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Abstract

A theoretical study is presented to examine free convective boundary layer flow of water-based bio-nanofluid containing gyrotactic microorganisms past a wavy surface. Buongiorno’s nanofluid model with passively controlled boundary condition is applied to investigate the effects of the emerging parameters on the physical quantities namely, skin friction, Nusselt numbers and density number of motile microorganisms. The effects of the both hydrodynamic and thermal slips are also incorporated. Local similarity and non-similarity solutions are obtained using the seventh-order Runge-Kutta-Fehlberg method (RKF7) coupled with shooting quadrature. In order to compare our numerical results with the existing data, the active mass flux boundary condition is also used to benchmark MAPLE numerical solutions with earlier similar and non-similar solutions for a smooth stationary surface. It is found that the passive boundary condition reduces the skin friction and enhances local Nusselt numbers. Also the wavy surface is found to result in higher skin friction and higher local Nusselt numbers compared with a stationary surface. It is found that motile microorganism density number is elevated with increasing bioconvection Péclet number whereas the motile micro-organism species boundary layer thickness is reduced with increasing bioconvection Lewis number. The work finds applications in heat transfer enhancement in bio-inspired nanoparticle-doped fuel cells.

Keywords: Bioconvection; passively controlled horizontal wavy surface; multiple slip effects; non-similar, biofuel cells.

*Corresponding author: Email: jashim_74@yahoo.com

1. Introduction

Nanofluid applications in medical engineering are stimulating significant attention. Areas being explored range from pharmacodynamics [1] to plant-inspired biofuel cells [2]. Applications in bio-
energy systems and in particular proton exchange membrane (PEM) bio-inspired fuel cells are also increasing [3]. Nanofluids offer a significant thermal enhancement characteristic as compared to tradition fluids. They also enable manipulation of designs at the nanoscale, which is of great interest in bio-nano-technology. An alternative methodology for enhancing heat/mass/microorganism transfer is geometric modification of the surfaces adjacent to the transport medium i.e. biofluid. Examples of this geometric modification include \textit{irregular or wavy surfaces} which have been successfully used in a wide spectrum of technological applications including microbial fuel cell walls [4,5], plant engineering-inspired bio fuel production [6,7] and solar collectors. The wavy surface may be vertical/inclined or horizontal depending upon its application. In the context of biofuel cell design, a lot of new developments in the structure of wall surfaces and polymers have enabled engineers to explore wavy heat transfer as a viable improved system which can significantly enhance fuel efficiencies achievable [7]. Free and mixed convective flow past a wavy surfaces have been studied by many scientist. Representative studies in this area include [8]-[15]. These largely theoretical and computational studies have used for many hydrodynamic, thermal, concentration and microorganism boundary conditions. They found that the frequency of the local heat transfer rate is double that of the wavy surface. In the studies [8-15] many multi-physical effects such as the temperature-dependent viscosity, heat generation/absorption, buoyancy ratio, magnetic parameter, the amplitude of the wavy surface and Prandtl number on the skin friction, dimensionless rate of heat and mass transfer were investigated. Natural or mixed convection heat and mass transfer from inclined wavy surfaces in porous media saturated with different fluids has also been investigated by a number of researchers. Cheng [16] studied the double diffusive free convective flow near an inclined wavy surface in porous medium with constant wall temperature and concentration and found that a decrease in the angle of inclination lead to increase fluctuation of the local Nusselt and Sherwood numbers, and further noting that increasing the angle of inclination tends to increase the total heat and mass transfer rates. In another study, Cheng [17] also solved the problem of free convection boundary layer flow near an inclined wavy surface. Abdallah and Zeghmati [18] studied numerical effects of wavy surfaces and thermal radiation on free convective heat transfer along an inclined wavy plate. D'Alessio \textit{et al.} [19] discussed the two-dimensional problem of gravity-driven laminar flow of a thin layer of fluid down a heated wavy inclined surface. They conducted a stability analysis and used nonlinear simulations to validate the stability predictions and also to study thermo-capillary effects. The effects of thermal stratification and radiation on nanofluid flow past an inclined wavy surface embedded in a non-Darcy porous medium were studied by Srinivasacharya and Kumar [20].
Horizontal wavy surfaces geometries have been used by many investigators. Siddiqa and Hossain [21] analyzed convective flow. Siddiqa et al. [22] illustrated free convective flow past radiative wavy surface. They reported that wavy surface enhances heat transfer rate compared to the smooth wall and that high amplitude of the wavy surface leads to separation of fluid from the plate. In another report, Siddiqa et al. [23] examined the natural convective flow from an irregular semi-infinite triangular wavy surface, obtaining solutions for the skin friction, heat transfer rate, streamlines and isotherm distributions. Rees and Pop [24] studied the effect of stationary surface waves on the natural convective flow induced due to a horizontal plate in a porous medium. They performed extensive computations for a wide range of wave amplitudes and phases and found that a thin near-wall boundary layer develops within the basic boundary layer as the distance downstream increases. Narayana et al. [25] discussed the double diffusive convection induced by a heated and salted horizontal wavy surface. Hossain and Pop [26] reported flow and heat transfer on a continuous moving wavy surface in a stationary fluid with magnetic field. Tashtoush and Abu-Irshaid [27] investigated heat transfer along a wavy surface with a prescribed heat flux. They found that for a given amplitude, a point of separation appears rendering the solution restricted at that amplitude. Murrhy et al. [28] analyzed the effect of surface undulations on the natural convection heat transfer from an isothermal surface in a Darcian fluid-saturated porous enclosure, indicating that the wavy wall reduces the heat transfer into the system. Reddy et al. [29] investigated the flow and heat transfer past a continuous moving surface in a Darcian porous medium. The free convective flow along horizontal and slightly inclined surfaces was studied by Pera and Gebhart [30]. They measured the temperature distributions and heat transfer parameters in air at atmospheric pressure. Pradhan et al. [31] considered the natural convective flow of a water-based nanofluid along an isothermal horizontal plate.

