



University of
Salford
MANCHESTER

Polish and UK doctors' engagement with hospital management

Hartley, K and Kautsch, M

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/IJPSM-05-2012-0065>

Title	Polish and UK doctors' engagement with hospital management
Authors	Hartley, K and Kautsch, M
Type	Article
URL	This version is available at: http://usir.salford.ac.uk/id/eprint/32924/
Published Date	2014

USIR is a digital collection of the research output of the University of Salford. Where copyright permits, full text material held in the repository is made freely available online and can be read, downloaded and copied for non-commercial private study or research purposes. Please check the manuscript for any further copyright restrictions.

For more information, including our policy and submission procedure, please contact the Repository Team at: usir@salford.ac.uk.



Polish and UK doctors' engagement with hospital management

Journal:	<i>International Journal of Public Sector Management</i>
Manuscript ID:	IJPSM-May-2012-0065.R1
Manuscript Type:	Original Article
Keywords:	health systems, market reform, Doctors, medical manager roles, engagement

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Preview Only

"Polish and UK doctors' engagement with hospital management"

Keywords: health systems, market reform, doctors, medical manager roles, engagement

Abstract

Purpose: This paper compares the way in which two health systems with distinct histories, the UK and Poland, have altered in recent years. It focuses on the way in which these changes may be impacting on hospital doctors' engagement with management in each country, and whether there are any signs of convergence.

Methodology: A framework for the comparison of medical management roles, developed by Kirkpatrick et al (2012) was adopted, with a thorough but focused review of the medical management literature, together with analysis of policy documents and healthcare statistics conducted (Charmaz, 2006). The study collected some much needed primary data from expert informants within Poland and also drew on other interviews conducted with postgraduate level doctors in the UK over the same time frame, the first half of 2010. A theoretical sampling strategy was used in each case (Gomm, 2008).

Findings: The research suggests that doctors' engagement with management in both countries is changing, but for different reasons. In the UK, it appears that the duration of public management reforms and recent support for management involvement at academy level may be increasing engagement, whereas in Poland new structural arrangements appear to be decreasing doctors' engagement.

Research implications: The paper highlights recent changes in doctors' engagement with management in both systems, and considers possible explanations for this along with implications for the profession in each country. It also offers avenues for future research.

Introduction

European health systems have undergone considerable change in recent decades, in terms of the way in which they fund, provide and govern services (Smith et al, 2012). Mechanic and Roquefort (1996) argued that whilst health systems are converging in their responses to similar technological, economic, demographic and scientific challenges, this does not mean that they will not exhibit differences due to their individual historical political and social characteristics. Others support this, finding differences between countries, based on their historical arrangements and the way in which professional groups respond to change (Sehested, 2002; Kuhlmann et al, 2009; Leicht et al, 2009). The role that doctors, as a dominant professional group, play in relation to the management of health systems has been a source of great interest across nations (Dent, 2003; Jacobs, 2005; Kirkpatrick et al, 2011; Saario, 2012).

This study sought to compare the way in which doctors engage with management in two countries with very different backgrounds, Poland and the UK. While the UK has attracted previous academic interest, Poland has received surprisingly little attention within the public management literature. The two countries are interesting to compare, given that the UK is a 'neo-liberal welfare regime' (Dent, 2003; Kirkpatrick et al, 2005), and one of the early adopters of new public management reforms and practices that emerged in the late 1970s (Hood, 1991), whereas Poland is an ex-socialist/communist regime which bears traits of both the neo-liberal UK and corporatist-German models of health provision (Dent, 2003; Sagan et al, 2011).

The paper is structured as follows. Firstly, our methodology is outlined. The paper then provides an overview of the two health systems, in terms of the ways in which they were funded and governed in the immediate post war period, the reforms that have taken place and how they currently compare. Our findings on the way in which doctors engage with management within each health system and how this is changing are then

1
2
3 discussed. Possible explanations for, and implications of, the changes are discussed,
4 along with opportunities for future research.
5
6
7

