



University of  
**Salford**  
MANCHESTER

# A candidate tolerance gene identified in a natural population of field voles (*Microtus agrestis*)

Wanelik, KM, Begon, M, Birtles, RJ, Bradley, JE, Friberg, IM, Jackson, JA, Taylor, CH, Thomason, AG, Turner, AK and Paterson, S

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/mec.14476>

<b>Title</b>	A candidate tolerance gene identified in a natural population of field voles ( <i>Microtus agrestis</i> )
<b>Authors</b>	Wanelik, KM, Begon, M, Birtles, RJ, Bradley, JE, Friberg, IM, Jackson, JA, Taylor, CH, Thomason, AG, Turner, AK and Paterson, S
<b>Type</b>	Article
<b>URL</b>	This version is available at: <a href="http://usir.salford.ac.uk/id/eprint/44525/">http://usir.salford.ac.uk/id/eprint/44525/</a>
<b>Published Date</b>	2017

USIR is a digital collection of the research output of the University of Salford. Where copyright permits, full text material held in the repository is made freely available online and can be read, downloaded and copied for non-commercial private study or research purposes. Please check the manuscript for any further copyright restrictions.

For more information, including our policy and submission procedure, please contact the Repository Team at: [usir@salford.ac.uk](mailto:usir@salford.ac.uk).

1 **A candidate tolerance gene identified in a natural population of field voles**

2 (*Microtus agrestis*)

3

4 Klara M. Wanelik<sup>1\*</sup>, Mike Begon<sup>1</sup>, Richard J. Birtles<sup>2</sup>, Janette E. Bradley<sup>3</sup>, Ida

5 M. Friberg<sup>2</sup>, Joseph A. Jackson<sup>2</sup>, Christopher H. Taylor<sup>3</sup>, Anna G. Thomason<sup>2</sup>,

6 Andrew K. Turner<sup>1</sup>, Steve Paterson<sup>1</sup>

7

8 <sup>1</sup> Institute of Integrative Biology, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, United Kingdom

9 <sup>2</sup> School of Environment and Life Sciences, University of Salford, Salford, United Kingdom

10 <sup>3</sup> School of Life Sciences, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, United Kingdom

11

12 \* Corresponding author

13 Email: [kwanelik@liverpool.ac.uk](mailto:kwanelik@liverpool.ac.uk)

14

15 **Keywords**

16 Disease ecology; eco-immunology; immune strategy; Gata3; Fcer1a

17

18 **Running title**

19 A candidate tolerance gene in a wild rodent

20

21

22 **Abstract**

23

24 The animal immune response has hitherto been viewed primarily in the context of resistance  
25 only. However, individuals, can also employ a tolerance strategy to maintain good health in  
26 the face of on-going infection. To shed light on the genetic and physiological basis of  
27 tolerance, we use a natural population of field voles, *Microtus agrestis*, to search for an  
28 association between the expression of the transcription factor Gata3, previously identified as a  
29 marker of tolerance in this system, and polymorphism in 84 immune and non-immune genes.  
30 Our results show clear evidence for an association between Gata3 expression and  
31 polymorphism in the Fcεr1a gene, with the explanatory power of this polymorphism being  
32 comparable to that of other non-genetic variables previously identified as important predictors  
33 of Gata3 expression. We also uncover the possible mechanism behind this association using  
34 an existing protein-protein interaction network for the mouse model rodent, *Mus musculus*,  
35 which we validate using our own expression network for *M. agrestis*. Our results suggest that  
36 the polymorphism in question may be working at the transcriptional level, leading to changes  
37 in the expression of the Th2-related genes, Tyrosine-protein kinase BTK and Tyrosine-protein  
38 kinase TXK, and hence potentially altering the strength of the Th2 response, of which Gata3  
39 is a mediator. We believe our work has implications for both treatment and control of  
40 infectious disease.

41

42

43

44

45

## 46 **Introduction**

47

48 Tolerance, like resistance, is an active response to infection involving the activation of  
49 molecular and physiological mechanisms. Unlike resistance though, rather than preventing or  
50 clearing an infection, a tolerance response minimises the disease pathology caused by  
51 infection (Caldwell, Schafer, Compton, & Patterson, 1958; Schafer, 1971). This strategy may  
52 be favoured where infection is a daily occurrence, or infection is persistent (Restif & Koella,  
53 2004). In these cases, the costs of constantly mounting an immune response in terms of  
54 damage to host tissue (immunopathology) may be worse than those of infection itself  
55 (Medzhitov, Schneider, & Soares, 2012). A resistant strategy, on the other hand, might be  
56 associated with acute exposure (Restif & Koella, 2004), where the costs of infection outweigh  
57 those of mounting an immune response. Tolerance of infection is now attracting considerable  
58 interest in the immunological and ecological literature (Medzhitov et al., 2012; Råberg,  
59 Graham, & Read, 2009) and provides a new perspective to help understand how the immune  
60 response in animals functions following infection, which has hitherto been viewed primarily  
61 in the context of resistance only.

62

63 Individuals in apparently similar circumstances differ in their responses to infection, and  
64 some are worse than others at either resisting or tolerating infection (Arriero et al., 2017;  
65 Buehler, Piersma, Matson, & Tieleman, 2008; Klueen, Siitari, & Brommer, 2013). Beyond  
66 recognising that such variation exists in natural populations, though, we understand little of  
67 the genetic and physiological basis of this variation but this is a key step towards predicting  
68 which individuals are most vulnerable to infectious disease (Råberg, 2014). Genetic variation  
69 for tolerance has been previously demonstrated in inbred strains of lab mice (Raberg, Sim, &

70 Read, 2007) and, to a more limited extent, in natural systems (Regoes et al., 2014). However,  
71 knowledge of specific genes controlling tolerance, and hence potentially driving this heritable  
72 variation in strategy in the wild, is lacking. Candidate genes include those involved in limiting  
73 immunopathology and/or regulation of the immune response (Medzhitov et al., 2012; Råberg  
74 et al., 2009). In the laboratory, a genetic locus on mouse chromosome 11 (*Ctrq3*) has been  
75 shown to influence tolerance to *Chlamydia psittaci* infection, with circumstantial evidence for  
76 candidate genes belonging to the family of immunity-related GTPases (Miyairi et al., 2012).  
77 Another study has also identified a signalling protease required for melanisation in  
78 *Drosophila melanogaster* (CG3066) as being of importance (Ayres & Schneider, 2008).  
79 Finally, in humans, an association between HLA-B genotype and degree of tolerance to HIV  
80 has been shown (Regoes et al., 2014).