Nanofluids can change the transport as well as thermal properties of the carrier fluid and consequently enhance thermal as well mass and microorganism transport. These fluids are engineered colloids comprising a base fluid (e.g. water) and nanoparticles (e.g. metallic or non-metallic particles). When microorganisms which are heavier than water are present with nanoparticles in water, bioconvection occur due to the movement of microorganisms in a specific direction [32-33]. Kuznetsov and Avramenko [34] and Kuznetsov and Geng [35-38] investigated theoretically the bioconvection behavior of suspensions of gyrotactic microorganisms. The concept of nanofluid bioconvection was probably first introduced by Kuznetsov [39-40]. Kuznetsov [41] further developed this theory and focused on nanofluids containing gyrotactic microorganisms, confirming that the resultant large-scale motion of fluid caused by self-propelled motile microorganism enhances mixing and prevents nanoparticle agglomeration in nanofluids. Aziz et al. [42] applied Buongiorno’s model [43] to study boundary layer flow of nanofluid with gyrotactic microorganism.
Kuznetsov and Nield [44-45] revisited the problems of natural convective flow of a nanofluid and extended their models to the case when the nanofluid particle fraction on the boundary is passively rather than actively controlled. This makes the model physically more realistic than the previous models and more relevant to actual engineering systems. Subsequently Khan et al. [46] employed the same boundary condition which accounts for the effect of both Brownian and thermophoresis parameters. According to this new type of boundary condition there exists zero nanoparticle flux at the surface and the particle fraction values adjust accordingly. A book on wavy surface has been produced recently by Shenoy et al. [47]. Sadia et al. [48] studied gyrotactic bioconvection flow of a nanofluid past a vertical wavy surface. Naheed et al. [49] studied nanofluid bioconvection with variable thermophysical properties. Sheikholeslami and Rokni [50] studied the effect of melting heat transfer on nanofluid flow in the presence of a magnetic field using the Buongiorno model. Soomro et al. [51] investigated the effect of passive control boundary on nanoparticle due to convective heat transfer of Prandtl fluid model for the stretching surface.

The above review of literature reveals that there is no study of free convective flow of water-based nanofluids with microorganism along a horizontal wavy surface with passively controlled boundary condition. This has motivated the present investigation and is particularly relevant to microbial fuel cells exploiting both nanofluids and bioconvection. Local similarity and non-similarity solutions of the transport equations are obtained by using the Runge-Kutta-Fehlberg method of seventh order (RKF7) coupled with a shooting method. The effects of the emerging parameters on the dimensionless velocity, temperature, nanoparticle volume fraction, and motile microorganism along with the skin friction, the rate of heat transfer and the rate of motile microorganism transfer are examined numerically and discussed in details. The numerical results are found to demonstrate good agreement with the published results for certain special cases. The present work is aimed at further elucidating the near-wall transport phenomena encountered in bio-nanofluid fuel cells [2-7].

2. Formulation of the Problem
We consider the steady flow of a water-based nanofluid along a stationary/moving horizontal wavy surface in a clam free stream. It is assumed that the nanofluid contains gyrotactic microorganisms. It is also assumed that the nanoparticle suspension is stable and the direction of microorganisms’ swimming is independent of nanoparticles. The fluid is considered to be homogeneous and incompressible, and the volume fraction of the micro-organisms is sufficiently small such that they exert a negligible effect on the inertia and the viscosity of the fluid–microbe suspension. The flow model and coordinate system is depicted in Fig.1. The temperature $T_w$ and the density of motile microorganisms $n_w$ are assumed at the
surface, whereas, $T_s$, $C_s$ and $n_s$ are assumed as surrounding temperature, concentration and density of motile microorganisms. We used the *passively controlled* (PC) boundary condition which is imposed at the surface (Kuznetsov and Nield [44, 45]). Considering the Oberbeck-Boussinesq approximation and following Buongiorno [42], Kuznetsov and Nield [39], the continuity and momentum equations are:

\[
\frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \bar{v}}{\partial y} = 0, \tag{1}
\]

\[
\rho_f \left( \bar{u} \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial x} + \bar{v} \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial y} \right) = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + \nu \frac{\partial^2 \bar{u}}{\partial y^2}, \tag{2}
\]

\[
-\frac{\partial p}{\partial y} + \left[ (1 - C_s) \rho_f g (T - T_s) - (\rho_T - \rho_f) g (C - C_s) \right] + \gamma (\rho_T - \rho_f) g n = 0. \tag{3}
\]

The thermal energy equation:

\[
\bar{u} \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + \bar{v} \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} = \alpha \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2} + \tau \left[ D_T \frac{\partial C}{\partial y} + \left( \frac{D_T}{T_s^2} \right) \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \right]. \tag{4}
\]

The conservation of the nanoparticles:

\[
\bar{u} \frac{\partial n}{\partial x} + \bar{v} \frac{\partial n}{\partial y} = D_n \frac{\partial^2 n}{\partial y^2} + \left( \frac{D_n}{T_s^2} \right) \frac{\partial n}{\partial y}. \tag{5}
\]

The conservation of micro-organisms equation

\[
\bar{u} \frac{\partial \bar{n}}{\partial x} + \bar{v} \frac{\partial \bar{n}}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (\bar{n} \bar{v}) = D_n \frac{\partial^2 \bar{n}}{\partial y^2}. \tag{6}
\]

The left-hand side of Eq. (6) represents the flux of microorganisms due to the macroscopic motion of the fluid, the directional swimming of microorganisms up the oxygen gradients, and a diffusive process that models all random motions of the microorganisms. Here $\alpha$ is the thermal diffusivity, $\tau = \frac{(\rho c)_p}{(\rho c)_f}$ is the ratio of the effective heat capacity of the nanoparticle and fluid, $\rho_f$ is the density of the fluid, $\mu$ is the dynamic viscosity, $\beta$ is the volumetric expansion of fluid, $\rho_T$ is the density of the nanoparticles, $\bar{\gamma}$ is the average volume of the micro-organism, $(\rho c)_f$ is the heat effective heat capacity of the fluid, $(\rho c)_p$ is the effective heat capacity of the nanoparticle material, $k$ is the effective thermal conductivity, $g$ is the gravitation due to acceleration, $D_b$ is the Brownian diffusion coefficient, $D_T$ is the thermophoretic diffusion coefficient, $(\bar{u}, \bar{v})$ are the velocity components along the axes,
\[ U_r = \frac{\alpha}{L} Ra^{2/5} \] is the reference velocity, \( L \) is the reference length, \( \bar{u}_{\text{slip}} = \frac{\mu}{\rho} N_1 \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial y} \) is the linear slip velocity, \( N_1 \) is the velocity slip factor, \( T_{\text{slip}} = D_1 \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \) is the thermal slip, \( D_1 \) is the thermal slip factor, \( \bar{\alpha} \) is the dimensional amplitude of wavy surface, \( \omega \) is the phase shift parameter, \( \bar{\nu} = \left( \frac{bW_c}{\Delta C_w} \right) \frac{\partial C}{\partial y}, D_n \) is the diffusivity of microorganisms, \( b \) is the chemotaxis constant [m] and \( W_c \) is the maximum cell swimming speed [m/s] (the product \( bW_c \) is assumed to be constant. It is assumed that sheet velocity is \( \lambda = 0 \) stands for a stationary plate, \( \lambda = 1 \) is associated with the case of a moving plate. 

