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The effect of pavement characteristics on pedestrians’ thermal comfort in Toronto

Mohammad Taleghani*, Umberto Berardi

ABSTRACT

Urban heat island (UHI) has proved to have an important effect in urban microclimate of large cities. In particular, the materials used for the pavements of urban spaces and sidewalks affect pedestrians’ comfort significantly. Dark materials store solar radiation during the day and re-radiate it overnight. Reversely, cool materials, given their high albedo, are often proposed for mitigating UHI issues. This paper focuses on the effect on the outdoor thermal comfort of different materials in a main urban square in Toronto. The study is performed at the neighborhood scale, using the high resolution software ENVI-met. Simulations done for a summer heat wave in 2015 allowed to predict the maximum effect of pavements with surfaces having different albedo. The physiological equivalent temperature (PET) is used to assess the pedestrians’ thermal comfort. The results show the relative effectiveness of different pavement materials. In particular, thermal comfort evaluations are reported to assess the microclimate benefits of bright marbles over black granites.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Urban heat island (UHI) is known as the phenomenon that the air temperatures in city centers are higher than suburban (Oke, 2002). This is due to the lack of vegetation and water bodies in the city centers. Moreover, dark surfaces like asphalt pavements cover most of the roads, roofs and urban spaces. Recent studies have shown that the increasing of UHI in cold climates like Moscow (Lokoshchenko, 2014), Stockholm (Thorsson, Lindberg, Björklund, Holmer, & Rayner, 2011), and Toronto (Berardi & Wang, 2016) has significant impacts on citizens’ health and thermal comfort.

The combination of UHIs and heat waves have caused heat related mortality in different climates. During a 2-week heat wave in August 2003, around 70,000 mortalities were reported in Europe. Toronto Public Health Department has estimated 120 heat related mortality in Toronto per year (Penney, 2008). Furthermore, it is estimated that cooling degree days in Toronto will be increased by plus 239 by 2040 (WTO-UNEP, 2008).

Wang, Berardi, and Akbari (2016) showed that increasing the amount of street vegetation by at least 10% over the current density of green areas would have a large impact on of the UHI effect. Moreover, combining more vegetation with reflective pavements and roofs together, it would be possible to reduce the average air temperature by up to 0.8°C at mid-day and 0.6°C at midnight during the hot summer days (Wang et al., 2016). This is in accordance with literature that has often proved that the man maid materials with low albedo, and the lack of vegetation and water bodies in urban spaces contribute to the urban heat islands (Hart & Sailor, 2009; Sailor, 2014; Taleghani, Kleerekoper, Tenpierik, & van den Dobbelsteen, 2015; Taleghani, Tenpierik, van den Dobbelsteen, & Sailor, 2014). Asphalt and concrete surfaces constitute around 40% of Canadian
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urban areas (Gui, Phelan, Kaloush, & Golden, 2007). Krayenhoff et al. (2003) showed that on average, Toronto is covered with 16.2% asphalt, and 13.7% concrete. Based on Table 1, asphalt and concrete pavements have low albedos. Consequently, increasing the albedo of these large portion of urban surfaces would be an appropriate strategy for mitigating the UHI effect, substituting dark materials covering urban surfaces with materials with high albedo has been often proposed worldwide (Hashem Akbari & Konopacki, 2004; H. Akbari, Pomerantz, & Taha, 2001; Synnefa, Santamouris, & Akbari, 2007).

Table 1: The albedo of common urban surface materials (Baker & Canada, 1980; Bretz, Akbari, Rosenfeld, & Taha, 1992; Oke, 1987; Santamouris, 2012).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Albedo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Asphalt</td>
<td>0.05 - 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concrete</td>
<td>0.10 - 0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red brick</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White marble chips</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gravel</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White plaster</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Taha et al. (1992) simulated the impact of high albedo surfaces and vegetation in streets in Toronto and three other Canadian cities. They could show that by increasing the vegetative fraction by 30%, the building energy demand for cooling in Toronto would reduce by 10% in urban houses and 20% in suburban areas. Regarding the roof albedo, they showed that implementing 0.2 higher albedo surfaces can reduce the cooling-energy use by about 30–40%. More recently, Taleghani et al. (2014) showed that white roofs (with the albedo of 0.91) increased the globe and mean radiant temperature (0.9 °C and 2.9 °C respectively) while the air temperature was reduced by 1.3 °C compared to a dark pavement (albedo of 0.37) in the temperate climate of Portland (OR, USA).