8 9 **1. Methodology**

10
11 A framework developed by Kirkpatrick et al (2012) was utilised to help structure our
12 work. This suggests that a number of potential factors might have impact on the
13 development of medical manager roles: (i) the structure, funding and expenditure on
14 health (ii) the organisation, training and contractual arrangements of doctors and (iii)
15 the management roles taken by doctors in each country. The research drew on both
16 primary and secondary data sources from the healthcare management, policy and
17 sociology of the professions literature. Firstly, a thorough but focused review of the
18 literature on medical manager roles was conducted (Charmaz, 2006), via a search for
19 relevant articles and initially reading through abstracts on the following databases: ABI
20 Global, EBSCO Business Source Premier and Medline. Secondly, Organisation for
21 Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) health statistics were reviewed and
22 policy documents analysed. Thirdly, we collected exploratory data during the first part
23 of 2010 from expert informants within the Polish system. These included a manager of a
24 public hospital, a CEO of a private hospital, the President of a provincial board of the
25 Polish Chamber of Physicians and Dentists, a former Vice-Rector of a University Medical
26 College and the President of a Polish Association of non-public hospitals. We also drew
27 on interviews being conducted in the UK alongside this study with 22 postgraduate
28 level doctors, to highlight signs of attitudinal change towards engagement with
29 management in the UK context. A theoretical sampling strategy (Gomm, 2008) was used
30 in each case, with semi-structured interviews (King and Horrocks, 2010) conducted
31 throughout.
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

51 **2. The UK and Polish Health Systems**

52
53
54 The UK National Health Service (NHS) came into effect in 1948. It continues to be based
55 on the original Beveridge model of a publically funded (via taxation) service, providing
56 universal coverage, free at the point of use. Doctors were initially co-opted into the
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 system from private practice, with guarantees of clinical autonomy (Kirkpatrick et al,
4 2005), and were involved in the running of hospitals, as dominant members of decision
5 making teams, until the late 1970s (Harrison and Pollitt, 1994; Ackroyd, 1996). In
6 Poland, until 1989 the country was under communist rule and the Siemaszko model
7 prevailed, with central government responsible for providing a universal health service,
8 free at the point of use. Doctors held clinical decision making roles within hospitals, but
9 like other professionals during this period they were poorly paid and their collective
10 power was weakened, by virtue of Physician Chambers being banned (Dent, 2003).
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19

20 Political change in the late 1970s in the UK, and a decade later in Poland, has led to
21 change in each system. In the UK, a neoliberal government came to power with
22 monetarist policies and a desire to improve the productivity and cost effectiveness of
23 the health system, with management reforms, including a new management cadre
24 mandated to make change, introduced (Kirkpatrick et al, 2005). In Poland, the fall of
25 communism in 1989 paved the way for a new system, based on the German model of
26 funding through social insurance. In conjunction with this, responsibility for provision
27 of services was devolved to regional and local governments (Boulhol et al, 2012).
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36

37 Despite different political orientations and funding mechanisms the 1990s saw a move
38 to a greater market orientation in both countries, with the separation of purchaser-
39 provider interests (for the UK see Ferlie et al, 1996 and for Poland see Boulhol et al,
40 2012). In the UK, primary care organisations have become purchasers of care, with
41 hospitals the main providers. The private sector has gradually entered the frame as
42 providers of certain, mainly routine, services. Management responsibility of NHS
43 hospitals has been devolved to hospital level, through the creation of NHS Trusts and
44 Foundation Trusts which are run by an executive board (Dopson, 2009). This move to
45 Trust status required greater involvement of senior doctors in management
46 (Ashburner, 1996, Thorne, 1994). In Poland, a number of initial insurance funds were
47 combined into one 'National Health Fund' (NFZ) with 16 provisional branches in 2003,
48 such that there is now one purchaser of health services. 'Public hospitals' (previously
49 owned by central government) have been passed to local governments and universities
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 (Boulhol et al, 2012) and are now legally independent institutions, run by a CEO who
4 has full financial responsibility. Encouraged by central government, a number of local
5 governments have transformed public hospitals into 'non public' hospitals, operating
6 under the same legal framework as commercial companies (Sagan et al, 2011). As in
7 other new EU member states (particularly post-communist countries), private hospitals
8 are increasingly entering as providers (Ryc and Skrzypczak 2009). While the total
9 number of hospitals has remained much the same over the last decade, the share of
10 private hospitals has risen steadily to around 30% in 2009 (Boulhol et al, 2012). All of
11 this raises the question of how doctors might be engaging with these new governance
12 and management arrangements. The next section outlines our findings.
13
14
15
16
17
18
19

20 21 22 23 **3. Findings**

24
25 Medical engagement with management can be viewed in two ways, as participation *in*
26 management or as enthusiastic involvement *with* management (Ham and Dickinson,
27 2008). The paper firstly outlines the management roles that hospital doctors hold
28 within the UK and Poland, before moving on to consider the ways in which the empirical
29 data suggests their enthusiasm for involvement may be changing.
30
31
32
33
34
35
36