The geometry of the wavy surface is described by:

\[ \bar{y} = \bar{\alpha} \sin \left( \pi \bar{x}/L - \omega \right). \]  

(7)

where \( \bar{\alpha} \) is the amplitude, \( \omega \) is the phase shift parameter and \( L \) is the characteristic length as shown in Fig. 1. The physically realistic boundary conditions are (Karniadakis et al. [52]):

\[ \bar{u} = \lambda \bar{u}_w (\bar{x}/L) + \bar{u}_{\text{slip}}, \quad \bar{v} = 0, \quad T = T_w (\bar{x}/L) + T_{\text{slip}}, \quad n = n_w, \]

at \( \bar{y} = \bar{\alpha} \sin (\pi \bar{x}/L - \omega), \forall \bar{x} \)

\[ \bar{u} \rightarrow 0, \quad T \rightarrow T_\infty, \quad C \rightarrow C_\infty, \quad \bar{p} \rightarrow \bar{p}_\infty, n = 0 \text{ as } \bar{y} \rightarrow \infty, \forall \bar{x}. \]  

(8)

The mass flux boundary condition [44, 45] can be written as

\[ D_n \frac{\partial C}{\partial y} + \frac{D_T}{T_\infty} \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} = 0 \quad (\text{passive control}) \]

\[ C = C_w \quad (\text{active control}) \]  

(9)

It is pertinent to introduce a group of dimensionless variables to render Eqns. (1) - (6) into non-dimensional form. These are defined as follows:

\[ x = \frac{\bar{x}}{L}, \quad y = \frac{\bar{y}}{L} Ra^{1/5}, \quad u = \frac{L}{\alpha} Ra^{2/5} \bar{u}, \quad v = \frac{L}{\alpha} Ra^{1/5} \bar{\nu}, \quad \theta = \frac{T - T_\infty}{T_w - T_\infty}, \]

\[ \chi = \frac{n}{n_w}, \quad p = \frac{E (\bar{p} - \bar{p}_w)}{\rho_n \alpha^4} Ra^{1/5}, \]

\[ \phi = \frac{C - C_\infty}{C_\infty - C_\infty} \quad \text{for actively control} \]

\[ \phi = \frac{C - C_\infty}{C_\infty} \quad \text{for passively control} \]  

(10)

(11)

where \( Ra = g \beta (1 - C_\infty) (T_w - T_\infty) L \rho / (\alpha \mu) \) is the Rayleigh number. A stream function \( \psi \) defined by

...
$u = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y}$ and $v = -\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}$ is introduced into Eqns. (2) - (5) which satisfies Eq. (1) identically. Hence

$$\text{Pr} \frac{\partial^4 \psi}{\partial y^4} - \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial^4 \psi}{\partial x^4} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} \frac{\partial^3 \psi}{\partial y^2} = 0,$$

$$- \frac{1}{\text{Pr}} \frac{\partial p}{\partial y} + \theta - N_{r} \phi + R_{b} \chi = 0,$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial y^2} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial y^2} - \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial y^2} - N_{b} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y} - N_{t} \left( \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y} \right)^2 = 0,$$

$$L_{e} \left( \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial y^2} \right) - \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x^2} - N_{t} \frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial y^2} = 0,$$

$$L_{b} \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} \right) - \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x^2} - N_{t} \frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial y^2} = 0.$$ 

The boundary conditions in Eqn. (7) become:

$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} = \lambda x^{1/5} + a x^{2/5} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial y^2}, \quad \theta = 1 + b x^{2/5} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y}, \quad N_{b} \phi' + N_{t} \theta' = 0, \quad \chi = 1 \text{ at } y = \alpha \sin(\pi x - \omega),$$

$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} \rightarrow 0, \quad \theta \rightarrow 0, \quad \phi \rightarrow 0, \quad p \rightarrow 0, \quad \chi \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } y \rightarrow \infty.$$ 

The mass flux boundary condition at $y = \alpha \sin(\pi x - \omega)$ can be written as:

$$N_{b} \phi' + N_{t} \theta' = 0 \quad \text{for passive control}$$

$$\phi = 1 \quad \text{for active control} \quad (18)$$

The dimensionless parameters in Eqns. (12) - (16) are defined as

$$\text{Pr} = \frac{\nu}{\alpha} \quad \text{(Prandtl number)}, \quad (19)$$

$$N_{r} = \frac{(\rho_{w} - \rho_{f})(C_{w} - C_{*})}{\rho_{f} \beta (1 - C_{*})(T_{w} - T_{*})} \quad \text{(buoyancy ratio)}, \quad (20)$$

$$R_{b} = \frac{\gamma (\rho_{w} - \rho_{f}) n_{w}}{\rho_{f} \beta (1 - C_{*})(T_{w} - T_{*})} \quad \text{(bioconvection Rayleigh number)}, \quad (21)$$

$$L_{e} = \frac{\alpha}{D_{b}} \quad \text{(Lewis number)}, \quad (22)$$

$$N_{b} = \frac{\tau D_{b} (C_{w} - C_{*})}{\alpha} \quad \text{(Brownian motion parameter)}, \quad (23)$$

$$N_{t} = \frac{\tau D_{f} (T_{w} - T_{*})}{\alpha T_{*}} \quad \text{(thermophoresis parameter)}, \quad (24)$$
\[ Lb = \frac{\nu}{D_b} \] (bioconvection Lewis number),  
(25)

\[ Pe = \frac{\tilde{b}W}{D_e} \] (Peclet number),  
(26)

\[ a = \frac{N_t \mu \text{Ra}^{1/5}}{\rho_f L} \] (velocity slip),  
(27)

\[ b = \frac{D_t \text{Ra}^{1/5}}{L} \] (thermal slip),  
(28)

\[ \alpha_0 = \frac{\overline{a}}{L} \text{Ra}^{1/5} \] (amplitude of the wavy surface).  
(29)