81  
82 Our own work has previously identified the expression of a particular master transcription  
83 factor, *Gata3*, as a marker of tolerance in mature male field voles, *Microtus agrestis*. This  
84 work showed that macroparasite infection in these mature voles gave rise to elevated levels of  
85 *Gata3* expression, which in turn gave rise to improved body condition and enhanced survival  
86 (Jackson et al., 2014). This fits with the known role of *Gata3* as a mediator of the Th2  
87 response, and the role of the Th2 immune system in tissue repair (Allen & Wynn, 2011).  
88 Furthermore, we have shown consistent differences between individuals in their typical level  
89 of *Gata3* expression, after other measured sources of variation have been taken into account  
90 (Arriero et al., 2017). Together, our results imply consistent difference between individuals in  
91 the strength of their tolerance response.

92

93 Here, we address the contribution of genotype to consistent individual differences in the  
94 expression of Gata3, a marker of tolerance. We use a natural population of wild *M. agrestis* to  
95 search for an association between Gata3 expression and polymorphism in 84 immune and  
96 non-immune genes. We find Gata3 expression associated with polymorphism at the Fcεr1a  
97 gene (which encodes the alpha chain of the high affinity receptor for immunoglobulin epsilon,  
98 IgE), and show that the proportion of variation in Gata3 expression explained by this  
99 polymorphism is comparable to that explained by other environmental and physiological  
100 variables. We also shed light on the possible mechanism behind this association by  
101 constructing a protein-protein interaction network for the mouse model rodent, *Mus musculus*,  
102 which we validate using our own expression network for *M. agrestis*.

103

## 104 **Materials & Methods**

105

### 106 **Field design and animals**

107

108 We studied *M. agrestis* in Kielder Forest, Northumberland (55°13' N, 2°3' W) using live-  
109 trapping to access individual animals from natural populations. Our studies were designed to  
110 permit the analysis of individual variation in host condition and survival, infection status, and  
111 the expression of immune genes (for full details of all methods below see Jackson et al. 2011,  
112 2014). The studies were divided into longitudinal and cross-sectional components.

113

### 114 **Initial survey**

115 We repeated our field design at two spatially separate sites (BLB and SQC) in 2008–2009,  
116 and a further two (SCP and KTH) in 2009–2010. Each site contained a central trapping grid

117 (~0.375 ha) of 150 (10 x 15) regularly spaced traps (3–5 m intervals) which was used in a  
118 capture-recapture study (reported elsewhere). The cross-sectional component reported here  
119 utilised curvilinear transects of 100 live traps arranged at 5–10 m intervals which were placed  
120 around the margins of each habitat.

121

122 Ten voles per month were destructively sampled from the transects between February and  
123 November (2008–2009) or April and November (2009–2010). In November (2008 and 2009)  
124 and March (2009 and 2010), larger numbers of animals were sampled both from the transects  
125 and from the central grid habitats. These samples are used here to carry out a haplotype  
126 association analysis.

127

128 On capture, each animal was examined for ectoparasites (see below). Only results for male *M.*  
129 *agrestis* are reported here given the focus of previous work (Jackson et al., 2014). Males were  
130 classified as either immature (non-mating with undeveloped testes) or mature (mating with  
131 large testes and expanded seminal vesicles). Some biometric data were also collected,  
132 including body weight (g) and snout-vent length (mm). All animal procedures carried out as  
133 part of this initial survey were performed with approval from the University of Liverpool  
134 Animal Welfare Committee and under a UK Home Office license (PPL 40/3235 to MB).

135

### 136 ***Parasite assays***

137 On capture, ectoparasite infections were recorded, as direct counts of ticks (*Ixodes* spp.) and  
138 small flea species (*Ctenophthalmus nobilis*, *Peromyscopsylla spectabilis*, *Megabothris*  
139 *walkeri*, *Malaraeus penicilliger*, *Rhadinopsylla pentacantha*). Captured animals were then  
140 returned to the laboratory where they were killed by an overdose of chloroform followed by

141 exsanguination, and dissection in order to take a more comprehensive set of infection  
142 measurements. This included a direct count of adult cestodes found in the gut  
143 (*Anoplocephaloides dentata* aff., *Paranoplocephala* sp., *Rodentolepis asymmetrica*,  
144 *Arostrilepis horrida*). We collected infection metrics for these macroparasites because they  
145 are the most common species that would be expected to be in strong contact with the host  
146 immune system (Jackson et al., 2014).

147

### 148 **Follow-up survey**

149 In 2015, we collected samples at four sites (GRD, CHE, SCP, COL). Similarly to the initial  
150 survey, each site contained a trapping grid of 150-197 regularly spaced traps (at approx. 5 m  
151 intervals) but this was used both for cross-sectional and longitudinal components (not  
152 reported here). Sixty-four voles were also destructively sampled from the grids between July  
153 and October 2015 to assay expression by RNA-seq. Both females and males were included in  
154 order to maximise sample size. In this study, voles were killed by a rising concentration of  
155 CO<sub>2</sub>, followed by exsanguination. These samples were shown to be comparable in terms of  
156 weight, age and sex to the population sampled in the initial survey (Table S1) and are used  
157 here to construct an expression network for *M. agrestis*. All animal procedures carried out as  
158 part of this survey were performed with approval from the University of Liverpool Animal  
159 Welfare Committee and under a UK Home Office license (PPL ??? to SP).