37 Doctors hold similar medical management roles within hospitals in each country. At
38 senior level they may be CEOs or Medical Directors. However, our interviews suggest
39 that the CEO role in Poland is increasingly held by non-medical personnel, and a recent
40 report in the UK (Ham et al, 2010) suggests that only around 5% of UK CEOs are
41 medically qualified. In contrast, the Medical Director role in both countries is the
42 preserve of a doctor and a board level position. However, doctors' influence in the role
43 appears to vary, with some Medical directors in the UK having input into strategic
44 decision making, while others act in a more advisory capacity (Kirkpatrick et al, 2009),
45 as they do in Poland.
46
47
48
49
50
51
52

53
54
55
56 When it comes to involvement at other levels within the respective hierarchies, there
57 are more differences between the two systems. In the UK, a unit level role has existed
58
59
60

1
2
3 since the 1990s in the form of the Clinical Director, who is responsible for one or more
4 specialities grouped as a directorate. This role is predominantly held by a senior doctor
5 (consultant) and is a 'hybrid' (Llewellyn, 2001), in being part-time and straddling both
6 the clinical work and managerial worlds. There tends to be a 'troika' type arrangement
7 at this level, similar to that seen at hospital level in Denmark (Kirkpatrick et al, 2009;
8 Dent et al, 2012) with the Clinical Director operating alongside a business and staff
9 manager, sometimes a nurse (Ferlie et al, 1996; Dopson, 2009). They are responsible for
10 service delivery as well as staffing, contracting and marketing of the directorate's
11 services. Clinical directorates now have sizeable annual turnovers, ranging from £15m
12 to £45m per year (Audit Commission, 2007).
13
14
15
16
17
18
19

20
21
22
23 Such a unit level role does not currently exist in Poland. Rather, 'chiefs of ward/clinic'
24 are the important management roles (Krajewski-Siuda and Romaniuk, 2008). These are
25 similar to Clinical Director roles but on a smaller scale, with the post held by a senior
26 doctor who reports to a Medical Director and is responsible for all ward operations.
27 Chiefs' level of responsibility varies, however, with some but not all chiefs having
28 responsibility for the financial standing of the unit. According to a hospital manager
29 interviewed:
30
31
32
33
34

35
36
37 "where a system of internal budgeting exists there tends to be a greater focus
38 on the financial performance of the ward [but] a lot depends on the
39 personality of the chief, in terms of their approach to financial issues and
40 their relationships with clinical colleagues and managers. There is no prior
41 management training." (Hospital Manager, Public Hospital)
42
43
44
45

46 The fact that where such a system of internal budgeting exists bonuses may be paid to
47 staff and new equipment purchased if the ward budget is not overspent (Baczewski and
48 Haber, 2010) might explain the greater focus the above hospital manager spoke of.
49 However, relationships with clinical colleagues are important to doctors in both
50 countries. In the UK, while the CEO may appoint a Medical Director, candidates need to
51 have the credibility of their peers (Fitzgerald and Ferlie, 2000; Thorne, 2002) and
52 Clinical Directors have historically been nominated and appointed through peer
53 selection. Since 1998, doctors in Poland have also had considerable influence over who
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 holds medical management roles, as well as into health policy (Ministry of Health,
4 1998).
5
6
7
8
9

10 When it comes to enthusiastic involvement with roles such as Clinical Director and Chief
11 of Ward the research found historical differences between the two countries. In the UK
12 the medical profession overall has historically resisted involvement (Ham and
13 Dickinson, 2008; Harrison et al, 1992; Kings Fund, 2011). While a few consultants have
14 enthusiastically taken on the role of Clinical Director (Fitzgerald, 1994; Kitchener, 2000;
15 Forbes et al, 2004), many have been reluctant to do so (Dopson, 1996; Fitzgerald and
16 Ferlie, 2000; Forbes et al, 2004). Reluctance has been attributed, amongst other things,
17 to the negative impact on collegial relations, with tensions between Clinical Directors
18 and other consultants being an issue (Fitzgerald, 1994; Thorne, 1997; Fitzgerald and
19 Ferlie, 2000), as well as tensions with general managers. For instance, a survey of UK
20 clinical and non-clinical managers, which included responses from 445 Clinical
21 Directors, found that Clinical Directors were the most dissatisfied with the clinical-
22 managerial relationship, owing to a perceived lack of autonomy and involvement in
23 management decisions (Davies et al, 2003). A Polish CEO interviewed noted similar
24 tensions between clinical and managerial staff in Polish public hospitals. In the UK, a
25 lack of training and preparation for doctors to take on management roles has also been
26 cited as a potential reason for doctors' reluctance to take on such roles (Forbes et al,
27 2004; Fitzgerald et al, 2006).
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43