Santamouris et al (2012) looked at the impact of 4500 m² high albedo materials on the pavement of a park in Athens, Greece. This size of land surface modification to improve pedestrians’ thermal comfort is among the largest in the world. They reported that this amount of cool pavements reduced the peak ambient air temperature by up to 1.9 °C, and the ground surface temperature was reduced by 12 °C.

Recently, several studies have been performed to investigate the cooling impact of water spray systems and water ponds on urban open spaces. As an example, Montazeri et al. (2017) used a high resolution CFD model to show the cooling potential of a water spray system on outdoor thermal comfort of pedestrians in Rotterdam, the Netherlands. They showed that the water spray with 15 hollow-cone nozzles could reduce the air temperature and thermal comfort unit (UTCI) by up to 7 and 5 °C, respectively during a heat wave in July 2006. In another study in Shanghai, measurement were done on the spray cooling technology to a pavilion. Similar to the results in the Netherlands, Huang et al. (2011) also showed that the air temperature could be reduced up to 7 °C while the ambient air temperature is 35 °C with the relative humidity of 45%.

In this paper, the microclimate of one of the most important urban open spaces in Toronto is studied. The square is covered with a black pavement, and a small water pond exists in there. The simulations were done for the hottest day of 2015 in Toronto. Most of the previous studies on pavement characteristics were done on building roof tops; however, modification of roofs can barely affect pedestrians in city centers with high-rise buildings (Taleghani, Sailor, & Ban-Weiss, 2016). So, this paper focuses on ground pavement, and answers to the question that how high albedo ground pavements affect pedestrians’ thermal comfort. Moreover, the impact of the water pond on pedestrians’ comfort will be investigated as a new mitigation strategy. Based on the simulated micrometeorological parameters, physiological equivalent temperature as a human thermal comfort parameter will be calculated to answer the research question. Finally, with the goal to improve the thermal comfort, different heat mitigation strategies will be considered.
2. RESEARCH METHOD

Recent studies have clarified the spatial limits of satellite analysis (like LandSat 8 infrared images with the resolution of 100m*100m) and mesoscale assessments of the urban environment, and have suggested a combination of these with detailed analyses at the scale of single neighborhoods in order to capture specific urban elements. This because mesoscale elaborations ignore the contribution from the building walls and calculate the surface temperatures from the radiated energy in a small spectrum range, suffering thermal anisotropy, while they are unable to take into account the emissivity of the surface materials.

In this paper, the final design of the Yonge-Dundas square (Fig. 1) in Toronto is assessed looking at the microclimate characteristics during the hottest day of the year 2015 (July 28th). In addition to the evaluation of the square with the final land cover, three scenarios are simulated to assess the possible improvements of the microclimate of the square. The results of the simulations are later used in RayMan (Matzarakis, Rutz, & Mayer, 2007) to generate and the discuss the Physiological Equivalent Temperature (Höppe, 1999).

Figure 1: The study area in Toronto: Dundas square photographed from above (top) and the South-East corner (down).

In this research, ENVI-met, a high resolution computational fluid dynamic program, is used. The simulation is done for a full day, using as initial conditions those stated in Table 2. The urban geometries and surface characteristics (land cover) were modeled according to the final design of the square (Fig. 1). The initial weather conditions were extracted from the online historical weather data available on (http://www.wunderground.com/).