160

### 161 **Haplotype association study**

162

### 163 **Immunological assays**



164 We used two-step reverse transcription quantitative real-time PCR (Q-PCR) to measure  
165 messenger RNA (mRNA) accumulation of Gata binding protein 3 (Gata3; a transcription  
166 factor associated with the Th2 response) from splenocyte cultures stimulated with mitogen  
167 phytohaemagglutinin (PHA). Gata3 has previously been identified as a marker of tolerance in  
168 mature male voles (Jackson et al., 2014). PHA preferentially activates and stimulates  
169 proliferation of CD4+ helper T-cells in vitro (O'Donovan, Johns, & Wilcox, 1995). Here, we  
170 use that observed expression profile as a measure of the potential responsiveness of the  
171 immune system in vivo.

172

### 173 **SNP identification and genotyping**

174 We identified 288 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in 85 immune-related genes and  
175 25 non-immune genes. Immune genes included cytokine genes and other genes known to be  
176 involved in pathogen resistance. The Immunome database version 1.1.  
177 (<http://structure.bmc.lu.se/idbase/Immunome/index.php>), a manually curated database  
178 containing information on 893 genes considered essential to the human immune system, was  
179 used a starting point for identifying a list of candidate immune genes (Ortutay & Vihinen,  
180 2006). First, we excluded all those genes in this database with no known orthologue in house  
181 mice. We then applied a heuristic approach to ensure that those genes which were most likely  
182 to be of interest given our previous work (e.g. Jackson *et al.* 2014) were represented in our  
183 list, and excluded those genes with no known polymorphisms in *M. agrestis*. We also chose a  
184 set of non-immune genes to act as a control for spurious associations, caused, for example, by  
185 demographic effects. This set was composed solely of metabolic genes, as these are far less  
186 likely to be involved in host-pathogen interactions (see Table S2 for full list of immune and  
187 non-immune genes identified).

188

189 DNA was extracted from the livers of voles that had been destructively sampled as part of the  
190 cross-sectional study and for which Gata3 expression levels were available ( $n = 221$ ) using  
191 DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen). Genotyping was then performed by KBiosciences  
192 (Hoddesdon, UK; <http://www.kbioscience.co.uk>) using the KASPar SNP genotyping system.  
193 This included negative controls (water) and duplicate samples to validate reproducibility.

194

### 195 **Statistical analyses**

196 All analyses were carried out in R statistical software version 3.4.0 (R Core Team, 2016). The  
197 SNP genotyping data were checked in a number of ways. We used the SNPassoc package  
198 (González, Armengol, Guinó, Solé, & Moreno, 2014) to test for deviations from Hardy-  
199 Weinberg equilibrium using exact tests. Because of the large number of exact tests performed,  
200 the Benjamini and Hochberg method of correction was applied to the resulting  $p$ -values  
201 (Benjamini & Hochberg, 1995). The degree of linkage disequilibrium (LD) between SNPs  
202 was analysed using the genetics package (Warnes, Gorjanc, Leisch, & Man, 2013). Pairwise  
203 scaled LD estimates ( $D'$ ) were computed for each pair of SNPs to test (a) whether SNPs  
204 within the same gene demonstrate high LD and therefore are more appropriately used to  
205 identify phenotypic associations in combination, and (b) whether SNPs within different genes  
206 demonstrate low LD, indicative of the independence of genetic loci.

207

208 The SNP genotyping data were (a) converted into haplotype data for each gene and (b) tested  
209 for associations with mitogen-stimulated Gata3 expression while controlling for other known  
210 covariates, using the hapassoc package (Burkett, Graham, & McNeney, 2006; Burkett,  
211 McNeney, & Graham, 2004). This software allows likelihood inference of trait associations

212 with SNP haplotypes and other attributes, adopts a generalized linear model framework and  
213 estimates parameters using an expectation-maximization algorithm. If the haplotype  
214 combination of an individual cannot be inferred from its genotyping data (a) because it is  
215 heterozygous at two or more markers or (b) because it has missing data for a single marker,  
216 the approach implemented in hapassoc is to consider all possible haplotype combinations for  
217 that individual. Standard errors accounting for this added uncertainty are calculated using the  
218 Louis' method (Louis, 1982).

219

220 We assumed an additive genetic model, where Gata3 expression is linearly related to the  
221 number of copies of a haplotype present and we pooled together all those haplotypes with  
222 frequencies below 5%. Gata3 expression values were Box-Cox transformed to achieve  
223 approximately normal residuals. Other non-genetic covariates included in this model were site  
224 (BLB, SQC, SCP & KTH), maturity (either immature or mature male), residual weight  
225 (adjusted for body size) and the first principal component from a PCA summarising the  
226 macroparasites measured. This component explained 47% of the variation in macroparasite  
227 burden and showed high positive loadings for all three macroparasite groups (ticks: 0.56,  
228 fleas: 0.57 and adult cestodes: 0.60). Grouping of ectoparasites and endoparasites in this way  
229 is in line with previous work that shows that both ectoparasites (V. D. Boppana, Thangamani,  
230 Alarcon-Chaidez, Adler, & Wikel, 2009; V. Boppana, Thangamani, AJ, & Wikel, 2009) and  
231 endoparasites (Anthony et al., 2007; Harris & Gause, 2011) stimulate the Th2 response,  
232 which has been suggested to act “as an adaptive tissue repair mechanism that quickly heals  
233 the wounds they inflict” (Allen & Wynn, 2011). These variables have previously been  
234 identified as important predictors of Gata3 expression (Jackson et al., 2011, 2014). All non-

235 genetic covariates were tested for independence (Pearson correlation coefficients = -0.2 -  
236 0.35).