44 In contrast, doctors in Poland have reportedly "tended to be attracted to the chief of
45 ward role because of the influence that it gives them" (Polish Hospital Manager), in the
46 way some physician-executives have in the US (Hoff, 1998; Montgomery, 2001). This
47 may be because they have enjoyed a broad range of autonomy, appointed for six years
48 and often holding the role for longer (Sagan et al, 2011). Both the hospital manager and
49 former Vice-Rector interviewed suggested that management roles have historically
50 been attractive as a way to increase Polish doctors' salaries, which were extremely low
51 under communism and for many years afterwards (Whitfield et al, 2002; Dent, 2003).
52 Certainly the lack of financial incentive, in the form of a higher salary, for taking on a
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 medical management role in the UK has been cited as a potential cause of doctors'
4 reluctance to take on roles there (Ham and Dickinson, 2008; Ham et al, 2010). However,
5
6 according to one interviewee, whilst Polish doctors may have been influenced by the
7
8 financial incentive to take on management roles they have not necessarily had a desire
9
10 for the responsibility of management, such that many Polish chiefs of ward have been
11
12 reluctant to make change:

13
14 “they may like the influence and salary, they don’t desire the responsibility of
15 the role, owing to the tremendous sense of “solidarity” that exists, and they
16 are more likely to maintain the status quo than to introduce change”
17 (Hospital Manager, Public Hospital)
18
19

20
21 Given the changes that have occurred in each country in recent years, such as the salary
22 increase for Polish doctors (Kautsch and Czabanowska, 2011) and increasing
23 opportunity for them to work in the private sector, the fact that in the UK the medical
24 academy now advocates doctors’ engagement with management and supports
25 management training, albeit under the guise of ‘leadership’ (Tooke, 2008; Academy of
26 Medical Royal Colleges, 2010; Spurgeon et al, 2011), we were interested to know
27
28 whether engagement with management may actually be changing.
29
30
31
32
33
34
35

36
37 In the UK, we found that recent work with Medical and Clinical Directors suggests that
38 this group are now fairly well aligned with general managers and with management
39 ideas such as the need to improve the quality of care (Giordano, 2010), with senior
40 doctors having respect for financial professionals (The Audit Commission, 2007).
41 However, whilst a Medical Leadership Competency Framework (MLCF) developed by
42 the Medical Colleges is now officially incorporated into all undergraduate and
43 postgraduate curricula, data collected from 22 postgraduate specialist trainees in the
44 UK suggests that they are not aware of it, unless they happen to be participating in a
45 specific development programme. These doctors did, however, recognise and accept a
46 need to engage with management ideas, although not necessarily to take on executive
47 roles such as that of CEO role:
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 "I think you do have to be management savvy, but I think there's a point at
4 which...I can't see personally many doctors wanting to become chief
5 execs...because that's not for us, that's for people who've trained in business.
6 I think advisory stuff, clinical directors, that's great" (Specialist Registrar,
7 UK)
8
9

10
11 These findings support other work which suggests there is a growing recognition within
12 the UK medical profession of the need to engage with management issues and work in
13 conjunction with managers (Levenson et al, 2008).
14
15
16

17
18
19 In contrast, in Poland there is as yet no similar profession or policy led focus aimed at
20 developing doctors' management and leadership skills, although public health
21 departments of universities offer post graduate courses in management (see for
22 example, Institute of Public Health, Jagiellonian University Medical College). Here, it
23 seems that other changes may be having an impact on engagement. Firstly, doctors are
24 better paid than they once were (Kautsch and Czabanowska, 2011). Secondly, some
25 Polish doctors are starting to become self-employed, joining with colleagues to form
26 cooperatives who contract their services to both 'public' and 'non public' hospitals
27 (Boulhol et al, 2012), akin to arrangements under the Dutch model (Dent, 2003). It is
28 suggested that such contractual, fee-for service relationships in the Netherlands have
29 kept doctors at "arms length" from management (Neogy and Kirkpatrick, 2009, p.6).
30 Polish interviewees suggested that both of these factors are resulting in doctors being
31 less interested in chief of ward and even CEO posts than they once were, as they now
32 have opportunities for increased income without having to take on the responsibilities
33 of management. Thirdly, it seems that there is a change in the type of doctors now
34 taking on the chief of ward position:
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46

