



University of  
**Salford**  
MANCHESTER

# The neural mechanisms able to predict future emotion regulation decisions

Bendall, RCA

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1152/jn.00397.2017>

<b>Title</b>	The neural mechanisms able to predict future emotion regulation decisions
<b>Authors</b>	Bendall, RCA
<b>Type</b>	Article
<b>URL</b>	This version is available at: <a href="http://usir.salford.ac.uk/id/eprint/42610/">http://usir.salford.ac.uk/id/eprint/42610/</a>
<b>Published Date</b>	2017

USIR is a digital collection of the research output of the University of Salford. Where copyright permits, full text material held in the repository is made freely available online and can be read, downloaded and copied for non-commercial private study or research purposes. Please check the manuscript for any further copyright restrictions.

For more information, including our policy and submission procedure, please contact the Repository Team at: [usir@salford.ac.uk](mailto:usir@salford.ac.uk).

1           **The neural mechanisms able to predict future emotion regulation decisions**

2

3   NeuroForum review of Doré, Weber, and Ochsner (2017) published March 8<sup>th</sup> 2017

4   Doré, B. P., Weber, L., and Ochsner, K. N. (2017). Neural predictors of decisions to cognitively  
5   control emotion. *The Journal of Neuroscience*, 37(10), 2580-2588.

6

7   Author:

8   Robert C. A. Bendall\*, Directorate of Psychology & Public Health, School of Health Sciences,  
9   University of Salford, Salford, M5 4WT, [r.c.a.bendall@salford.ac.uk](mailto:r.c.a.bendall@salford.ac.uk)

10   \* Corresponding author

11   The author declares no competing financial interests

12

13   Acknowledgements

14   I thank Dr Catherine Thompson and Dr Lynne Marrow for support and insightful discussion.

15

16   Key words: emotion regulation, psychopathology, prefrontal cortex, amygdala

17

18   Word count: 2,280

19   Running header: Neural predictors of emotion regulation

20 **Abstract**

21 Emotion regulation is crucial in maintaining healthy psychological wellbeing, and its dysregulation is  
22 often linked to a range of neuropsychiatric disorders including depression. The neurobiological  
23 underpinnings of cognitive reappraisal, an emotion regulation strategy, have been shown to include  
24 the amygdala and regions of the prefrontal cortex. A novel study by Doré, Weber, and Ochsner (2017)  
25 has demonstrated that neural activity in these regions during uninstructed visualization of affective  
26 stimuli can successfully predict which individuals are more likely to subsequently employ emotion  
27 regulation, and under what circumstances.

28

29 The ability to respond daily to life's events is crucial to psychological wellbeing, resilience, and  
30 physical health. Consequently, emotion regulation, broadly defined as the initiation of a conscious or  
31 non-conscious effort to start, stop, or modulate an emotion, has a crucial role in protecting an  
32 individual from a range of psychopathologies including anxiety, depression, eating disorders and  
33 substance-related disorders (Aldao, Nolen-Hoeksema, & Schweizer, 2010). Therefore, understanding  
34 the neurobiological mechanisms supporting emotion regulation will help to develop interventions to  
35 improve emotion dysregulation in individuals with a range of disorders.

36 One such emotion regulation strategy is cognitive reappraisal, where individuals attempt to  
37 explicitly reinterpret the meaning of an emotional stimulus or event with the goal of altering the  
38 nature, magnitude, or duration of the emotional response (Ochsner, Silvers, & Buhle, 2012). The  
39 neuroscientific study of cognitive reappraisal has made significant progress in identifying the neural  
40 circuits involved in emotion regulation during the last decade (Buhle et al., 2014; Ochsner et al.,  
41 2012). Neuroimaging studies have revealed that emotion regulation recruits a network of regions  
42 involved in top-down cognitive control. These include areas of the prefrontal cortex (PFC) including  
43 the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (dlPFC), dorsomedial prefrontal cortex (dmPFC), ventromedial  
44 prefrontal cortex (vmPFC) and ventrolateral prefrontal cortex (vlPFC), which allow individuals to  
45 apply top-down cognitive control mechanisms. These PFC regions have been shown to regulate (both

46 up- and down-regulate) activity in the amygdala, a subcortical limbic system structure involved in  
47 detecting emotional reactivity via direct and indirect structural connections (Buhle et al., 2014).