237

238 As required by the hapassoc package, we excluded all genes with a single SNP and all  
239 monomorphic SNPs (see Table S2 for these), resulting in a total of 238 SNPs in 62 immune-  
240 related genes and 22 non-immune genes being included in the analysis (see Table S3 for final  
241 list of immune and non-immune genes). We also excluded those subjects for which more than  
242 one single-locus genotype had missing data. Because of the large number of association tests  
243 performed, the Benjamini and Hochberg method of correction was applied to all  $p$ -values,  
244 with the false discovery rate set to 0.1 (Benjamini & Hochberg, 1995). Resulting  $q$ -values  
245 (FDR-corrected  $p$ -values) were checked for a uniform distribution.

246

247 We were unable to include any random variables or interaction terms in the initial trait  
248 association analysis, as the hapassoc package does not have his capability. So, following this  
249 analysis, a linear mixed effects model (LMM) for Gata3 expression was constructed to  
250 confirm these results. This included season [four levels, designated as: spring (March to  
251 May), summer (June to August), autumn (September to November) and winter (December to  
252 February)], assay plate number and site as random effects. It also included previously  
253 identified interactions between maturity and macroparasitic load, as well as maturity and  
254 residual weight (Jackson *et al.* 2014). Three haplotypes were identified at this locus: GCC,  
255 ACC and ACT. GCC was found to be the haplotype of interest in relation to Gata3 expression  
256 in the initial trait association analysis (see Results). Genotype was therefore coded as a the  
257 number of GCC copies. This was treated as a continuous variable because only five  
258 individuals were found to have two copies of the GCC haplotype, making it difficult to make

259 reliable comparisons between factor levels. Treatment of genotype as a continuous variable  
260 also reduced the number of degrees of freedom by one. Only those individuals whose  
261 combination of haplotypes or ‘haplotype phase’ could be determined with certainty were  
262 included in this analysis, but this was the majority of individuals ( $n = 191$ ; 86%). The  
263 contribution of genotype relative to other predictors in explaining variance in Gata3  
264 expression was assessed by calculating the marginal  $R^2$  using the MuMIn package (Barton,  
265 2016) for (a) the full LMM, and (b) the LMM with each of the fixed effects (as well as any  
266 associated interaction terms) removed individually.

267

## 268 **RNA-Seq study**

269

### 270 **RNA preparation**

271 PHA-stimulated splenocyte cultures from the 64 voles collected in 2015 were used in the  
272 RNA-Seq experiment. RNA was extracted using Invitrogen PureLink kits. cDNA sequencing  
273 libraries were prepared using Illumina RiboZero kits to deplete rRNA followed by library  
274 construction with NEBNext Ultra directional RNA library prep kit according to  
275 manufacturers protocols. Samples were sequenced to produce 2 x 75bp paired-end reads on an  
276 Illumina HiSeq4000 platform. Adaptor sequences were removed using Cutadapt version 1.2.1  
277 and further trimmed with Sickle version 1.200 with a minimum window quality score of 20.  
278 This resulted in a mean library size of 18 million (range = 5 – 50 million) paired-end reads.

279

### 280 **Read mapping**

281 High quality reads were mapped against a draft genome for *M. agrestis* (GenBank Accession  
282 no: LIQJ000000000), using TopHat version 2.1.0 (Trapnell, Pachter, & Salzberg, 2009).

283 BRAKER2 was used to generate a set of predicted gene models using mapped reads to guide  
284 Augustus (Hoff, Lange, Lomsadze, Borodovsky, & Stanke, 2015). Mapped reads were then  
285 counted using featureCounts (Liao, Smyth, & Shi, 2014). Further analysis of gene count data  
286 was performed in R version 3.4.0 (R Core Team, 2016) using the edgeR package (Robinson,  
287 McCarthy, & Smyth, 2010). Count data were filtered to remove those genes with fewer than 3  
288 counts per million across all samples to avoid convergence problems later on. Following  
289 filtering, library sizes were recalculated, data were normalised and MDS plots were generated  
290 to check for any unusual patterns in the data.

291

### 292 **Protein-protein interaction network construction**

293 The STRING database version 10 (Szklarczyk et al., 2015) for *M. musculus* was used to  
294 construct a network of proteins known to interact with either Gata3 or Fcgr1a using the  
295 stringApp in Cytoscape version 3.3.0 (Shannon et al., 2003). The default confidence score  
296 cut-off of 0.4 was used to extract those interactions that were well supported. The application  
297 PesCa version 3.0.8 (Scardoni, Tosadori, Pratap, Spoto, & Laudanna, 2016) was then used to  
298 extract the shortest paths between Fcgr1a and Gata3 from this network.

299

### 300 **Expression network construction**

301 To validate the *M. musculus* network, which included seven genes (including Fcgr1a and  
302 Gata3; see Results), we constructed a network for the same seven genes using the normalised  
303 count data. Spearman rank correlation coefficients were calculated for each combination of  
304 these genes, and associated *p*-values deduced from a null distribution composed of  $2 \times 10^8$   
305 coefficients generated from a randomised version of the dataset. Only statistically significant  
306 correlations ( $p < 0.05$ ) were reported and included in the network. Two paralogous vole genes

307 were found for the mouse gene, Btk, but these were summarised as a single node in the vole  
308 network. This resulted in one pair of duplicated edges between these Btk paralogues and Jun -  
309 the more significant edge is presented in the network.

310

## 311 **Results**

312

313 The majority of SNPs were found to be in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium ( $n = 259$ ; 90%) and  
314 only four genes were found to have all SNPs departing from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium:  
315 Gucy2f, Il13ra1, Tlr13, Tlr7 and Tlr8 (see Table S2 for summary of all loci). High LD was  
316 detected between SNPs within the same genes (mean  $D' = 0.76$ ; 95% CI = 0.72 – 0.81) but  
317 not between SNPs located in different genes (mean  $D' = 0.28$ ; 95% CI = 0.28 – 0.28).

