



University of  
**Salford**  
MANCHESTER

# Transitions in sustainable energy infrastructures: what role for cities and regions

Marvin, S and Hodson, M

<b>Title</b>	Transitions in sustainable energy infrastructures: what role for cities and regions
<b>Authors</b>	Marvin, S and Hodson, M
<b>Type</b>	Conference or Workshop Item
<b>URL</b>	This version is available at: <a href="http://usir.salford.ac.uk/17260/">http://usir.salford.ac.uk/17260/</a>
<b>Published Date</b>	2006

USIR is a digital collection of the research output of the University of Salford. Where copyright permits, full text material held in the repository is made freely available online and can be read, downloaded and copied for non-commercial private study or research purposes. Please check the manuscript for any further copyright restrictions.

For more information, including our policy and submission procedure, please contact the Repository Team at: [usir@salford.ac.uk](mailto:usir@salford.ac.uk).



# **Transitions in Sustainable Energy Infrastructures: What Role for Cities and Regions?**

Simon Marvin and Mike Hodson

SURF

25<sup>th</sup> October 2006

# 1. Introduction

- To what extent are cities and regions able to actively shape the development of sustainable energy transitions?
- Why does this matter anyway?
  1. Urban and regional scale not adequately understood within energy policy and technological transitions...
  2. Yet decentralisation of some aspects of energy, technology, innovation and competitiveness policy to cities & regions...
  3. But cities and regions are actively shaping energy transitions through strategic intermediaries...

# 22 Cities Join Clinton Anti-Warming Effort

By [Juliet Eilperin](#)

Washington Post Staff Writer

Wednesday, August 2, 2006; Page A03

Twenty-two of the world's largest cities announced yesterday that they will work together to limit their contributions to global warming in an effort led by former president Bill Clinton...

**"CITIES ARE THE REGION THAT IS REALLY GOING TO MATTER. THEY HAVE THE MAJORITY OF THE POPULATION, THEY HAVE THE MAJORITY OF THE ECONOMIC POWER. AND WHEN CITIES CHANGE THEIR MIND AND MOVE IN PARTICULAR DIRECTIONS, THEY HAVE A VERY BIG INFLUENCE"**  
DAVID RISSTROM, CITY OF MELBOURNE COUNCILLOR

---



## LOW CARBON LEADER: CITIES

- 01: SAN FRANCISCO
- 02: SEATTLE
- 03: MEXICO CITY
- 04: CHICAGO
- 05: TORONTO
- 06: NEW YORK CITY
- 07: LONDON
- 08: BARCELONA
- 09: PARIS
- 10: COPENHAGEN
- 11: BERLIN
- 12: CAPE TOWN
- 13: BEIJING
- 14: TOKYO
- 15: MELBOURNE

---

**"OUR ACTIONS CAN BE AN EXAMPLE TO OTHERS — IT IS UP TO MUNICIPAL GOVERNMENTS TO TAKE OWNERSHIP OF THIS CRITICAL ISSUE"**  
MAYOR GARRH NEWSOM,  
SAN FRANCISCO

---

---

**"THE DAY IS LONG PAST WHEN GOVERNMENT STOOD ON ONE SIDE OF ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES AND PRIVATE ENTERPRISE ON THE OTHER — NOW WE UNDERSTAND THAT WE SHARE COMMON INTERESTS"**  
MAYOR RICHARD M. DALEY,  
CHICAGO

---


## 2. Why cities, energy and transitions?

- Over 75% of energy consumption is related to cities and major city-regions.
- Cities are highly vulnerable to the impacts of climate change.
- Cities can implement novel socio- technical solutions that may be widely replicable.
- Networks of cities are working together on climate change.
- Selective cities targeted as key demonstrators for MNCs testing new energy systems.

# 3. Role of Cities and Regions in Energy Transitions?

- What is the problem?
- Cities and regions in energy policy – ‘sites for implementation’ of national priorities... e.g. White Paper
- Cities and regions in innovation and technology policy – ‘localism, competitive entrepreneurialism’

# 4. C/R as 'Sites' for National Policy, as Relatively 'Autonomous'...or 'Co-Producers'?




# 5. Thinking through Transitions

**Landscape (macro)** –  
political cultures, economic  
growth, macro economic  
trends, etc

**Regimes (meso)** –  
incumbent technologies  
intertwined with  
configurations of institutions,  
practices, regulations etc,  
imposing a logic on socio-  
technical change

**Niches (micro)** – ‘protected’  
spaces within which actors  
learn in various ways about  
new technologies and  
potential uses




# 6. Transitions: where are C/R?

- In transitions approaches urban and C/R scale not addressed:
  - ‘Re-emergence’ CR - ‘hollowing out’ of national state through devolution up and down esp. for technology and innovations
  - Dominant discourse of CR race for economic competitiveness through technology.
  - Differential positioning of CR through economic, social and political relations.
  - Uncertainty about role of CR in environmental governance
  - CR actively shaping relations within and outside to shape TT.

# 7. Mediating between CR and TT

- Strategic intermediaries selectively shape views of the future through transitions in infrastructures and place...
  - Build selective social networks at various (international, national, regional, local) scales to manage transition processes within a context
  - Develop place-based visions & images of transitions in infrastructures
  - These are underpinned (sometimes implicitly) by assumptions about relationships between CR and transitions
  - Assumptions with differential consequences

# 8. Intermediaries



# 9. Sensitising TT to CR?

- Bring back in politics, power and scale...
- Critical importance of
  1. Place-based visions - whose view inform (and are excluded) from visions?
  2. What governance interactions take place at which scales? And how are they 'held together'?
  3. Producing what consequences?

Transition Style	‘Transformative Obduracy’	‘Strategic Glurbanisation’
<b>Exemplar</b>	Teesside	London
<b>Narrative/Vision</b>	<p>Develops <b>transformative</b> vision;</p> <p>addresses deindustrialisation and job losses</p> <p>adapting existing skills and knowledge base to potential of new economic possibilities, e.g. H.E.</p>	<p>2 (apparently contradictory) logics:</p> <p>a) ‘progressive urban governance’ (‘internal’ agenda on air quality, transport, noise, economic development)</p> <p>b) politics of world city in CO2 emissions reduction (‘external’ agenda on relationships with corporates, supranational, world cities)</p> <p>‘holding together’ economy and environment</p>
<b>Who?</b>	‘Stitching together’ internally	<p>Strategically populating new institutions of London governance – proximity of relationships between London and ‘national’.</p> <p>World cities ‘by-passing’ the national and working together and with corporates as showcases</p>
<b>Consequences?</b>	<p><b>Obduracy</b> in ‘national’ perceptions of Teesside as industrial/demonstration site.</p> <p>Having the hydrogen economy ‘done to it’</p>	<p>Differentially positioned – as ‘national exemplar’ - to other UK cities and regions.</p> <p>Permits conjunction of strategic localism and globalisation shaping urban development</p> <p><b>Strategic glurbanisation</b></p>

# 11. Conclusions

- Crucial acknowledgement of differential positioning of CR in infrastructure transition
  - e.g. World City and Peripheral Region
- Critical role of strategic intermediaries in CR **actively** working between infrastructure transition possibilities and the local contexts within which transitions may occur.
- Further understanding of transition styles necessary
  - e.g. what would transitions look like in contexts of ‘autonomous’ regions, medium-sized cities, etc?
- Finally, challenge of ‘looking across’ styles to develop understanding of role of cities and regions in infrastructure transitions