47 "Rather than being appointed on the basis of their age and political
48 connections, doctors are now more likely to be appointed on the basis of
49 what they know and can do....chiefs of wards are getting younger, in their
50 forties and fifties as opposed to their fifties and sixties. Doctors are also
51 taking such posts as a step in their career path, rather than as a position for
52 life as was once the case" (President of All Poland Association of Non Public
53 Hospitals and former Vice-Rector of a University Medical College)
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 In addition to changes in attitude amongst doctors themselves, a hospital CEO
4 interviewed suggested that the increase in private hospitals may mean that chief of
5 ward posts are becoming less available than they were previously in public hospitals.
6 Private hospitals, she suggested, increasingly prefer to employ “ward managers” (who
7 may now be nurses) or “doctors managing the ward”, with these roles having less
8 power than the old chief of ward role. Interestingly, despite this she suggested that the
9 attitude of doctors towards management in privately owned hospitals was better than
10 she had encountered in public hospitals:
11
12
13
14
15

16
17
18 “they understand that good management is crucial for the survival of the
19 organization, accept change, initiate necessary change, and overall are more
20 cooperative both with managers and among themselves” (CEO, Private
21 Hospital).
22
23

24 This more positive attitude was attributed to the fact that private hospitals have less of
25 a “them and us” mentality between managers and clinical staff than publically owned
26 hospitals, benefitting from being smaller and a tendency for an “open-doors” policy
27 which enables the CEO and clinical staff talk to each other frequently, such that issues
28 can be solved more swiftly. What then might we conclude from all of this? The next
29 section moves on to discuss our conclusions and the possible implications.
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37

38 **4. Conclusions and potential implications**

39
40 This study found that doctors hold similar types of management roles in Poland and the
41 UK, albeit with differing levels of responsibility and accountability, particularly with
42 regard to financial affairs, and historically different levels of enthusiasm. However,
43 there are signs that engagement with management may be changing in both countries.
44 In the UK, there appears to be greater acceptance of the need for involvement amongst
45 younger doctors. One explanation for this is the fact that the medical academy has
46 recently moved to support engagement, alongside which there is a determined effort to
47 provide management development opportunities for doctors. However, given the lack of
48 awareness of the Medical Leadership Competency Framework amongst postgraduate
49 level doctors, this might suggest that they are aware of the academy’s expectations and
50 accept them, but are simply unaware that these expectations have now been formalised.
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Alternatively, other factors may be driving this attitudinal shift. Whatever the reason,
4 one implication of this attitudinal change is that doctors in the UK might engage more
5 readily with management roles in the future. Whether and how they do so may,
6 however, depend on what is driving their acceptance of the need to be involved. It may
7 also depend on whether training and development is sufficiently widespread, and able,
8 to prepare doctors for the management roles they will be required to undertake and to
9 develop the skills and attitudes needed for such roles. At present all of this remains
10 unclear (Noordegraaf, 2011).
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18

19 In Poland, the expert informants interviewed suggest that medical engagement with
20 management there may, in contrast, be on the decline. This is attributed to new working
21 arrangements made possible by the move to a mixed market, as well as increases in
22 doctors' salaries, which are reducing the financial impetus for doctors to move up the
23 management hierarchy. One implication of the opportunity to work in co-operatives,
24 contracting services to hospitals, is that it might lead to greater stratification within the
25 profession overall, as Freidson (1994) suggested. As traditional management roles are
26 re-shaped within the private sector, doctors there may also find themselves losing some
27 of their traditional influence to other professionals, particularly nurses (Abbott, 1988).
28 This new context may, however, also provide opportunities for a broader sense of
29 collegiality, cooperation and partnership to evolve between doctors and managers,
30 certainly if the CEO of a private hospital interviewed is to be believed. If this is the case,
31 then new, more inclusive and collaborative forms of professional community might
32 emerge, as some have predicted (Adler et al, 2008). This might mean that doctors share
33 influence with others, through co-operation and integration, rather than dominating
34 through positions of authority. In both countries, the full import of changing
35 circumstances has yet to be realised. It might be that rather than seeing convergence,
36 we actually see a switching of positions, with Polish doctors becoming less interested in
37 management as UK doctors become more engaged with it.
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54

55 **5. Limitations and future research opportunities**

56
57 While this study suggests that medical engagement with management is changing in
58
59
60