318

### 319 **Gata3 expression is associated with polymorphism in Fcer1a**

320 Of the 84 immune and non-immune genes tested, only polymorphism in the gene Fcer1a was  
321 found to be significantly associated with Gata3 expression ( $q = 0.07$ ; FDR cut-off = 0.1).

322 Three haplotypes were identified at this locus: GCC, ACC and ACT at frequencies of 0.12,  
323 0.76 and 0.07 respectively. The GCC haplotype was associated with lower expression levels  
324 of Gata3 than the ACC and ACT haplotypes ( $p = 0.003$ ; 0.01; Fig. 1). This was confirmed by  
325 the LMM ( $p = 0.002$ ; Table 1). No significant association was found between polymorphism  
326 in the Gata3 gene itself and Gata3 expression ( $q = 1.00$ ).

327

328 **The Fcer1a polymorphism is comparable in explanatory power to non-genetic variables**  
329 **previously identified as important predictors of Gata3 expression**

330 The percentage variance in Gata3 expression explained by the fixed effects in the full model  
331 (or marginal  $R^2$ ), including genotype was 10%. This dropped to about 5% when genotype,  
332 macroparasites or maturity were removed (individually) and to 8% when **maturity ×**  
333 **macroparasites was removed**, indicating that genotype was comparable in explanatory power  
334 to other non-genetic variables previously identified as important predictors of Gata3  
335 expression (Table 2). Furthermore, the greatest increase in AICc (relative to the full model)  
336 was observed when genotype was removed ( $\Delta AICc = 7.7$ ). However, a degree of overlap or  
337 multicollinearity between the variables was evident from these estimates.

338

### 339 **Both Fcer1a and Gata3 are associated with Btk and Txk in the mouse model and vole**

340 The *M. musculus* network included seven nodes (the proteins Fcer1a and Gata3, as well as  
341 Txk, Btk, Jun, Fos and Itk) and 18 edges (Fig. 2a). The *M. agrestis* network included six of  
342 these nodes connected by 10 edges (Fig. 2b). Itk could not be included as it was not annotated  
343 in the vole genome. Nine out of 18 of the edges in the *M. musculus* network were identified,  
344 in addition to a significant edge between Btk and Txk ( $\rho = -0.32$ ;  $p < 0.01$ ). Btk was found to  
345 be significantly correlated with both Fcer1a ( $\rho = 0.26$ ,  $p = 0.02$ ) and Gata3 ( $\rho = -0.41$ ,  $p <$   
346  $0.001$ ), as was Txk (Fcer1a:  $\rho = -0.23$ ,  $p = 0.03$ ; Gata3:  $\rho = 0.43$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ).

347

## 348 **Discussion**

349

350 In this study, we have found an association between polymorphism in the gene Fcer1a and the  
351 expression of the transcription factor Gata3, which has previously been identified as a marker  
352 of tolerance to infection in this system. We have also shown that this polymorphism is



353 comparable in explaining power to other non-genetic variables previously identified as  
354 important predictors of Gata3 expression (Jackson et al., 2014).

355

356 Our results indicate that genotype has the potential to play an important role in driving  
357 consistent individual differences in immune gene expression in the wild (Arriero et al., in  
358 press). This suggests that individuals are, to a significant, detectable degree, hard-wired to  
359 respond in a certain way to challenges from parasites and pathogens. However, little is known  
360 about how natural selection acts on tolerance. Previous studies have found evidence for  
361 tolerance being less costly than resistance (Howick & Lazzaro, 2014). Under this scenario,  
362 one may expect tolerance to evolve more quickly and to have lower levels of genetic  
363 variation than resistance (Råberg, 2014). Indeed, some evidence for positive directional  
364 selection on tolerance already exists (Hayward et al., 2014). However, genetic variation may  
365 also be maintained by temporal shifts in the strengths and directions of selection pressures.  
366 This may lead to low frequencies of individual haplotypes, as observed here.

367

368 Our results also shed light on the potential molecular and physiological mechanisms driving  
369 tolerance in the wild, which hitherto have been neglected. We find no effect of polymorphism  
370 in the Gata3 gene on its own expression, but rather a trans-acting effect of Fcεr1α on Gata3  
371 expression. By starting with an existing mouse PPI network and subsequently validating this  
372 using a novel vole expression dataset, we have also found evidence for a functionally relevant  
373 mechanism for this association. Fcεr1α encodes the alpha chain of the high affinity receptor  
374 for immunoglobulin epsilon (IgE). This receptor is expressed on basophils, mast cells and  
375 eosinophils. When activated by an antigen interacting with Fcεr1-bound IgE these cells  
376 promote a cascade of anti-macroparasitic Th2 responses, of which Gata3 is also a mediator.

377 This is reflected by the fact that, among other proteins, both Gata3 and Fcεr1a are known to  
378 interact with two non-receptor kinases: Tyrosine-protein kinase BTK (Btk) and Tyrosine-  
379 protein kinase TXK (Txk). Btk plays a key role in B cell development, differentiation and  
380 signalling (Maas & Hendriks, 2001), and Txk exerts its effects on Th cell differentiation and  
381 function (Sahu et al., 2008). We were able to validate both of these interactions using our own  
382 expression network for *M. agrestis*. This suggests that the polymorphism in question may be  
383 working at the transcriptional level, leading to changes in the expression of Th2-related genes  
384 and hence potentially altering the strength of the Th2 response.