Table 2: Conditions used in the reference simulation with ENVI-met.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Simulation day</th>
<th>28.07.2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Toronto (43.7° N, 79.4° W)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simulation period</td>
<td>24 hours (starting 4:00 am)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spatial resolution</td>
<td>2m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial air temperature</td>
<td>22.4 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind speed (at 2m)</td>
<td>1.4 m/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind direction</td>
<td>33° (North East)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative humidity (at 2m)</td>
<td>73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indoor buildings temperature</td>
<td>20.0 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat transmission</td>
<td>0.38 W/m²K (walls), 0.24 W/m²K (roofs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albedo of the surfaces</td>
<td>0.2 (walls), 0.1 (roofs), 0.1 (pavements)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The increase of using ENVI-met for microclimate modelling has allowed its validation in several recent studies. These studies have done field measurements and either compared the difference in measured and simulated air temperature (El Nabawi, Hamza, & Dudek, 2013); or showed a correlation coefficient between the two sets of data (Dain Jeong et al., 2015). Among all these studies, Wang et al. (2016) report a validation campaign of ENVI-met model close to those
investigated in this paper. They validated ENVI-met in three neighborhoods of Toronto. They compared the simulated air temperature within low-rise, mid-rise and high-rise neighborhoods with measured air temperature from a governmental weather station. They reported an average difference of 0.2–1.2 °C in the winter and 3.1–3.2 °C in the summer simulations, and found a coefficient of determination ($R^2$) of 0.60–0.68 in the winter and 0.66–0.83 in the summer simulations.

In another study in Toronto, Berardi (2016) compared ENVI-met simulations by a weather station data at the roof of a university building located one block a part from the Yonge-Dundas square. The difference between the simulated air temperature and measured one on the roof was less than 2.5 °C during the day (15th of August). Moreover, the $R^2$ or correlation between the two sets of data was 0.92.

2.2. Simulation scenarios

In this paper, the base model is named reference model. To improve the microclimate of the square, UHI mitigating models are defined according to the following properties:

- Reference: the model with the actual surface cover assigning to the albedo of the square pavement the value of 0.1;
- Albedo 0.3: the albedo of the square is increased from 0.1 to 0.3;
- Albedo 0.5: the albedo of the square is increased from 0.1 to 0.5;
- Water Pound (WP): a large water pond is added to the reference model in the south side of the square.

2.3. Calculation of outdoor thermal comfort

In the UHI mitigating scenarios, a receptor was provided at the center of the square. The micrometeorological factors driven from the simulation scenarios at this receptor point were used to calculate thermal comfort. The aim of this research is to investigate the thermal comfort in urban spaces. Therefore, we used the near ground micrometeorological data of ENVI-met.

To calculate the thermal comfort, RayMan 1.2 was used. This program calculates human thermal comfort based on personal (height, weight, age, sex, clothing, and activity) and climatological (air temperature, wind speed, relative humidity, and mean radiant temperature) factors. Table 3 shows the input data that were used to calculate the thermal comfort at the receptor point in the square.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>80 W (walking)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Personal data</td>
<td>1.75m (height), 75kg, 35 years old, male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clothing insulation</td>
<td>0.5 Clo (summer clothes)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. RESULTS

3.1. The microclimate of the square

The air temperature, mean radiant temperature and surface temperature of the square at 15:00 are shown in Figure 2. The north and east sides of the square has higher air temperatures at 15:00 because most of the square area is shaded by the southern buildings (Fig.2a). The materials used on the surface of the square is dark granite with the albedo of 0.1. The effect of direct solar beam and shading is more significant when we look at the surface temperature of the square. The north and east sides of the square have 3.15 °C higher $T_s$ than the rest of the square area (Fig.2b). There are some trees along the south side of the square, and $T_s$ resulted much lower in the adjacent of these trees. The maximum cooling effect of these trees on $T_s$ is 12.6 °C, which is in the space between the trees. Looking at the mean radiant temperature, which has a significant effect on human thermal comfort (Kántor & Unger, 2011), $T_{mrt}$ in the square is between 64.0 and 69.0 °C (Fig. 2c). The effect of some trees on $T_{mrt}$ is significant and causes up to 30 °C cooling effect.
3.2. The effect of heat mitigation scenarios