Following Siddiqa et al. [22], we invoke the following transformations:

\[ \eta = \xi^2 \left[ y - \alpha_0 \sin \left( \pi \xi - \omega \right) \right], \]
\[ \psi = \xi^3 f(\xi, \eta), \]
\[ p = \xi^5 h(\xi, \eta), \]
\[ \theta = \theta(\xi, \eta), \]
\[ \phi = \phi(\xi, \eta), \]
\[ \chi = \chi(\xi, \eta), \]
\[ \xi = x. \]  
(30)

On substituting the transformations specified in Eq. (15) into the governing Eqns. (12) - (16), we obtain the following similarity ordinary differential equations:

\[ \text{Pr} f'' + \frac{3}{5} f'' - \frac{1}{5} f'^2 + \left[ \frac{2}{5} \eta + \alpha_0 \pi \xi \cos(\pi \xi - \omega) \right] h' - \frac{2}{5} h = \xi \left( f' \frac{\partial f}{\partial \xi} - f^2 \frac{\partial f}{\partial \xi} + \frac{\partial h}{\partial \xi} \right), \]  
(31)

\[ -\frac{1}{\text{Pr}} h' + \theta - \text{Nr} \phi + \text{Rb} \chi = 0, \]  
(32)

\[ \theta'' + \frac{3}{5} f \theta' + \text{Nb} \theta' \phi + \text{Nt} \theta^3 = \xi \left( f \frac{\partial f}{\partial \xi} - \theta \frac{\partial f}{\partial \xi} \right), \]  
(33)

\[ \phi'' + \frac{3}{5} \text{Le} f f' + \frac{\text{Nt}}{\text{Nb}} \theta' = \text{Le} \xi \left( f \frac{\partial f}{\partial \xi} - \phi \frac{\partial f}{\partial \xi} \right), \]  
(34)

\[ \chi'' - \text{Pe} \left[ \chi' \phi' + \chi \phi'' \right] + \frac{3}{5} \text{Lb} f \chi' = \text{Lb} \xi \left( f' \frac{\partial f}{\partial \xi} - f \frac{\partial f}{\partial \xi} \right). \]  
(35)

The transformed boundary conditions can be written as:

\[ f(\xi, 0) = 0, \quad f'(\xi, 0) = \lambda + a, \quad f''(\xi, 0) = 1 + b \theta'(\xi, 0), \quad \text{Nb} \phi'(\xi, 0) + \text{Nt} \theta'(\xi, 0) = 0, \]
\[ \chi(\xi, 0) = 1, \quad f'(\xi, \infty) = \theta(\xi, \infty) = \phi(\xi, \infty) = h(\xi, \infty) = 0, \quad \chi(\xi, \infty) = 0, \]  
(36)

where primes denote differentiation with respect to \( \eta \). It is important to note that when \( \alpha_0 = 0 \), Eq. (31) represents the scenario of a horizontal flat plate i.e. waviness is eliminated.
3 Physical Quantities

The local skin friction factor $C_{f,\tau}$, the local Nusselt number $Nu_{\tau}$ and the local density number of motile microorganisms $Nn_{\tau}$ are the parameters of engineering interest. These quantities can be obtained from the following relations:

$$C_{f,\tau} = \frac{2\mu}{\rho u_{\tau}^2} \left( \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial y} \right)_{\tau=0}, \quad Nu_{\tau} = \frac{-\bar{x}}{T_w-T_{\infty}} \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \right)_{\tau=0}, \quad Nn_{\tau} = \frac{-\bar{x}}{n_w} \left( \frac{\partial n}{\partial y} \right)_{\tau=0}$$

(37)

Using Eqs. (7) and (15) into Eq. (21), the following expressions emerge:

$$Ra_{\tau}^{3/5} C_{f,\tau} = f^* (\xi, 0), \quad Ra_{\tau}^{-1/5} Nu_{\tau} = -\theta' (\xi, 0), \quad Ra_{\tau}^{-1/5} Nn_{\tau} = -\chi' (\xi, 0)$$

(38)

Here $Ra_{\tau} = g \beta (1-C_{\tau}) \Delta T \bar{x}^3 / (\alpha \nu)$ is the local Rayleigh number. Due to zero mass flux boundary condition, local Sherwood number will be zero. Following [44, 45], the reduced skin friction, Nusselt number and density number of motile microorganisms can be written as

$$C_{f,\tau} = f^* (\xi, 0), \quad Nur = -\theta' (\xi, 0), \quad Nn_{\tau} = -\chi' (\xi, 0)$$

(39)

4. Numerical Solution of Boundary Value Problem

4.1 First Level of Truncation (Local Similarity)

Equations (31) - (35) with associated boundary conditions (36) constitute highly nonlinear ordinary differential boundary value problem. A numerical solution is therefore developed here. Following Sparrow et al. [53, 54], for the first level of truncation, it is assumed that the $\xi$-derivatives in Eqs. (31) - (35) are neglected and these equations can be rewritten as:

$$Pr f'' + \frac{3}{5} f f' - \frac{1}{5} f^5 + \left[ \frac{2}{5} \eta + \alpha_c \pi \xi^{3/3} \cos(\pi \xi - \alpha) \right] h' - \frac{2}{5} h = 0.$$  

(40)

$$\frac{1}{Pr} h'' + \theta - Nr \phi + Rb \chi = 0,$$

(41)

$$\theta'' + \frac{3}{5} f \theta' + Nb \theta' \phi' + Nt \theta'' = 0.$$  

(42)

$$\phi'' + \frac{3}{5} Le f \phi' + \frac{Nt}{Nb} \theta'' = 0.$$  

(43)

$$\chi'' - Pe \left[ \chi' \phi' + \chi \phi'' \right] + \frac{3}{5} Lb f \chi' = 0.$$  

(44)

These equations along with boundary conditions (36) present a local similarity model where $\xi$ can be regarded as a parameter. This model can be solved numerically using the Runge-Kutta-Fehlberg method of seventh order (RKF7) coupled with a shooting method [55]. The computations are shown in Table 1 for the flow of nanofluids over a smooth horizontal surface (i.e. waviness is negated). We further note that more details of the numerical procedure employed are documented in Minkowycz...
and Sparrow [55]. More recent applications of this method include dispersive and stratified porous media convection [56], liquid metal magneto-hydrodynamic induction heat transfer [57] and solar collector cross-diffusion transport phenomena [58].