385

386 We focus here on tolerance, as this is a neglected area of study, but a diversity of immune  
387 strategies have been identified in natural populations (Abolins, Pocock, Hafalla, Riley, &  
388 Viney, 2011; Buehler et al., 2008). In our own study population of voles, we have shown a  
389 link between Gata3 expression and macroparasite resistance in immature male voles (Jackson  
390 et al., 2014), suggestive of an important role for Gata3 not just as a marker of tolerance, but  
391 more generally, of the immune strategy adopted by an individual. Indeed, this is consistent  
392 with previous work in a laboratory setting, which shows that polymorphism at a single locus  
393 can confer both resistance and tolerance (Ayres & Schneider, 2008; Miyairi et al., 2012). In  
394 the context of tolerance though, these results could have important implications for  
395 controlling the spread of disease, as high levels of tolerance can be associated with neutral or  
396 even positive effects on parasite prevalence (Miller, White, & Boots, 2006; Roy & Kirchner,  
397 2000) and tolerant individuals can act as ‘superspreaders’, responsible for a large proportion  
398 of transmission events (Lloyd-Smith *et al.* 2005). In general, the identification of tolerance  
399 genes or haplotypes could facilitate the identification of such high-risk individuals, enabling  
400 more targeted control and helping to prevent the spread of disease in the wild. On the other

401 hand, tolerance is also associated with good health and condition despite infection, which  
402 could act as a potential pathway for the development of new treatments for infectious disease  
403 (Medzhitov et al., 2012; Råberg, 2014). Mapping out the network mediating the effects of a  
404 tolerance gene is a first step towards this. For these reasons, we believe this is an exciting and  
405 rare example of a candidate tolerance gene in a natural population, which we hope to continue  
406 monitoring to shed further light not only on tolerance, but on immune strategy more  
407 generally, in the wild.

408

### 409 **Acknowledgements**

410 The authors wish to thank the many individuals involved in obtaining and processing samples  
411 from the field, Kim Clarke for his technical advice and Deborah Dawson for her help with  
412 setting up the genotyping. They also wish to thank the Forestry Commission for access to the  
413 study sites and the Centre for Genomic Research for sequencing samples. This research was  
414 funded by the Natural Environment Research Council (NERC) award NE/E015131/1 to MB  
415 and NE/L013452/1 to SP.

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425 **References**

- 426
- 427 Abolins, S. R., Pocock, M. J., Hafalla, J. C., Riley, E. M., & Viney, M. E. (2011). Measures  
428 of immune function of wild mice, *Mus musculus*. *Molecular Ecology*, *20*(5), 881–892.  
429 <http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2010.04910.x>
- 430 Allen, J. E., & Wynn, T. A. (2011). Evolution of Th2 Immunity: A Rapid Repair Response to  
431 Tissue Destructive Pathogens. *PLoS Pathogens*, *7*(5), 5–8.  
432 <http://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1002003>
- 433 Anthony, R. M., Rutitzky, L. I., Urban Jr., J. F., Stadecker, M. J., Gause, W. C., Urban, J. F.,  
434 ... Gause, W. C. (2007). Protective immune mechanisms in helminth infection. *Nature*  
435 *Reviews. Immunology*, *7*(12), 975–987. <http://doi.org/10.1038/nri2199>
- 436 Arriero, E., Wanelik, K. M., Birtles, R. J., Bradley, J. E., Jackson, J. A., Paterson, S., &  
437 Begon, M. (2017). From the animal house to the field: Are there consistent individual  
438 differences in immunological profile in wild populations of field voles (*Microtus*  
439 *agrestis*)? *PLoS ONE*, *12*(8), e0183450. <http://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0183450>
- 440 Ayres, J. S., & Schneider, D. S. (2008). A signaling protease required for melanization in  
441 *Drosophila* affects resistance and tolerance of infections. *PLoS Biology*, *6*(12), 2764–  
442 2773. <http://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.0060305>
- 443 Benjamini, Y., & Hochberg, Y. (1995). Controlling the false discovery rate: A practical and  
444 powerful approach to multiple testing. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B*  
445 *(Methodological)*, *57*(1), 289–300.
- 446 Boppana, V. D., Thangamani, S., Alarcon-Chaidez, F. J., Adler, A. J., & Wikel, S. K. (2009).  
447 Blood feeding by the Rocky Mountain spotted fever vector, *Dermacentor andersoni*,  
448 induces interleukin-4 expression by cognate antigen responding CD4+ T cells. *Parasites*  
449 *& Vectors*, *2*, 47. <http://doi.org/10.1186/1756-3305-2-47>
- 450 Boppana, V., Thangamani, S., AJ, A., & Wikel, S. (2009). SAAG-4 is a Novel Mosquito  
451 Salivary Protein that Programs Host CD4+ T Cells to Express IL-4. *Parasite*  
452 *Immunology*, *31*(6), 287–295. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jneumeth.2010.08.011>. Autogenic
- 453 Buehler, D. M., Piersma, T., Matson, K., & Tieleman, B. I. (2008). Seasonal redistribution of  
454 immune function in a migrant shorebird: Annual-cycle effects override adjustments to  
455 thermal regime. *The American Naturalist*, *172*(6), 783–96. <http://doi.org/10.1086/592865>
- 456 Burkett, K., Graham, J., & McNeney, B. (2006). hapassoc: Software for likelihood inference  
457 of trait associations with SNP haplotypes and other attributes. *Journal of Statistical*  
458 *Software*, *16*(2), 1–19.
- 459 Burkett, K., McNeney, B., & Graham, J. (2004). A note on inference of trait associations with  
460 SNP haplotypes and other attributes in generalized linear models. *Human Heredity*, *57*,  
461 200–206. <http://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1159/000081447>
- 462 Caldwell, R., Schafer, J., Compton, L., & Patterson, F. (1958). Tolerance to Cereal Leaf  
463 Rusts. *Science* *128*, *128*, 714–715.
- 464 González, J. R., Armengol, L., Guinó, E., Solé, X., & Moreno, V. (2014). SNPassoc: SNPs-  
465 based whole genome association studies. R package version 1.9-2. Retrieved from  
466 <https://cran.r-project.org/package=SNPassoc>
- 467 Harris, N., & Gause, W. (2011). B cell function in the immune response to helminths. *Trends*  
468 *in Immunology*, *32*(2), 80–88. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.it.2010.11.005>. B
- 469 Hayward, A. D., Nussey, D. H., Wilson, A. J., Berenos, C., Pilkington, J. G., Watt, K. A., ...  
470 Graham, A. L. (2014). Natural selection on individual variation in tolerance of  
471 gastrointestinal nematode infection. *PLoS Biology*, *12*(7), e1001917.  
472 <http://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1001917>