In the Alb.3 and Alb.5 scenarios, the albedo of the dark granite pavement of the square was increased from 0.1 to 0.3 and 0.5, respectively. The aim was to increase the reflection of the pavement and to absorb less sun. In WP scenario, a water-pond in the south side of the square was modelled. Since it was not possible to model some actual fountains that pour water, the effect of a large water-pond was assessed in the WP scenario (pound not pouring or spraying).
Figure 3a shows the air temperature difference between the reference model and Alb.3 scenario at the height of 0.6m. In this scenario, the albedo of the square pavement is only increased (not the rest of the model pavements). Therefore, the cooling effect of this albedo increase is visible mostly at the center of the square. The maximum cooling effect is 0.5 °C, and this effect dissipates by reaching the edges of the square. By increasing the albedo from 0.1 (reference model) to 0.5 (Alb.5 scenario), the maximum cooling effect reaches to 1.0 °C. Although the cooling effect in Alb.5 is twice as Alb.3, the spatial distributions of the cooling effect in these two scenarios are similar, and do not exceed the boundaries of the square. Regarding the WP scenario, the maximum cooling effect of the water-pond is 0.5 °C. This cooling effect is spread to the south west of the pond because the wind direction is from the northeast.

Considering the effect of the mitigation scenarios on the mean radiant temperature at the height of 0.6m, Alb.3 caused an increase of 5.3 °C in the square (Fig. 4a). This increase of mean radiant temperature was expected due to the increase of albedo. In Alb.5 scenario, $T_{mrt}$ is increased to 10.3 °C above the square area (Fig. 4b). Reversely, the WP scenario was the only model that reduced $T_{mrt}$, up to 6.2 °C (Fig. 4c).
Figure 4: The mean radiant temperature difference between: the reference model and alb.3 (a); the reference model and alb.5 (b); the reference model and WP (c).

3.3. Thermal comfort in the square

As mentioned earlier, one of the aims of this study was the comparison of the effect of different heat mitigation strategies on the human thermal comfort within the square. For this scope, the thermal comfort of a 35 year old, male, 75 kg at the center of the square was calculated. PET was calculated for the four UHI mitigating scenarios (Fig. 5). The minimum PET for all scenarios happened at 5:00, one hour before the sun rise at 6:02. Till this moment, all scenarios had the same PET. After sun rise, Alb.5 showed the maximum PET during the sunlit hours. The reference and WP scenarios had the same PET during the whole day. This shows that the water pond did not affect the receptor point, which is located at the center of the square.

The average PET during the sunlit hours in the reference, Alb.3 and Alb.5 scenarios is 39.6 °C, 41.5 °C and 43.4 °C, respectively. At 15:00, all scenarios experienced their maximum PET. Alb.5 and Alb.3 have 4.8 and 2.4 °C higher PET than the reference scenario. Alb.3 and Alb.5 increased the PET level and reduced the comfort level. Although these two scenarios reduced air temperature (Fig. 3a and 3b), they increased mean radiant temperature in the square. For instance, Alb.5 scenario decreased 0.5 °C air temperature, while $T_{mrt}$ increased 10.3 °C. The simulated human body at the receptor point has the clothing rate of 0.5 clo (equal to knee-length short, short-sleeved shirt, and sandal (ASHRAE, 2013). With this low insulation, re-radiation from the reflective ground reduced the thermal comfort. This shows that cool pavements may have negative effects on the pedestrian’s thermal comfort.
Figure 5: Calculated PET at the center of the square for the different scenarios of pavements.