48 Research has recently begun to focus on individual differences in emotion regulation rather  
49 than group averages. Within the field of emotion regulation individual variation is not considered  
50 statistical noise. Instead, individual differences in the ability to regulate emotion may determine  
51 vulnerability and resilience in the face of affective stressors. Consequently, understanding the neural  
52 mechanisms underpinning individual differences in successful emotion regulation may aid clinical  
53 assessment of interventions and provide more effective translational therapies.

54 Facial electromyography, a technique that measures muscle activity by recording electrical  
55 impulses when muscle fibres contract, can be employed to index an objective measure of trait-like  
56 individual differences in emotion regulation and is able to predict amygdala-PFC functional  
57 connectivity (Lee, Heller, van Reekum, Nelson, & Davidson, 2012). Moreover, using diffusion tensor  
58 imaging, it has been shown that increased use of emotion regulation is predictive of stronger  
59 amygdala-PFC microstructure fibre tract pathways, whilst trait anxiety is negatively correlated with  
60 amygdala-PFC connectivity (Eden et al., 2015). These studies adopting individual difference  
61 approaches demonstrate that PFC regions and the amygdala are implicated in emotion regulation at  
62 both the anatomical and functional level in healthy individuals.

63 Whilst progress has been made towards identifying brain circuits involved during emotion  
64 regulation, it remains unknown whether neural mechanisms can be used to predict emotion regulation  
65 decisions. Previous research investigating the neural circuitry underpinning emotion regulation has  
66 not given participants the choice as to whether or not to regulate their emotion, and instead employed  
67 experimental designs where individuals are instructed to adopt emotion regulation strategies and  
68 neural activation is recorded. Consequently, the neural mechanisms predicting the decision to regulate  
69 emotional responses were until recently unknown. This has important implications as the decision to  
70 purposefully regulate one's negative emotion has been shown to be a protective factor for well-being  
71 (Sheppes et al., 2014). A recent study by Doré et al. (2017) has provided some novel insights to this

72 issue. Here the authors attempted to a) reveal the neural processes supporting individual decisions to  
73 regulate emotional responses, and b) determine whether brain activity can be used to predict emotion  
74 regulation decisions.

75         Participants initially underwent training in the emotion regulation strategy cognitive  
76 reappraisal to ensure they understood what a choice to regulate emotion would involve. This included  
77 experimenter-guided training in positive reappraisal (i.e. focussing on the potential positive aspects of  
78 a negative stimulus or situation) and minimizing reappraisal (i.e. focussing on potential neutral  
79 aspects of a negative stimulus or situation). Participants then completed an emotion regulation task  
80 where they were able to practice using these strategies. Subsequently, the researchers determined  
81 brain regions known to be involved in emotion regulation on an a priori basis (vlPFC, dlPFC, dmPFC,  
82 and amygdala), and used functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) to record activity from these  
83 areas during a negative image viewing task. Participants were required to view a series of negative  
84 images and rate how positive and how negative the images made them feel using a 5-point scale  
85 ('How positive do you feel' and 'How negative do you feel'). Importantly, participants were free to  
86 think about the images in any manner and were not instructed to regulate their emotional response to  
87 the images. The second part of the study, completed outside the fMRI scanner, involved an emotion  
88 regulation choice task in which participants were presented with negative images (some previously  
89 seen in the initial image viewing task and some novel images) and asked to decide whether to regulate  
90 their emotion or simply to view the images passively. Participants recorded their emotion regulation  
91 decision by selecting either 'look naturally' or 'reappraise' in response to each image.