4.2 Second Level of Truncation (Local non-similarity)

Following Sparrow and co-workers [53, 54], all the terms are retained in Eqns. (31) - (35) without any approximation and new auxiliary functions $F(\xi, \eta), H(\xi, \eta), \Theta(\xi, \eta), \Phi(\xi, \eta), \Xi(\xi, \eta)$ are assumed which are defined by:

$$F = \frac{\partial f}{\partial \xi}, H = \frac{\partial h}{\partial \xi}, \Theta = \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \xi}, \Phi = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \xi}, \Xi = \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial \xi}$$

(45)

Using these functions, Eqns. (31) - (35) can be re-written as

$$\text{Pr} f'' + \frac{3}{5} f - \frac{1}{5} f' + \left[ \frac{2}{5} \eta + \alpha_\tau \pi \xi \frac{3}{5} \cos(\pi \xi - \omega) \right] h' - \frac{2}{5} h = \xi (f' F' - f' F + H),$$

(46)

$$\frac{1}{\text{Pr}} h' + \Theta - \text{Nr} \phi + Rb \chi = 0,$$

(47)

$$\Theta'' + \frac{3}{5} f \Theta' + \text{Nb} \Theta' + \text{Nt} \Theta'' = \xi (f' \Theta - \Theta' F),$$

(48)

$$\phi'' + \frac{3}{5} \text{Le} f \phi' + \frac{\text{Nt}}{\text{Nb}} \Theta'' = \text{Le} \xi (f' \Phi - \phi' F),$$

(49)

$$\chi'' - \text{Pe} \left[ \chi' \phi' + \chi \phi'' \right] + \frac{3}{5} \text{Lb} f \chi' = \text{Lb} \xi (f' \Xi - \chi' F).$$

(50)

Differentiating Eqns. (47) - (51) and boundary conditions (36) with respect to $\xi$ and neglecting again $\xi$-derivatives, we get:

$$\text{Pr} f''' - \frac{3}{5} f f' - \frac{2}{5} f F' + \left[ \frac{3}{5} \alpha_\tau \pi \xi \frac{2}{5} \cos(-\pi \xi + \omega) + \alpha_\tau \pi \xi \frac{3}{5} \sin(-\pi \xi + \omega) \right] h' +$$

$$\left[ \frac{2}{5} \eta + \alpha_\tau \pi \xi \frac{3}{5} \cos(-\pi \xi + \omega) \right] H' - \frac{2}{5} H' (f' F' - f' F + H) = 0$$

(51)

$$\frac{1}{\text{Pr}} H' + \Theta - \text{Nr} \Phi + Rb \Xi = 0,$$

(52)

$$\Theta'' + \frac{3}{5} (f \Theta' + f \Theta') + \text{Nb} (\Theta' \phi' + \Theta' \Phi') + 2 \text{Nt} \theta \Theta' - (f' \Theta - \Theta' F) = 0,$$

(53)

$$\Phi'' + \frac{3}{5} \text{Le} (f \phi' + f \Phi') + \frac{\text{Nt}}{\text{Nb}} \Theta'' - \text{Le} (f' \Phi - \phi' F) = 0,$$

(54)

$$\Xi'' - \text{Pe} \left[ \Xi' \phi' + \chi' \Phi' + \Xi \phi'' + \chi \Phi'' \right] + \frac{3}{5} \text{Lb} (f \chi' + f \Xi') - \text{Lb} (f' \Xi - \chi' F).$$

(55)

The additional boundary conditions are:
Equations (52)-(55) with boundary conditions (56) represent a local non-similarity model. This model with Eqns. (47)-(51) with boundary conditions (36) can be solved numerically using the Runge-Kutta-Fehlberg method of seventh order (RKF7) coupled with a shooting method. In RKF7 method, thirteen evaluations are allowed for each step. For lower accuracy, this method provides the most efficient results. A step size $\Delta \eta = 0.001$ and a convergence criterion of $10^{-6}$ were used in the numerical computations. The far field boundary conditions in (36) were replaced by using a finite value of 10 for the similarity variable $\eta_{max}$ as follows.

$$f' (\xi, 10) = \theta (\xi, 10) = \phi (\xi, 10) = h (\xi, 10) = 0, \chi (\xi, 10) = 0$$ (57)

The choice of $\eta_{max} = 10$ ensures that all numerical solutions approached the asymptotic values correctly.

5. Results and Discussion

Free convection of water-based nanofluids containing gyrotactic (torque-driven) microorganisms along a horizontal wavy surface with zero nanoparticle flux is investigated in this study. Both local similarity and non-similarity models along with boundary conditions are solved using the Runge-Kutta-Fehlberg method of seventh order (RKF7) coupled with a shooting method for both smooth and wavy surfaces. The computations are also performed when the sheet is stationary and when it is moving with uniform velocity. Mention here that for the case of stationary sheet ($\lambda = 0$) and in the case of no slip boundary conditions ($a = b = 0$), our problem reduces to that of Pradhan et al. [31] when $\xi = 0$ in the general mathematical developed in this article. Table 1 presents the comparison of local similarity and non-similarity solutions for the values of reduced skin friction and local Nusselt numbers for the flow of nanofluids over smooth horizontal surface. These values are obtained for the slip flow of nanofluids over smooth horizontal stationary and moving surfaces. It is noticed that the local similarity solution over-estimates both skin friction and local Nusselt numbers in each case. Also, a moving surface reduces the skin friction and enhances the local Nusselt numbers in each case. The comparison of reduced skin friction and Nusselt numbers with existing data is presented in Table 2 for nanofluids and regular fluids over smooth surface ($\alpha_0 = 0$) in the absence of velocity and thermal slip effects ($a = b = 0$). The computations are presented for both active and passive mass flux boundary conditions. The comparison is found to be in good agreement for the active boundary condition in both tables. However, for the passive mass flux boundary condition, the Nusselt
numbers are higher and skin friction is lower. This is due to the fact that the passive boundary condition makes the model physically more realistic than active boundary condition. It is also noticed that the reduced skin friction and Nusselt numbers increase with an increase in Prandtl number. This is attributable to the fact that the fluids with higher Prandtl number are more viscous and have relatively low thermal conductivity which increases velocity boundary layer thickness and reduces the thermal boundary layer thickness. Consequently, the shear stress and the heat transfer rate increase with Prandtl number.