1
2
3 both systems, further work is needed. We have hypothesised, based on interviews with
4 experts in the system, that market changes in Poland are creating conditions which are
5 reducing doctors' interest in previously sought after management roles. However,
6 further work is needed to verify this and to explore how widely this is occurring. In
7 particular, more work to map current medical management roles in Poland and
8 engagement with them is needed, as this has been seriously neglected within the
9 literature. In the UK, while the empirical data collected and an emerging literature
10 suggest that attitudes towards management are becoming more positive amongst
11 younger doctors this needs to be explored in more detail. In particular, the extent of
12 change and what is actually driving it, and whether the current investment in
13 championing medical leadership and educating doctors is able to increase engagement
14 in the longer term. As the UK health system undergoes change, with the introduction of
15 new clinical commissioning groups, the impact of this for medical manager roles will
16 also need to be investigated. As such, our findings suggest a number of avenues for
17 potentially fruitful future research.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

References

Abbott, A. (1988) *The System of the Profession*, Chicago, University of Chicago Press

Academy of Medical Royal Colleges and NHS Institute for Innovation and Improvement (2010), *Medical Leadership Competency Framework (Third edition)*, Coventry, NHS Institute for Innovation and Improvement

Ackroyd, S. (1996) "Organization Contra Organizations: Professions and Organizational Change in the United Kingdom", *Organization Studies*, Vol 17, No 4, pp.599-621

Ackroyd, S., Kirkpatrick, I. and Walker, R. (2007) "Public Management Reform in the UK and its consequences for Professional Organization: a Comparative Analysis," *Public Administration*, Vol 85, No 1, pp. 9-26

Adler, P., Seok-Woo, K. and Heckscher, C. (2008) "Professional Work: The emergence of collaborative community", *Organization Science*, Vol 19, No 2, pp.359-376

Ashburner, L. (1996) The role of clinicians in management of the NHS in LEOPOLD, L.I. GLOVER, I. and Hughes, M. (Eds) *Beyond Reason: The National Health Service and the Limits of Management*, Aldershot: Avebury

Audit Commission (2007) *A prescription for partnership: engaging clinicians in financial management*, London, Audit Commission

Baczewski, J. and Haber, M. (2010), *Budżetowanie szpitala powiatowego*, in Kautsch, M. (ed.). *Zarządzanie w opiece zdrowotne. Nowe wyzwania*, Wolters Kluwer Polska - OFICYNA, Warszawa

Boulhol, H., Sowa, A., Golinowska, S. and Sicari, P. (2012) *Improving the health-care system in Poland*, OECD Economics Department Working Papers, No 957, OECD Publishing, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/5k9b7bn5qzvd-en>

1
2
3 Charmaz, K. (2006) *Constructing Grounded Theory: A Practical Guide through Qualitative*
4 *Analysis*, London, Sage

5
6
7 Dent, M. (2003) *Remodelling hospitals and health professionals in Europe*, Basingstoke,
8 Palgrave Macmillan

9
10
11 Dent, M., Kirkpatrick, I. and Neogy, I. (2012) "Medical Leadership and management
12 reforms in Hospitals: A comparative study" in Teelken, C., Ferlie, E. and Dent, M. (Eds)
13 *Leadership in the Public Sector: Promises & Pitfalls*, London, Routledge, pp. 107-118

14
15
16
17
18 Dopson, S. (1996) "Doctors in management: a challenge to established debates" in
19 Leopold, L., Glover, I. and Hughes, M. (Eds), *Beyond Reason: The National Health Service*
20 *and the Limits of Management*, Aldershot, Avebury, pp.173-188

21
22
23
24
25 Dopson, S. (2009) "Changing forms of Managerialism in the NHS: Hierarchies, markets
26 and Networks" in Gabe, J. and Calnan, M. (Eds) *The New Sociology of the Health Service*,
27 London, Routledge, pp. 37-55

28
29
30
31
32 Ferlie, E., Ashburner, L., Fitzgerald, L. and Pettigrew, A. M. (1996) *The New Public*
33 *Management in Action*, Oxford, Oxford University Press

34
35
36
37
38 Fitzgerald, L. (1994) "Moving clinicians into management: a professional challenge or
39 threat?" *Journal of Management in Medicine*, Vol 8, No 6, pp. 32-44

40
41
42
43
44 Fitzgerald, L. and Ferlie, E. (2000) "Professionals: Back to the Future", *Human Relations*,
45 Vol 53, No 5, pp.713-739

46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
Fitzgerald, L.C., Lilley, C., Ferlie, E., Addicott, R., McGivern, G. and Buchanan, D. (2006)
Managing change and role enactment in the professionalised organisation, Report to the
National Co-ordinating Centre for Service delivery and Organisation Research and
Design (NCCSDO), London

Forbes, T., Hallier, J. and Kelly, L. (2004) "Doctors as managers: Investors and reluctant
in a dual role", *Health Services Management Research*, Vol 17, pp. 167-176