92         This paradigm allowed Doré and colleagues to demonstrate that specific neural mechanisms,  
93 engaged during uninstructed visualization of emotional stimuli, are able to successfully predict  
94 subsequent behavioural choices concerned with the regulation of emotional response. At the  
95 individual level, using a model-based analysis, more frequent decisions to employ cognitive  
96 reappraisal were predicted by greater activity in the vlPFC, dlPFC, dmPFC, and amygdala. These  
97 results were similar for both old and new images in the emotion regulation choice task. Further, these  
98 relationships were still evident after controlling for self-report ratings of positive and negative affect,

99 demonstrating that increased activation of these neural circuits during the viewing of negative images  
100 predicted the decision to regulate emotion independently of participant's subjective emotional  
101 experience whilst viewing negative images. Moreover, Doré and colleagues also investigated whether  
102 brain activity could predict the specific events for which the decision to regulate emotion is most  
103 likely. This was operationalized by conducting analysis at the level of the stimulus and by using a  
104 whole brain activity pattern that had previously been shown to be associated with emotion reappraisal  
105 (Buhle et al., 2014). At the stimulus level, trial-to-trial differences in whole brain pattern activity  
106 predicted the decision to regulate emotion to a specific stimulus. A model including this distributed  
107 brain pattern, in addition to PFC and amygdala regions, performed better at predicting the decision to  
108 regulate emotion to a given stimulus than a model only including self-report data and affective ratings  
109 of stimuli. This result demonstrates that stimulus-level variability in brain pattern expression can be  
110 used to predict which stimuli individuals are more likely to employ cognitive reappraisal.

111 Doré et al. (2017) revealed important findings moving towards a more individualized science  
112 of emotion regulation (Doré, Silvers, & Ochsner, 2016) and translational neuroscience approach –  
113 going beyond experimentally directed emotion regulation and instead being able to predict who will  
114 freely choose to regulate their emotion and under what circumstances. Using individual variability in  
115 neural responses to negative stimuli, it was demonstrated that decisions to deploy cognitive control of  
116 emotion can be predicted by neural processes during earlier emotional experience. However, due to  
117 the nature of the correlational design, it is not possible to make any inferences about causality in the  
118 relationship between neural activation during affective experience and subsequent decisions to  
119 regulate emotion. Future experimental work should build upon these findings using neurostimulation  
120 techniques such as repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) and/or transcranial direct  
121 current stimulation, which allow for experimental manipulation of specific neural circuits. For  
122 instance, rTMS has recently been used to causally infer that inhibitory disruption of the right dlPFC  
123 influences early affective processing as evidenced by altered neurophysiological response and  
124 impaired behavioural performance (Zwanzger et al., 2014).

125           The investigation of negative emotion regulation and/or dysregulation is of central importance  
126 to understanding the neural mechanisms involved during emotion regulation in healthy individuals  
127 and clinical populations. However, within the emotion science literature it has been documented that a  
128 bias exists whereby positive conditions (e.g. positive mood, positive experimental stimuli, or positive  
129 emotion regulation conditions) are often not included, and this limits the conclusions that can be made  
130 about affective processing. There are instances when individuals need to regulate positive emotional  
131 experiences, and currently the neural processes involved during such responses are less well  
132 understood. Thus, it is recommended that future research includes both positive and negative  
133 experimental conditions.

134           In Major Depressive Disorder increases in dlPFC activity when regulating emotion has been  
135 shown to predict reductions in symptom severity in depressive patients (Heller et al., 2013). However,  
136 it is unknown whether the neural circuits underpinning the decisions to regulate emotion are able to  
137 predict behaviour and symptom severity reduction in clinical populations. Doré et al. (2017) indeed  
138 suggest that future work could investigate whether clinical disorders show disruption in their ability to  
139 deploy emotion regulation, and importantly research needs to focus on instances of uninstructed  
140 emotion regulation (i.e. investigations of the decision whether to regulate emotion rather than  
141 experimental designs where individuals are explicitly told to regulate their emotion). Crucially,  
142 however, research adopting a more individualized approach to the investigation of the decision to  
143 regulate emotion needs to move beyond the laboratory adopting study designs better able to capture  
144 real-world behaviour. Using fMRI it has been demonstrated that dlPFC activity in response to reward  
145 responses recorded in the laboratory are able to predict behavioural reactivity of real-world positive  
146 emotion. This relationship was established by correlating dlPFC activity with behavioural response  
147 following a reward given in natural environments (Heller et al., 2015). Future translational  
148 neuroimaging investigations of emotion regulation decisions should aim to adopt such techniques.  
149 Consequently this will help to understand the neural basis of such decision making in healthy  
150 individuals and patient populations in real-world settings, and aid in the development and evaluation  
151 of therapeutic interventions.