Figure 6 illustrates the vertical profile of air temperature, mean radiant temperature and PET for a pedestrian at the center of the square. These profiles are plotted at 15:00, the hottest hour of the day. Figure 6-a shows that the increase of pavement albedo reduces the air temperature. Moreover, it should be noted that the slope of vertical air temperatures indicates the effect of surface characteristics on the microclimate. Because of the heat capacity of the pavement, the near surface (z=0.2m) air temperature is higher than higher altitudes (z=1.8m). The air temperature difference between 0.2m and 1.8m height is 0.53 °C, 0.40 °C and 0.27 °C for the reference, Alb.3 and Alb.5 scenarios, respectively. This confirms that a higher albedo causes cooler above air temperature. Figure 6-b shows the vertical profile of PET. This figure shows thermal comfort is mostly affected by the radiation factor rather than by the air temperature. Moreover, similar to the vertical profile of air temperature, the PET vertical change from near surface to 1.8m height is higher for the reference model compared to the other scenarios. This difference is 0.6, 0.5 and 0.4 °C for the reference, Alb.3 and Alb.5 scenarios, respectively.
To discuss the effect of the water pond on thermal comfort, we added an extra receptor to the WP scenario. Figure 7 shows the comparison of PET at the center of the square, and at the edge of the water pond. The average PET at the center is 1.9 °C higher than the water pond. This difference reaches the maximum PET at the center of the square to 50.6 °C at 15:00 while PET is 47.0 °C above the pond.
4. DISCUSSION

Previous studies had shown the impacts of high albedo materials on indoor and outdoor environment. Until today, most of the studies have assessed the application of these materials on roofs. However, fewer studies have focused on the impact of the ground pavement, which is closer to pedestrian level, on outdoor thermal comfort.

This paper focused on a busy urban open space in Toronto with a black pavement. By implementing different heat mitigation strategies, the impact of each scenario on the thermal comfort a pedestrian within the center of the square was assessed. When the albedo of the pavement was increased (from 0.1 to 0.5), it was assumed that high reflective materials are replaced with the existing black pavement in the square.

The results showed that air temperature at the height of 1.0m is reduced up to 0.66 °C (comparing the reference scenario (albedo 0.1) with the higher albedo of 0.5. This reduction in air temperature occurred because of the higher reflectivity of the new surface material. The new material re-radiated the incoming solar radiation to the sky. Through this exchange of solar radiation, a pedestrian perceives more radiation. As a result, mean radiant temperature at the height of 1.0m is increased up to 10.53 °C. This higher mean radiant temperature affected human thermal comfort directly, and led to an increase of PET by 4.7 °C. In other words, although the higher albedo surfaces reduced near surface air temperatures, they decrease thermal comfort situation for pedestrians. In contrast, the water pond reduced PET by up to 3.6 °C. This finding showed that water ponds and water sprays could be promising strategies to mitigate heat in climates that are not dealing with water shortage. It is recommended for future studies to investigate the different forms of implementing water in urban spaces. These forms could be water sprays or water ponds in different positions within an urban open space.

5. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we investigated the impact of pavement characteristics in an open space on the thermal comfort of pedestrians. We focused on near ground microclimate condition because it affects human thermal comfort in urban open spaces. The study was performed for the hottest day
of the year, July 28th 2015. The simulation of the square showed that the asphalt pavement with a low albedo makes the microclimate warm by high heat absorption during the day. We used three UHI mitigating scenarios to alter the land cover and consequently reduce the heat in the square. Increasing the albedo of the square from 0.1 to 0.3 and 0.5 made the square 0.5 and 1.0 °C cooler at 15:00, respectively. Although these two scenarios reduced the air temperature, they increased the solar re-radiation to the pedestrians. This reduced the thermal comfort of pedestrians. In another scenario, we added a water pond to the square, and it reduced the air temperature 0.5 °C above the pond, and did not affect the thermal comfort situation at the center of the square. Finally, this research showed that although the increase of reflectivity of pavement reduces the air temperature, it reduces the thermal comfort at the pedestrian level. Future works will address the effect of other mitigation strategies on human comfort in public spaces in cold climates.
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