Table 3 shows the computations of reduced skin friction and Nusselt numbers for both smooth and wavy surfaces and stationary and moving with uniform velocity. Both regular and water-based nanofluids are utilized in the computations. It is noticed that a moving surface (smooth or wavy) achieves higher Nusselt numbers and offers lower frictional resistance whereas a wavy surface offers both the highest frictional resistance and attains the highest Nusselt numbers. In each case, the buoyancy parameter reduces skin friction and Nusselt numbers whereas bioconvection Rayleigh number increases both skin friction and Nusselt numbers. However, Brownian motion has no significant effects on skin friction and Nusselt numbers. This is due to passive mass flux boundary condition in which both Brownian motion and thermophoresis parameters are present. Thermophoresis parameter increases skin friction and reduces Nusselt numbers.

The variation of the dimensionless velocity at the surface with wave amplitude is shown in Fig. 2(a) for a stationary plate and in Fig. 2(b) for a moving plate in the streamwise direction. It is noticed that for a smooth surface ($\alpha_0 = 0$), the dimensionless surface velocity remains constant in both cases. However, this velocity is found to be higher when the plate is moving. For a wavy surface ($\alpha_0 \neq 0$), the dimensionless velocity fluctuates between maximum and minimum values and increases with the amplitude of the wavy surface. These fluctuations increase with increasing amplitudes in the streamwise direction. This is due to the fact that the flow accelerates along the rising portions of the surface, where the slope is positive, and decelerates along the portion of the surface, where the slope is negative. The variation of the dimensionless axial pressure distribution inside the boundary layer is presented in Fig. 3(a) for a stationary surface and in Fig. 3(b) for a moving surface. The flow is driven entirely by a pressure difference as there is no component of buoyancy force parallel to the horizontal surface. This is due to the normal component of buoyancy and as a result the dimensionless pressure distribution is negative. Consequently, the motion of the surface does not exert any tangible influence on the boundary layer thickness. However, the motion of the surface
lowers the dimensionless pressure at the surface (Fig. 3b). It is important to note that the dimensionless pressure at the surface is higher and it increases with an increase in Prandtl number in both cases. In the absence of thermal slip, the surface becomes isothermal which increases the dimensionless pressure at the surface.

The effects of multiple slip (hydrodynamic and thermal) on the dimensionless temperature are depicted in Fig. 4(a) for a stationary surface and in Fig. 4(b) for a moving surface. It can be seen that the dimensionless surface temperature decreases with both velocity and thermal slips in both cases. It is well known that the velocity slip reduces the velocity at the surface whereas thermal slip reduces the dimensionless temperature at the surface for water-based nanofluids. The effects of momentum slip on the rescaled nanoparticle volume fraction are shown in Fig. 5(a) and 5(b) at a selected location for different values of Lewis number. It was assumed that the mass flux is zero at the surface. It is evident that the rescaled nanoparticle volume fraction increases with an increase in Lewis number, and as a result the rescaled nanoparticle volume fraction boundary layer thickness decreases. The velocity slip also helps in enhancing rescaled nanoparticle volume fraction within the boundary layer in both cases.

Figures 6(a) and 6(b) illustrate the variation of the rescaled density of motile microorganisms with bioconvection parameters for both stationary and moving surfaces. Unlike other boundary layers, the boundary layer for the rescaled density of motile microorganisms is found to be much thinner. In fact, bioconvection Péclet number is the ratio of the characteristic velocity due to gyrotactic swimming to a characteristic velocity due to random diffusive swimming. Since the microorganisms are heavier than water, their up-swimming creates unstable density stratification and an increase in $Rb$ makes the system less stable. The bioconvection Lewis number helps in decreasing the microorganism concentration layer thickness. Physically this is because the bioconvection Lewis number rises, the viscous diffusion rate enhances which in turn decreases the dimensionless velocity and consequently decreases the density of the microorganisms.

The effects of scaled amplitude of the wavy surface, $\alpha_0$, on the reduced skin friction are discussed in Figs. 7(a) and 7(b) for stationary and moving surfaces respectively. The effects of velocity and thermal slips are included in the computations. For a smooth surface, $\alpha_0 = 0$, the shear stress remains constant in both cases. As the amplitude of the wavy surface increases, the maximum shear stress increases. It is noted that the fluctuations in the reduced skin friction increase with the amplitude of
the wavy surface. The comparison of Figs. 7(a) and 7(b) reveals that the reduced skin friction is reduced when the surface is moving. The phase angle $\omega$ shows the shifting of waveform from the reference point $\xi = 0$. The maximum skin friction increases with an increase in the phase angle. The effects of multiple slips on the reduced skin friction are depicted in Figs. 8(a) and 8(b) for two different base fluids when the plate is stationary or moving. It is noticed that both velocity and thermal slips tend to reduce the skin friction in each case. It is important to note that an increase in Prandtl number enhances skin friction. This is due to the fact that Prandtl number depends upon the momentum diffusivity or kinematic viscosity of the fluid. An increase in the kinematic viscosity helps in increasing Prandtl number and consequently the skin friction increases.