152           Although not discussed in detail by Doré et al. (2017), their finding also showed that bilateral  
153 precuneus activity were positively correlated with emotion regulation decisions. The precuneus, part  
154 of the posteromedial portion of the parietal lobe, has been shown to be involved in broad aspects of  
155 cognition including production/alteration of subjective experience, integration of internal and external  
156 information, visual mental imagery, episodic memory recall, and self-referential processing (for a  
157 review see Cavanna & Trimble, 2006). Further, recent evidence has suggested that this cortical area  
158 forms part of the neural circuit activated during another emotion regulation strategy – attentional  
159 deployment – where attention is directed with the goal of changing one’s emotional response (Ferri,  
160 Schmidt, Hajcak, & Canli, 2016). Ferri and colleagues demonstrated that task-dependent coupling  
161 between the precuneus and amygdala is increased during visual attentional deployment, suggesting  
162 that the precuneus is involved in the regulation of amygdala reactivity to emotional stimuli when  
163 emotion regulation involves shifts in attention. Moreover, the strength of coupling between the  
164 precuneus and amygdala predicted attentional deployment success, and individual differences in trait  
165 reappraisal were positively correlated with amygdala-precuneus connectivity. Considering these  
166 observations in combination with those of Doré et al. (2017) suggests that the precuneus may be part  
167 of a network of neural circuits involved in multiple emotional regulation strategies. Indeed, it has been  
168 suggested that individuals may simultaneously use attentional deployment and cognitive reappraisal  
169 during emotion regulation (see Ferri et al., 2016). However, as the precuneus was not identified as a  
170 brain region of interest on an a priori basis by Doré and colleagues (in relation to its involvement in  
171 cognitive reappraisal), caution is needed when interpreting this observation. Further research is  
172 needed to reveal the precise role of the precuneus in emotion regulation (see below).

173           Doré et al. (2017) have provided evidence to suggest that neural activity during affective  
174 experience can predict the individuals who are more likely to subsequently deploy cognitive control  
175 of emotion, and for what stimuli emotion regulation is most likely. This novel methodology should  
176 help to direct emotion regulation research towards a more individualized translational neuroscience  
177 approach. A number of avenues for future research have been identified. Firstly, researchers need to  
178 include positive emotion conditions within their experimental designs to test whether the neural



179 mechanisms evident during negative emotion regulation are similar to those adopted during positive  
180 emotion regulation. Additionally, the use of neurostimulation techniques will allow researchers to  
181 infer causality between underlying neurobiological mechanisms and emotion regulation. It will also  
182 be advantageous for research to adopt study designs that allow the measurement of real-world  
183 behaviour in both healthy individuals and clinical populations. This will be crucial for the  
184 development and evaluation of translational therapeutic interventions. Further, an individualized  
185 approach that acknowledges the role of the individual in emotion regulation will aid development of  
186 our understanding of individual difference traits that can be targeted for therapeutic intervention.  
187 Lastly, recent evidence has suggested that the precuneus may be part of the neural circuit involved in  
188 emotion regulation, and future work should try to reveal the exact role of this brain region. For  
189 example, it is not known whether the primary involvement of the precuneus during emotion regulation  
190 is related to the alteration of subjective experience, integration of internal and external information,  
191 self-referential processing, attentional deployment, or a combination of these processes.

192 In summary, using an elegantly designed study adopting an individualized translational  
193 neuroscience approach, Doré et al. (2017) revealed that increased neural activation in the PFC and  
194 amygdala during uninstructed affective experience can successfully predict individuals who are more  
195 likely to regulate their emotional responses. Further, trial-to-trial variability of a brain activity pattern  
196 previously shown to be related to emotion regulation was able to predict emotion regulation at the  
197 stimulus level. This novel approach to the study of emotion regulation will be especially valuable  
198 when applied to clinical populations and the development of translational therapeutic interventions.