473 Hoff, K. J., Lange, S., Lomsadze, A., Borodovsky, M., & Stanke, M. (2015). BRAKER1:  
474 Unsupervised RNA-Seq-based genome annotation with GeneMark-ET and  
475 AUGUSTUS. *Bioinformatics*, *32*(5), 767–769.  
476 <http://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv661>

477 Howick, V. M., & Lazzaro, B. P. (2014). Genotype and diet shape resistance and tolerance  
478 across distinct phases of bacterial infection. *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, *14*, 56.  
479 <http://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-14-56>

480 Jackson, J. A., Begon, M., Birtles, R., Paterson, S., Friberg, I. M., Hall, A., ... Bradley, J. E.  
481 (2011). The analysis of immunological profiles in wild animals: A case study on  
482 immunodynamics in the field vole, *Microtus agrestis*. *Molecular Ecology*, *20*, 893–909.  
483 <http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2010.04907.x>

484 Jackson, J. A., Hall, A. J., Friberg, I. M., Ralli, C., Lowe, A., Zawadzka, M., ... Begon, M.  
485 (2014). An immunological marker of tolerance to infection in wild rodents. *PLoS*  
486 *Biology*, *12*(7), e1001901. <http://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1001901>

487 Kluen, E., Siitari, H., & Brommer, J. E. (2013). Testing for between individual correlations of  
488 personality and physiological traits in a wild bird. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*,  
489 *68*(2), 205–213. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s00265-013-1635-1>

490 Liao, Y., Smyth, G. K., & Shi, W. (2014). featureCounts: An efficient general purpose  
491 program for assigning sequence reads to genomic features. *Bioinformatics*, *30*(7), 923–  
492 930. <http://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btt656>

493 Lloyd-Smith, J. O., Schreiber, S. J., Kopp, P. E., & Getz, W. M. (2005). Superspreading and  
494 the effect of individual variation on disease emergence. *Nature*, *438*, 355–359.  
495 <http://doi.org/10.1038/nature04153>

496 Louis, T. (1982). Finding the observed information matrix when using the EM Algorithm.  
497 *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society B*, *44*, 226–233.

498 Maas, A., & Hendriks, R. W. (2001). Role of Bruton's tyrosine kinase in B cell development.  
499 *Developmental Immunology*, *8*(3–4), 171–81. <http://doi.org/10.1155/2001/28962>

500 Medzhitov, R., Schneider, D. S., & Soares, M. P. (2012). Disease tolerance as a defense  
501 strategy. *Science*, *335*(6071), 936–941. <http://doi.org/10.1126/science.1214935>

502 Miller, M. R., White, A., & Boots, M. (2006). The Evolution of Parasites in Response To  
503 Tolerance in Their Hosts: the Good, the Bad, and Apparent Commensalism. *Evolution*,  
504 *60*(5), 945–956. <http://doi.org/10.1554/05-654.1>

505 Miyairi, I., Ziebarth, J., Laxton, J. D., Wang, X., van Rooijen, N., Williams, R. W., ... Cui, Y.  
506 (2012). Host genetics and Chlamydia disease: Prediction and validation of disease  
507 severity mechanisms. *PLoS ONE*, *7*(3), e33781.  
508 <http://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0033781>

509 O'Donovan, M. R., Johns, S., & Wilcox, P. (1995). The effect of PHA stimulation on  
510 lymphocyte sub-populations in whole-blood cultures. *Mutagenesis*, *10*(4), 371–374.  
511 <http://doi.org/10.1093/mutage/10.4.371>

512 Ortutay, C., & Vihinen, M. (2006). Immunome: A reference set of genes and proteins for  
513 systems biology of the human immune system. *Cellular Immunology*, *244*(2), 87–89.  
514 <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.cellimm.2007.01.012>

515 Råberg, L. (2014). How to live with the enemy: Understanding tolerance to parasites. *PLoS*  
516 *Biology*, *12*(11), e1001989. <http://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1001989>

517 Råberg, L., Graham, A. L., & Read, A. F. (2009). Decomposing health: Tolerance and  
518 resistance to parasites in animals. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B:*  
519 *Biological Sciences*, *364*(1513), 37–49. <http://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2008.0184>

520 Raberg, L., Sim, D., & Read, A. (2007). Disentangling genetic variation for resistance and

521 tolerance to infectious diseases in animals. *Science*, 318(5851), 812–814.  
522 <http://doi.org/0.1126/science.1148526>

523 R Core Team. (2016). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R  
524 Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL <https://www.R-project.org/>.  
525 Vienna, Austria. Retrieved from <https://www.r-project.org/>

526 Regoes, R. R., McLaren, P. J., Battegay, M., Bernasconi, E., Calmy, A., Günthard, H. F., ...  
527 Fellay, J. (2014). Disentangling human tolerance and resistance against HIV. *PLoS*  
528 *Biology*, 12(9), e1001951. <http://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1001951>

529 Restif, O., & Koella, J. C. (2004). Concurrent Evolution of Resistance and Tolerance to  
530 Pathogens. *The American Naturalist*, 164(4), E90–E102. <http://doi.org/10.1086/423713>

531 Robinson, M. D., McCarthy, D. J., & Smyth, G. K. (2010). edgeR: A Bioconductor package  
532 for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. *Bioinformatics*, 26(1),  
533 139–40. <http://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp616>

534 Roy, B. a, & Kirchner, J. W. (2000). Evolutionary dynamics of pathogen resistance and  
535 tolerance. *Evolution Int J Org Evolution*, 54(1), 51–63. [http://doi.org/10.1554/0014-3820\(2000\)054\[0051:EDOPRA\]2.0.CO;2](http://doi.org/10.1554/0014-3820(2000)054[0051:EDOPRA]2.0.CO;2)

537 Sahu, N., Venegas, A. M., Jankovic, D., Mitzner, W., Gomez-Rodriguez, J., Cannons, J., ...  
538 August, A. (2008). Selective expression rather than specific function of Txk and Itk  
539 regulate TH1 and TH2 responses. *Journal of Immunology*, 181(9), 6125–6131.