In the presence of velocity and thermal slips, the reduced Nusselt numbers of water-based nanofluids are presented in Figs. 9(a) and 9(b) for stationary and moving surfaces respectively. The effects of scaled amplitude of the wavy surface, $\alpha_0$, on the reduced Nusselt numbers are shown for both smooth and wavy surfaces. For a smooth surface, the reduced Nusselt numbers remain constant whereas they increase for a wavy surface. The fluctuations in the reduced Nusselt number also increase with the amplitude of the wavy surface. It is important to note that the reduced Nusselt numbers are raised when the surface is moving. The variation of reduced Nusselt number with multiple slips is shown in Figs. 10(a) and 10(b) for two different base fluids over a wavy surface. It is noticed that velocity slip and Prandtl number help in enhancing reduced Nusselt numbers while thermal slip tends to reduce the reduced Nusselt numbers in both cases. It is due to the fact that velocity slip enhances the dimensionless velocity both for the isothermal ($b=0$) and non-isothermal ($b \neq 0$) plate. Also, due to the motion of the surface, reduced Nusselt numbers are found to be higher for the moving surface.

Figures 11(a) and 11(b) illustrate the variation of the rescaled density number of motile microorganisms with velocity slip and bioconvection parameters for both stationary and moving surfaces. This variation is shown for a nanofluid over a wavy surface at $\xi = 0.5$. In fact, bioconvection Péclet number helps in increasing the speed of the microorganisms and as a result the density number of the microorganism increases near the surface. Increasing bioconvection Lewis number assists in decreasing the microorganism concentration boundary layer thickness. This trend is induced since as the bioconvection Lewis number increases, the viscous diffusion rate increases which in turn reduces the dimensionless velocity at the surface and consequently increases the density number of the microorganisms.
6. Conclusions

A mathematical model has been developed for investigating the effect of zero mass flux boundary condition on the free convective slip flow of a water-based nanofluid with suspended gyrotactic micro-organisms along a wavy horizontal surface. The governing partial differential equations have been rendered into a set of nonlinear coupled, ordinary differential equations using suitable transformations and the resulting well-posed boundary value problem has been solved numerically using the Runge-Kutta-Fehlberg method of seventh order (RKF7) coupled with a shooting method. Local similarity and non-similarity solutions have been obtained and compared for both smooth and wavy surfaces. Numerical solutions generated have also been compared with those obtained for active mass flux boundary condition and for stationary and moving surfaces. From the present study, the main conclusions may be summarized as follows:

- A zero-mass flux boundary condition reduces the skin friction and enhances local Nusselt numbers.
- A moving surface reduces skin friction but conversely enhances local Nusselt numbers.
- A wavy surface offers higher skin friction and enhances local Nusselt numbers.
- The reduced density number of motile micro-organisms increases with bioconvection parameters and velocity slip.
- The dimensionless temperature decreases with an increase in thermal and velocity slip.
- The fluctuations in the skin friction increase with an increase in phase shift and wave amplitude along streamwise direction.

The present work has shown that nanofluids combined with bioconvection and hydrophobic slip provide a significant improvement in heat transfer rates of interest in nano-bio-fuel cell design systems.

Notation

Symbol Used

- \( b \) chemotaxis constant [m]
- \( c \) nanoparticle volume fraction [-]
- \( c_w \) wall nanoparticle volume fraction [-]
- \( c_x \) ambient nanoparticle volume fraction [-]
- \( D_B \) Brownian diffusion coefficient [\( m^2 s^{-1} \)]
- \( D_n \) diffusivity of microorganisms [\( m^2 s^{-1} \)]
- \( D_T \) thermophoretic diffusion coefficient [\( m^2 s^{-1} \)]
\( f(\eta) \) dimensionless stream function [-]
\( g \) acceleration due to gravity [ms\(^{-2}\)]
\( \mathbf{j} \) vector flux of microorganism [kgm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\)]
\( k \) thermal conductivity [m\(^2\) s\(^{-1}\)]
\( L \) characteristic length [m]
\( Lb \) bioconvection Lewis number [-]
\( Le \) Lewis number [-]
\( m \) power law index [-]
\( n_a \) ambient density of motile microorganisms [-]
\( n_w \) density of motile microorganisms at surface [-]
\( \bar{n}_a \) volume fraction of motile microorganisms [-]
\( Nb \) Brownian motion parameter [-]
\( Nn_x \) local density number of the motile microorganisms [-]
\( Nr \) buoyancy ratio [-]
\( Nt \) thermophoresis parameter [-]
\( Nu_x \) local Nusselt number [-]
\( Pe \) bioconvection Péclet number [-]
\( Pr \) Prandtl number [-]
\( p \) pressure [Pa]
\( Ra \) Rayleigh number [-]
\( Rb \) bioconvection Rayleigh number [-]
\( T \) nanofluid temperature [K]
\( T_w \) wall temperature [K]
\( T_\infty \) ambient temperature [K]
\( \bar{u}, \bar{v} \) velocity components along \( \bar{x} \) – and \( \bar{y} \) – axes [ms\(^{-1}\)]
\( \bar{u}, \bar{v} \) average directional swimming velocity of microorganisms along axes [ms\(^{-1}\)]
\( W_c \) constant maximum cell swimming speed [ms\(^{-1}\)]
\( \bar{x}, \bar{y} \) coordinates along and normal to the plate [m]

**Greek symbols**
\( \alpha \) amplitude [m]
\( \alpha \)  \text{thermal diffusivity of fluid \([m^2s^{-1}]\)}

\( \beta \)  \text{coefficient of thermal expansion \([K]\)}

\( \phi(\eta) \)  \text{rescaled nanoparticle volume fraction \([-\]}\)

\( \eta \)  \text{similarity variable \([-\]}\)

\( \gamma \)  \text{average volume of a microorganism \([m^3]\)}

\( \nu \)  \text{kinematic viscosity of the fluid \([m^2s^{-1}]\)}

\( \omega \)  \text{phase shift \([-\]}\)

\( \rho_f \)  \text{fluid density \([kg\ m^{-3}]\)}

\( \rho_p \)  \text{nanoparticle mass density \([kg\ m^{-3}]\)}

\( (\rho c)_f \)  \text{heat capacity of the fluid \([J\ kg^{-3}\ K^{-1}]\)}

\( (\rho c)_p \)  \text{heat capacity of the nanoparticle material \([J\ kg^{-3}\ K^{-1}]\)}

\( \tau \)  \text{ratio between the effective heat capacity of the nanoparticle material and heat capacity of the fluid \([-\]}\)

