocity profile for the large values of $\varepsilon$. It is revealed that for fixed occlusion ratio ($\phi$) and coupling number ($N$), the organism achieves maximum velocity at $\varepsilon = 0.1$. Fig. 3(a) also reveals that the presence of slip (between bacterium and slime along with the slime and solid substrate) tends to slow down the gliding speed. The bacterial speed as a function of coupling number is depicted in Fig. 3(b) for different values of $\phi$. It is observed that bacteria glides faster over a slime with large coupling numbers as compared to the slime for which $N = 0$ (Newtonian case). More efficient bacterial propulsion is therefore achieved in micropolar slime rather than Newtonian slime. Rheology of the bacterium environment therefore contributes to improving propulsive efficiency. Newtonian models under-predict the propulsive performance. The plot also confirms that the organism achieves more speed as the amplitude of wave with its outer surface gets larger.

Our results also estimate the power required for $0.5 \mu m$ wide and $10 \mu m$ long glider as $5.54 \times 10^{-10} erg/s$. Humphrey and Marshall [7] also reported the experimental value of power required to sustain such motion given as $5 \times 10^{-7} erg/s$. Our calculated value is much less than the experimental given by Humphrey and Marshall, which confirms the feasibility of our analysis.

The variation in power propulsion is depicted in Fig. 4(a) and (b). Fig. 4(a) is in correspondence with Fig. 3(a). It is noted that power required for propulsion decreases in magnitude with increasing slip effects.

The power increases initially with increasing values of $\varepsilon$ and for large values of $\varepsilon$ it (power) exhibit asymptotic behavior. While Fig. 4(b) (is in correspondence with Fig. 3(b)) shows that the larger amplitude waves therefore necessitate greater power for propelling the bacterium. Moreover, a slight increase in power is also noted when slime rheology changes from Newtonian to micropolar.

The pumping problem

The closed form solution of Eqs. (15) and (17) along with the boundary conditions given in Eq. (18) is obtained and the influence of coupling number ($N$), micropolar parameter ($\varepsilon$), slip parameter ($\beta$), flux rate ($F$) and bacteria wave amplitude ($\phi$) on velocity component ($u$) microrotation ($w$), pressure rise ($\Delta P$) and stream function ($\psi$) are graphically shown in Figs. 5–9 for $V_b = 1$. The case $V_b = -1$ corresponds to the pumping scenario, in which bacterium is stationary i.e., $V_g = 0$. Indeed, this case represents the peristaltic flow of micropolar fluid between a substrate and a wavy wall.

Fig. 5(a) and (b) have been plotted to illustrate the slip effects at two different flow rates along with the effects of micropolar parameter and coupling number on the velocity profile respectively. Both figures show parabolic velocity profiles indicating non-
linear velocity variations at the cross-section $x = -\pi/2$. It is further observed that with increasing the coupling number ($N$) or decreasing the micropolar parameter ($\epsilon$), the slime velocity is increased (i.e. slime acceleration occurs) in the central part of the domain $y \in [0, h]$ while the opposite trend prevails in the vicinity of the walls. Fig. 5 also depicts that the slippery boundaries assist the flow of slime adjacent to it and as the distance from these slippery boundaries are increased (i.e. in the central part) the situation become opposite.

Fig. 6(a)–(d) illustrate the influence of micropolar parameter ($\epsilon$), coupling number ($N$), flow rate ($F$) and slip parameter ($\beta$) on the microrotation of the spherical slime particles. It is clear from
Fig. 6 that the behavior of microrotation is symmetric about the center of the channel. The micro-rotation increases uniformly in magnitude with decreasing $e$ in both channel halves (Fig. 6(a)). For small values of $e$, microrotation varies sharply near the walls and behaves linearly over the remainder of the cross section. This clearly indicates the formation of a thin boundary layer at both the walls for small values of $e$.

In fact, in such case the micro elements of the slime exhibit strong rotation near the walls. In contrast, no such boundary layer is formed with increasing $N$ and $b$ although the microrotation increases in magnitude in both the channel halves with increasing $N$ (Fig. 6(b)). In contrast Fig. 6(c) shows that the increase in the slip effects causes a reduction in the microrotation of the spherical slime particles.

Fig. 7. Plots of pressure rise per wavelength $\Delta P$ versus flow rate $\Theta$ for various values of $e, N, \beta$ and $\phi$. Panel (a) $\phi = 0.3$, $\beta = 0$ and $N = 0.85$, panel (b) $\phi = 0.3$, $\beta = 0$ and $e = 4.8$ and panel (c) $\phi = 0.3$, $N = 0.85$ and $e = 4.8$. Here $V_b = -1$.