540 Scardoni, G., Tosadori, G., Pratap, S., Spoto, F., & Laudanna, C. (2016). Finding the shortest  
541 path with PesCa: A tool for network reconstruction [version 2; referees: 2 approved, 2  
542 approved with reservations]. *F1000Research*, 4, 484.  
543 <http://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.6769.1>

544 Schafer, J. F. (1971). Tolerance to Plant Disease. *Annual Review of Phytopathology*, 9(1),  
545 235–252. <http://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.py.09.090171.001315>

546 Shannon, P., Markiel, A., Ozier, O., Baliga, N. S., Wang, J. T., Ramage, D., ... Ideker, T.  
547 (2003). Cytoscape: A software environment for integrated models of biomolecular  
548 interaction networks. *Genome Research*, 13(11), 2498–2504.  
549 <http://doi.org/10.1101/gr.1239303.metabolite>

550 Szklarczyk, D., Franceschini, A., Wyder, S., Forslund, K., Heller, D., Huerta-cepas, J., ...  
551 Mering, C. Von. (2015). STRING v10: Protein–protein interaction networks, integrated  
552 over the tree of life. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 43, 447–452.  
553 <http://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gku1003>

554 Trapnell, C., Pachter, L., & Salzberg, S. L. (2009). TopHat: Discovering splice junctions with  
555 RNA-Seq. *Bioinformatics*, 25(9), 1105–1111.  
556 <http://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp120>

557 Warnes, G., Gorjanc, G., Leisch, F., & Man, M. (2013). genetics: Population genetics. R  
558 package version 1.3.8.1. Retrieved from <https://cran.r-project.org/package=genetics>  
559  
560  
561  
562  
563  
564  
565  
566  
567  
568

569 **Data Accessibility**

570 RNA-Seq data will be deposited in the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) on acceptance.

571 SNP data and field data will be deposited in Dryad.

572

573 **Author Contributions**

574 MB, JAJ, RJB, JEB and SP designed the initial experiment (in 2008-2010) and MB, JAJ, JEB

575 and SP designed the follow-up experiment (in 2015). AKT and SP were responsible for

576 performing the genotyping experiment. IMJ and AGT performed RNA extractions. KMW

577 was responsible for writing the manuscript. Both KMW and SP were responsible for

578 analysing the data, and CHT produced Table S1. All authors contributed to editing the

579 manuscript.

580

581

582

583

584

585

586

587

588

589

590

591

592

593 **Tables**

594

595 **Table 1** Parameter estimates, standard errors and associated significance from LMM for  
 596 Gata3 expression, including all fixed terms, random terms and interactions.

597

	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>SE</b>	<b><i>t</i></b>	<b><i>p</i></b>
(Intercept)	0.186	0.025	7.289	< 0.001
Maturity	-0.024	0.027	-0.911	0.367
Residual Weight	-0.003	0.007	-0.422	0.673
Macroparasites	-0.037	0.022	-1.655	0.101
Genotype	-0.065	0.021	-3.070	0.002
Maturity × Residual Weight	0.004	0.008	0.442	0.659
Maturity × Macroparasites	0.059	0.024	2.436	0.016

598

599

600

601

602

603

604

605

606

607

608

609

610

611

612

613

614

615

616

617

618

619

620

621

622

623



624 **Table 2** Marginal and conditional R<sup>2</sup> estimates for LMM for Gata3 expression, with different  
 625 variables removed (as well as associated interactions).  
 626  
 627

Model	Variable removed	Marginal R <sup>2</sup>	Conditional R <sup>2</sup>	AICc
Genotype, Maturity, Residual weight, Macroparasites, Maturity × Residual weight, Maturity × Macroparasites	NA	0.10	0.28	-193.68
Maturity, Residual weight, Macroparasites, Maturity × Residual weight, Maturity × Macroparasites	Genotype	0.05	0.22	-185.98
Genotype, Maturity, Residual weight, Macroparasites, Maturity × Macroparasites	Maturity × Residual weight	0.10	0.28	-195.71
Genotype, Maturity, Residual weight, Macroparasites, Maturity × Residual weight	Maturity × Macroparasites	0.08	0.25	-190.24
Genotype, Residual weight, Macroparasites	Maturity	0.05	0.21	-189.25
Genotype, Maturity, Macroparasites, Maturity × Macroparasites	Residual Weight	0.10	0.28	-197.94
Genotype, Maturity, Residual weight, Maturity × Residual weight	Macroparasites	0.06	0.21	-190.02

628  
 629  
 630  
 631  
 632  
 633  
 634  
 635  
 636  
 637

638  
639  
640  
641  
642  
643  
644  
645  
646  
647  
648  
649  
650  
651  
652  
653  
654  
655  
656  
657  
658  
659  
660  
661  
662  
663  
664  
665  
666  
667  
668  
669  
670  
671  
672  
673  
674  
675  
676  
677  
678  
679  
680  
681  
682  
683  
684  
685

**Figures**

**Fig. 1** Predicted Gata3 expression level for each haplotype (Parameters Site BLB, Maturity Immature, Residual Weight and Macroparasite Load set to 0; Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals; Gata3 expression levels are Box-Cox transformed).

**Fig. 2** Panel figure showing (a) *M. musculus* protein-protein interaction network and (b) *M. agrestis* gene expression network. Edge weights represent (a) confidence scores (range = 0.50 – 1.00), or (b) *p*-values (range = < 0.001 – 0.03).