Freidson, E. (1994) *Professionalism Reborn: Theory, Policy and Prophecy*, Chicago, The
University of Chicago Press

1
2
3 Giordano, R. (2010) *Leadership needs of medical directors and clinical directors*, London,
4 The Kings Fund
5

6
7 Gomm, R. (2008) *Social research methodology: A critical introduction, 2nd edition*,
8 Basingstoke, Palgrave Macmillan
9

10
11 Ham, C. and Dickinson, H. (2008) *Engaging doctors in leadership: What can we learn*
12 *from international experience and research evidence?* Warwick, NHS Institute
13

14
15
16 Ham, C., Clark, J., Spurgeon, P., Dickinson, H., Armit, K. (2010) *Medical Chief Executives in*
17 *the NHS: Facilitators and barriers to their career progression*, London, Kings Fund
18

19
20
21 Harrison, S., Hunter, D. J., Marnoch, G. and Pollit, C. (1992) *Just Managing: Power and*
22 *Culture in the National Health Service*, Basingstoke, Macmillan
23

24
25 Harrison, S. and Pollitt, C. (1994) *Controlling Health Professionals: The Future of Work*
26 *and Organization in the NHS*, Buckingham, Open University Press
27

28
29 Hoff, T. J. (1998) "Physician executives in managed care: Characteristics and job
30 involvement across two career stages", *Journal of Healthcare Management*, Vol 43, pp.
31 481-497
32

33
34
35
36 Hood, C. (1991) "A public management for all seasons?", *Public Administration*, Vol 69,
37 Spring, pp. 3-19
38

39
40
41
42
43
44
45 Jacobs, K. (2005) "Hybridisation or polarisation: Doctors and accounting in the UK,
46 Germany and Italy", *Financial Accountability and Management*, Vol 21, No 2, pp.0267-
47 0424

48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55 Kautsch, M. and Czabanowska, K. (2011) "When grass gets greener at home: Poland's
56 changing incentives for health professional mobility" in Wismar, M., Maier, C.B., Glinos,
57 I.A., Dussault, G. and Figueras J. (eds.), *Health Professional Mobility and Health System,*
58 *Evidence from 17 European countries*, European Observatory on Health Systems and
59 Policies, WHO 2011
60

61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

1
2
3 Kirkpatrick, I., Ackroyd, S. and Walker, R. (2005) *The New Managerialism and Public*
4 *Service Professionals*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan
5
6

7 Kirkpatrick, I., Kragh Jespersen, P., Dent, M. and Neogy, I. (2009) "Medicine and
8 management in a comparative perspective: the case of Denmark and England", *Sociology*
9 *of Health and Illness*, Vol 31, No 5, pp.642-658
10
11

12 Kirkpatrick, I., Dent, M., Lega, F. and Bullinger, B. (2012) "The development of medical
13 manager roles in European Health Systems: A Framework for Comparison,"
14 *International Journal of Clinical Practice*, Vol 66, No 2, pp.121-124
15
16

17 Krajewski-Siuda, K. and Romaniuk, P. (2008) System ordynatorski vs system
18 konsultancki [The system of head of wards vs. the system of health care consultants],
19 *Zdrowie Publiczne [Polish Journal of Public Health]*, Vol 118, No 2, pp. 206–209
20
21
22
23

24 Kuhlmann, E., Allsopp, J. and Saks, M. (2009) "Professional Governance and Public
25 Control: A Comparison of Healthcare in the United Kingdom and Germany", *Current*
26 *Sociology*, Vol 57, N0 4, pp. 511-528
27
28
29
30

31 Leicht, K., Walter, T., Sainsaulieu, I. and Davies, S. (2009) "New Public Management and
32 New Professionalism across Nations and Contexts," *Current Sociology*, Vol 57, No4,
33 pp.581-605
34
35
36
37

38 Levenson, R., Dewar, S. and Shepherd, S. (2008) *Understanding doctors: Harnessing*
39 *Professionals*, London, Kings Fund and Royal College of Physicians
40
41
42

43 Llewellyn, S. (2001) "Two-way Windows: Clinicians as Medical Managers", *Organization*
44 *Studies*, Vol 22, No 4, pp. 593-623
45
46

47 Mechanic, D. and Roquefort, D. (1996) "Comparative Medical Systems", *Annual Review of*
48 *Sociology*, Vol 22, No 1, pp. 239-270
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Ministry of Health (1998) Rozporządzenie Ministra Zdrowia i Opieki Społecznej z dnia
4 19 sierpnia 1998 r. w sprawie szczegółowych zasad przeprowadzania konkursu na
5 niektóre stanowiska kierownicze w publicznych zakładach opieki zdrowotnej, składu
6 komisji konkursowej oraz ramowego regulaminu przeprowadzania konkursu (Dz. U. Nr
7 115, poz. 749 z późn. zm.)