Fig. 8. The pattern of streamline for different values of $\beta$ when $\phi = 0.4$, $N = 0.8$, $F = 0.2$, $V_b = -1$ and $e = 4.8$. 
The plots of pressure rise per wavelength against time average flux $H$ for different values of rheological parameters and slip parameter is illustrated in Fig. 7 (a)–(c). It is demonstrated in Fig. 7(a) that pressure rise decreases in the pumping region ($\Delta p > 0, \Theta > 0$) with decreasing micropolar parameter ($\epsilon$). The free pumping flux i.e., $\Theta$ for $\Delta p = 0$ is found to decrease with increasing $m$. In the co-pumping region ($\Delta p < 0, \Theta > 0$), the magnitude of pressure rise per wavelength decreases by reducing the values of $\epsilon$.

Fig. 7(b) illustrates the effect of coupling number $N$ on $\Delta p$. In the pumping region, larger values of coupling number ($N$), enhance the magnitude of $\Delta p$ indicating that greater effort is rendered by the wavy wall (organism) to maintain the prescribed flux of a micropolar slime in comparison to the Newtonian slime scenario. The free pumping flux is nearly independent of the coupling parameter $N$. However, larger values of $N$ in the co-pumping region enhance the magnitude of $\Delta p$. Fig. 7(c) shows a decrease in $\Delta p$ with increasing $\beta$. Free pumping flux also decreases with increasing slip effects between the fixed glider and secreted slime and also between the moving slime and fixed substrate.

Fig. 8 displays the streamlines representing the slime flow pattern for four different values of the coupling number $\beta$. In general, it is noted that the streamlines resemble in shape the wavy wall boundary (shown with solid pink wave). However, some recirculating zones can be identified in the central region of the flow domain. These recirculating zones are largely dependent on the values of the involved parameters. Flow patterns of the slime for four different values of slip parameter are represented in Fig. 8. It is evident that the size of circulating bolus decreases with increasing $\beta$. Finally the bolus disappear at a particular value of slip parameter $\beta = 0.6$ as shown in Fig. 8. Finally, the streamlines patterns for different coupling numbers are shown in Fig. 9. The size of trapped bolus is enhanced with increasing coupling number. This further confirms that greater mixing is realized with increasing micropolarity of the slime.

**Conclusions**

The gliding motility of bacteria on a thin layer of micropolar slime is investigated employing an undulating surface model. The lubrication approximation theory (LAT) is utilized to simplify the flow equations of micropolar slime. The problem is solved analytically. The exact expression for the stream function $\psi$ and pressure gradient $\frac{dp}{dx}$ are also obtained. The Modified Newton Raphson method is used to calculate steady gliding speed of the organism. The pumping problem is also considered when the bacterium is held fixed and the associated undulating motion generates a net flow in the positive $x$-direction. Velocity, micro-rotation, pressure rise and streamlines for different values of rheological parameters are also plotted to observe the slime behavior. The principal observations from the current study can be summarized as:

- The bacterium glides faster on the micropolar slime as compared to the Newtonian slime.
- The speed of the organism increases with increasing amplitude of the undulating wave on the organism surface, while slip resist the gliding motility.
- The glider achieves maximum speed at $\epsilon = 0.1$ irrespective of the choice of other parameters. These results are appropriate to use in Bio-mechatronics engineering to adjust the slime rheology with certain amount of slip in such a way that an artificial mechanical glider can attain its maximum speed.
- The gliding speed and power propulsion achieves asymptotic behaviors for large values of rheological parameters. These new results are quite interesting and give us more insight...
to bacterial hydrodynamics, since our previous study [26,35] predicts the monotonic behavior for gliding speed and power at small values of rheological parameters.

- For the case when the organism is held fixed and its undulating motion generate a net flow in the positive x-direction, velocity of the slime follows an increasing trend at the channel center with increasing N (or decreasing $\varepsilon$).

- The microrotation profile is symmetric about the center of the channel and slime micro-elements rotate with the greater speed as the coupling number and micropolar parameter are increased but increasing slip result in reduction of microrotation of the slipped elements.

- The pressure rise per wavelength in the pumping region increases with a departure in the slime rheology from Newtonian to micropolar.

- A modification in the slip parameter from 0 to 0.6 results in a non-Newtonian fluid effect of the spermatozoa in the cervical canal. IJEST 1982;20:1037–48
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