\( \sigma(\eta) \)  \text{rescaled density of motile microorganisms \([-\]}\)

\( \psi \)  \text{stream function \([-\]}\)

\( \theta(\eta) \)  \text{dimensionless temperature \([-\]}\)

\( \xi \)  \text{streamwise coordinate \([-\]}\)

**Subscripts/superscripts**

\( n_p \)  \text{nanoparticles}

\( f \)  \text{fluid}

\( w \)  \text{wall}

\( \infty \)  \text{ambient condition}

\( ' \)  \text{differentiation with respect to } \eta
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Table 1: Comparison of similar and non-similar solutions for reduced skin friction and Nusselt numbers of nanofluids along smooth horizontal surface when $Lb = Pe = Le = 10, \alpha_0 = \xi = \omega = 0$ and $Pr = 7, a = b = 0.5$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nr</th>
<th>Local similar solution 1st truncation</th>
<th>Local non-similar solution 2nd truncation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\lambda = 0$ (stationary surface)</td>
<td>$\lambda = 1$ (moving surface)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$Cf_r$</td>
<td>$Nu_r$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.6117</td>
<td>0.3782</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.6114</td>
<td>0.3780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.6106</td>
<td>0.3778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.6098</td>
<td>0.3775</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb</td>
<td>$Nr = 0.3, Nt = 0.1, Rb = 0$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.6074</td>
<td>0.3697</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.6152</td>
<td>0.3724</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.6167</td>
<td>0.3730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nt</td>
<td>$Nr = 0.5, Nb = 0.5, Rb = 0$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.6047</td>
<td>0.3825</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.6106</td>
<td>0.3778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.6167</td>
<td>0.3730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.6360</td>
<td>0.3585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rb</td>
<td>$Nr = Nb = Nt = 0.5$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.6564</td>
<td>0.3612</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.6950</td>
<td>0.3662</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.7309</td>
<td>0.3707</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2: Comparison of reduced skin friction and Nusselt numbers when \( Pr = 7, Le = 10, \alpha_o = 0, \) and \( \xi = 0, \lambda = a = b = 0 \) for both active and passive controls of solid volume fraction of nanoparticles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( Nr )</th>
<th>Pradhan et al. [31]</th>
<th>Present solution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Active control</td>
<td>Active control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( Cf_r )</td>
<td>( Nu_r )</td>
<td>( Cf_r )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.95374</td>
<td>0.32878</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.93956</td>
<td>0.32784</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.91042</td>
<td>0.32591</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.88058</td>
<td>0.32391</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( Nb )</td>
<td>( 0.3, 0.1 )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.86002</td>
<td>0.35744</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.90520</td>
<td>0.31141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.92979</td>
<td>0.26799</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( Nr )</td>
<td>( 0.2, 0.3 )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.91340</td>
<td>0.34076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.92507</td>
<td>0.32688</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.93704</td>
<td>0.31362</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.97398</td>
<td>0.27797</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( Pr )</td>
<td>( Nb = Nr = 10^{-5} )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.3114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.3816</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.4224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.4313</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3: Computations of reduced skin friction and Nusselt numbers for different conditions when $Lb = Pe = Le = 10, a = b = 0.5$ (Passive boundary condition).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$Nr$</th>
<th>Smooth surface ($\alpha_0 = 0$)</th>
<th>Wavy surface ($\alpha_0 = \xi = \omega = 0.5$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stationary surface ($\lambda = 0$)</td>
<td>Moving surface ($\lambda = 1$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$Cf_r$</td>
<td>$Nu_r$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb 0.3, $Nr = 0.1, Pr = 7, Le = 10$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.66330</td>
<td>0.38479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.66292</td>
<td>0.38467</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.66216</td>
<td>0.38441</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.66140</td>
<td>0.38415</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$Nb$</th>
<th>$Nr = 0.4, Pr = 7, Le = 10$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.81653</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.68775</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.67087</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$Nt$</th>
<th>$Nb = 0.3, Pr = 7, Le = 10$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.63884</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.66146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.68926</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.79523</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$Le$</th>
<th>$Pr = 7, Nr = Nb = Nt = 0.5$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.73011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.73010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.71165</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$Pr$</th>
<th>$Le = 10, Nr = Nb = Nt = 10^5$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.68253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.69970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.71909</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.72804</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**FIGURES**

Fig. 1: Biological flow diagram of wavy surface (microbial fuel cell wall)

\[ \bar{y}, \bar{v} \]

Nanoparticles microscopic view

Macroscopic view of gyrotactics microorganisms

\[ y = \alpha \sin(\pi x/L - \omega) \]

\[ \bar{u} = \lambda \bar{u}_w + \bar{u}_{slip}, \quad \bar{v} = 0, \quad T = T_w + T_{slip}, \quad n = n_w \]

Fig. 2: Variation of dimensionless velocity with wave amplitude along streamwise direction when (a) plate is stationary and (b) plate is moving.
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Fig. 3: Variation of dimensionless axial pressure distribution with thermal slip and Prandtl number when (a) plate is stationary and (b) plate is moving.

Fig. 4: Variation of dimensionless temperature with thermal and velocity slip when (a) plate is stationary and (b) plate is moving.
**Fig. 5:** Variation of dimensionless rescaled nanoparticle volume fraction with Lewis number and velocity slip when (a) plate is stationary and (b) plate is moving.

**Fig. 6:** Variation of rescaled density of motile microorganisms with bioconvection parameters when (a) plate is stationary and (b) plate is moving.
Fig. 7: Variation of skin friction with phase shift and wave amplitude along streamwise direction when (a) plate is stationary and (b) plate is moving.

Fig. 8: Variation of reduced skin friction with velocity and thermal slips for two different base fluids when (a) plate is stationary and (b) plate is moving.
Fig. 9: Variation of reduced Nusselt number with phase shift and wave amplitude along streamwise direction when (a) plate is stationary and (b) plate is moving.

Fig. 10: Variation of reduced Nusselt numbers with velocity and thermal slips for two different base fluids when (a) plate is stationary and (b) plate is moving.
Fig. 11: Variation of reduced density number of motile microorganisms with bioconvection parameters and velocity slip when (a) plate is stationary and (b) plate is moving.