199

## 200 **References**

201 Aldao, A., Nolen-Hoeksema, S., & Schweizer, S. (2010). Emotion-regulation strategies across  
202 psychopathology: A meta-analytic review. *Clinical Psychology Review, 30*(2), 217–237.  
203 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2009.11.004>

- 204 Buhle, J. T., Silvers, J. A., Wager, T. D., Lopez, R., Onyemekwu, C., Kober, H., ... Ochsner, K. N.  
205 (2014). Cognitive Reappraisal of Emotion: A Meta-Analysis of Human Neuroimaging Studies.  
206 *Cerebral Cortex*, 24(11), 2981–2990. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bht154>
- 207 Cavanna, A. E., & Trimble, M. R. (2006). The precuneus: a review of its functional anatomy and  
208 behavioural correlates. *Brain*, 129(3), 564–583. <https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awl004>
- 209 Doré, B. P., Silvers, J. A., & Ochsner, K. N. (2016). Toward a Personalized Science of Emotion  
210 Regulation. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass*, 10(4), 171–187.  
211 <https://doi.org/10.1111/spc3.12240>
- 212 Doré, B. P., Weber, J., & Ochsner, K. N. (2017). Neural predictors of decisions to cognitively control  
213 emotion. *The Journal of Neuroscience*, 2526–16. [https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2526-](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2526-16.2016)  
214 [16.2016](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2526-16.2016)
- 215 Eden, A. S., Schreiber, J., Anwender, A., Keuper, K., Laeger, I., Zwanzger, P., ... Döbel, C. (2015).  
216 Emotion Regulation and Trait Anxiety Are Predicted by the Microstructure of Fibers between  
217 Amygdala and Prefrontal Cortex. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 35(15), 6020–6027.  
218 <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3659-14.2015>
- 219 Ferri, J., Schmidt, J., Hajcak, G., & Canli, T. (2016). Emotion regulation and amygdala-precuneus  
220 connectivity: Focusing on attentional deployment. *Cognitive, Affective & Behavioral*  
221 *Neuroscience*, 16(6), 991–1002. <https://doi.org/10.3758/s13415-016-0447-y>
- 222 Heller, A. S., Fox, A. S., Wing, E. K., McQuisition, K. M., Vack, N. J., & Davidson, R. J. (2015). The  
223 Neurodynamics of Affect in the Laboratory Predicts Persistence of Real-World Emotional  
224 Responses. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 35(29), 10503–10509.  
225 <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0569-15.2015>
- 226 Heller, A. S., Johnstone, T., Peterson, M. J., Kolden, G. G., Kalin, N. H., & Davidson, R. J. (2013).  
227 Increased prefrontal cortex activity during negative emotion regulation as a predictor of  
228 depression symptom severity trajectory over 6 months. *JAMA Psychiatry*, 70(11), 1181–9.

- 229 <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2013.2430>
- 230 Lee, H., Heller, A. S., van Reekum, C. M., Nelson, B., & Davidson, R. J. (2012). Amygdala–  
231 prefrontal coupling underlies individual differences in emotion regulation. *NeuroImage*, *62*(3),  
232 1575–1581. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2012.05.044>
- 233 Ochsner, K. N., Silvers, J. A., & Buhle, J. T. (2012). Functional imaging studies of emotion  
234 regulation: a synthetic review and evolving model of the cognitive control of emotion. *Annals of*  
235 *the New York Academy of Sciences*, *1251*, E1-24. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.2012.06751.x)  
236 [6632.2012.06751.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.2012.06751.x)
- 237 Sheppes, G., Scheibe, S., Suri, G., Radu, P., Blechert, J., & Gross, J. J. (2014). Emotion regulation  
238 choice: A conceptual framework and supporting evidence. *Journal of Experimental Psychology:*  
239 *General*, *143*(1), 163–181. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0030831>
- 240 Zwanzger, P., Steinberg, C., Rehbein, M. A., Bröckelmann, A.-K., Dobel, C., Zavorotnyy, M., ...  
241 Junghöfer, M. (2014). Inhibitory repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) of the  
242 dorsolateral prefrontal cortex modulates early affective processing. *NeuroImage*, *101*, 193–203.  
243 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2014.07.003>
- 244