8
9
10
11
12 Montgomery, K. (2001) "Physician executives: The evolution and impact of a hybrid
13 profession", *Advances in healthcare Management*, Issue 2, pp.215 – 241

14
15
16
17 Neogy, I. and Kirkpatrick, I. (2009) *Medicine and Management: Lessons across Europe*,
18 University of Leeds, CIHM

19
20
21 Noordegraaf, M. (2011) "Remaking professionals? How associations and professional
22 education connect professionalism and organizations, *Current Sociology*, Vol 59, No 4,
23 pp. 465-488

24
25
26
27 Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD)
28 <http://stats.oecd.org/index.aspx>, accessed 12/01/2011

29
30
31 Ryć, K, and Skrzypczak, Z. (2009), *Finansowanie systemu ochrony zdrowia w wybranych*
32 *krajach „starej” i „nowej” Unii Europejskiej*, in: Lewandowski R., Walkowiak R. (eds.),
33 *Współczesne wywania strukturalne w ochronie zdrowia*, Prof. Tadeusz Kotarbiński
34 Olsztyn Academy of Computer Science and Management, Olsztyn

35
36
37
38
39 Royal College of Physicians, (2005) *Doctors in Society: Medical Professionalism in a*
40 *Changing World*, London, Royal College of Physicians

41
42
43
44 Saario, S. (2012) "Managerial reforms and specialised psychiatric care: a study of
45 resistive practices performed by mental health practitioners", *Sociology of Health and*
46 *Illness*, Vol 34, No 6, pp. 896-910

47
48
49
50 Sagan A., Panteli D., Borkowski, W., Dmowski, M., Domański, F., Czyżewski, M., Goryński,
51 P., Karpacka, D., Kiersztyn, E., Kowalska, I., Księżak, M., Kuszewski, K., Leśniewska, A.,
52 Lipska, I., Maciąg, R., Madowicz, J., Mądra, A., Marek, M., Mokrzycka, A., Poznański, D.,
53 Sobczak, A., Sowada, C., Świderek, M., Terka, A., Trzeciak, P., Wiktorzak, .K, Włodarczyk,
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 C., Wojtyniak, B., Wrześniewska-Wal, I., Zelwianańska, D. and Busse, R. (2011) "Poland:
4 Health system review", *Health Systems in Transition*, Vol 13, No 8, pp.1–193
5
6
7

8
9 Sehested, K. (2002) "How New Public Management Reforms Challenge the Roles of
10 Professionals", *International Journal of public Sector Management*, Vol 25, No 12,
11 pp.1513-1537
12
13

14
15 Smith, P.C., Anell, A., Busse, R., Crivelli, L., Healy, J., Lindahl, A.K., Westert, G. and Kene, T.
16 (2012) "Leadership and governance in seven developed health systems," *Health Policy*,
17 Vol 106, pp. 37-49
18
19

20
21 Spurgeon, P., Clark, J. and Ham, C (2011) "Medical Leadership: Towards cultural
22 acceptance and the future" in Spurgeon, P., Clark, J. and Ham, C (2011) (Eds) *Medical*
23 *Leadership: from the dark side to centre stage*, London, Radcliffe Publishing, pp. 133-128
24
25
26

27 Thorne, M. L. (1994) "Valuing the medical contribution to the organization", *Clinician in*
28 *Management*, Vol 3, No 5, pp. 2-4
29
30

31 Thorne, M. L. (1997) "Being a clinical director: First among equals or just a go-
32 between?" *Health Services Management Research*, Vol 10, pp. 205-215
33
34
35

36 Thorne, M. L. (2002) "Colonizing the new world of NHS management: the shifting power
37 of professionals", *Health Services Management Research*, Vol 15, pp.14-26
38
39

40 Tooke, J. (2008) *Aspiring to Excellence: Final Report of the Independent Inquiry into*
41 *Modernising Medical Careers*, London, Aldridge Press
42
43
44

45
46 Whitfield, M., Kautsch, M. and Hind, D. (2002), *TFR Report: A preliminary analysis of*
47 *hospital cost and activity data UK / Poland as a feasibility for the development of a*
48 *database of hospital information for hospital managers*, School of Health and Related
49 Research, University of Sheffield (SchARR), Report Series No: 4, ISBN 1 900752 35 2,
50 SchARR 2002
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For Review Only