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Abstract

Paucity of data on population structure and connectivity in deep sea species remains a major obstacle to their sustainable management and conservation in the face of ever increasing fisheries pressure and other forms of impacts on deep sea ecosystems. The roughhead grenadier Macrourus berglax presents all the classical characteristics of a deep sea species, such as slow growth and low fecundity, which make them particularly vulnerable to anthropogenic impact, due to their low resilience to change. In this study, the population structure of the roughhead grenadier is investigated throughout its geographic distribution using two sets of molecular markers: a partial sequence of the Control Region of mitochondrial DNA and species-specific microsatellites. No evidence of significant structure was found throughout the North Atlantic, with both sets of molecular markers yielding the same results of overall homogeneity. We posit two non-mutually exclusive scenarios that can explain such outcome: i) substantial high gene flow among locations, possibly maintained by larval stages, ii) very large effective size of post-glacially expanded populations. The results can inform management strategies in this by-caught species, and contribute to the broader issue of biological connectivity in the deep ocean.
Introduction

Over the last few decades, it has become routine to use genetic techniques to investigate population structure in marine fishes (Carvalho et al., 2016). The results have led to the widespread rejection of the commonly held view that marine species are mostly panmictic, due to the lack of visible barriers to larval and adult movements (Hauser and Carvalho, 2008). The action of ocean circulation can in fact be two-fold: superficial or deep-water currents can increase gene flow by aiding individual dispersal, especially at the larval stages, but they can also act as a barrier to it, hence favouring divergence between groups.

The vast majority of published studies on marine fish have dealt with coastal pelagic species, given their commercial value and/or the convenient sampling. Yet, the fishing pressure on deep-sea stocks has been steadily increasing since the 1970s (Roberts, 2002), and the depth at which fisheries operate has also been increasing at an average pace of 65.2 m per decade (Morato et al., 2006; Watson and Morato, 2013). Despite being increasingly exploited, deep-sea fish species still suffer from a paucity of data, compared to their coastal and shallow counterparts, which can have deleterious effects on their management (see Clarke et al, 2015 for a quantitative discussion). The assessment of the level and range of spatial structure of exploited species is pivotal for the sustainable harvest and management of species, and failure to identify population structure may result in population collapse (Reiss et al., 2009, Lowe and Allendorf, 2010). Given the typical life history traits of deep-sea species (discrete spawning aggregations, slow growth, late maturity), any fishing pressure might have serious consequences for the persistence of stocks (Baker et al., 2009). Thus, it is important to gather data in order to better understand the population structure and dynamics of these fish stocks, whether they are directly exploited or caught as by-catch. The most recent studies on the dynamics of deep sea fish species have reported lack or very low genetic structuring across wide geographical scales (Centroscymnus crepidater in Cunha et
al., 2012; Coryphaenoides mediterraneus in Catarino et al., 2013; Hoplostethus atlanticus in White et al., 2009) across their vast geographic ranges, and capable of transoceanic ontogenetic migrations (Aphanopus carbo in Longmore et al., 2014). Depth has been found to represent a barrier to gene flow and promote low but significant population structuring (Hoplostethus atlanticus in Carlsson et al., 2011; Etmopterus spinax in Gubili et al., 2016; Coryphaenoides rupestris and Brosme brosme in Knutsen et al., 2012, 2009; Sebastes mentella in Shum et al., 2014, Centroscymnus coelolepis in Catarino et al., 2015). Although the extent of pelagic habits typically influences the expected levels of genetic heterogeneity, even at global scales (see Gaither et al, 2016), the deeper layers of the oceans remain less understood, and no robust life-history predictors of spatial structure currently exist.

The roughhead grenadier Macrourus berglax Lacepède 1801, family Macrouridae, is a benthopelagic species occurring across the northern Atlantic Ocean, between the Georges Bank to the west, all the way to the Barents Sea as the easternmost edge. It dwells between a depth of 100 and 1000 m, although it is especially common at depths of 300-500 m (Cohen, 1990). Data about its biology, population structure and dynamics are scarce, even though it is an important part of the by-catch in the red fish and the Greenland halibut fisheries (Garabana et al. 2016; Gonzales Costas and Murua, 2005; Gonzales costas 2010). Life history traits of this species are similar to those of other deep sea fishes: it lives long (up to 25 years according to Lorance et al. (2008) and Drazen et al. (2012)), grows slowly and has low fecundity, between 14,000 and 80,000 eggs (Devine et al., 2012; Fossen et al., 2003; Murua, 2003, Drazen et al. 2012). Spawning has been documented across the species’ geographic distribution in late Winter/early Spring (Magnússon and Magnússon, 1995; Savvatimsky, 1989), although geographical differences in time of spawning might exist (Lorance et al., 2008; Garabana et al. 2016). Very little is known about the dispersal ability at different life stages of M. berglax: spawning migration has been hypothesised (Garabana
et al. 2016), but not demonstrated. The other four species of the genus *Macrourus* (*M. carinatus*, *M. whitsoni*, *M. caml* and *M. holotrichys*), are all present exclusively in the southern hemisphere and have well-documented extended adult migration (Laptikhovsky 2011, Munster et al. 2016), while more distantly related macrourids, such as the roundnose grenadier *Coryphaenoides rupestris*, have been found to have a very long pelagic phase, which can last for almost a year (Lorance et al., 2008).

The population structure of *M. berglax* across its geographic range is also poorly investigated. The only published genetic study finds low differentiation, but advocates the existence of at least three units or stocks: East Greenland, West Greenland and Norwegian Sea (Katsarou and Naevdal 2001). This investigation used allozyme markers, which, due to their nature of being expressed by coding regions may poorly reflect patterns of neutral gene flow (O’Sullivan *et al.*, 2003).

Concerns regarding the lack of data and the status of the stock of the roughhead grenadiers in the North Atlantic were recently raised by NAFO (Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Organization) and ICES (International Council for the Exploration of the Sea), given the decrease in landings of grenadiers in the North Atlantic (Gonzales Costas 2010, ICES 2015). In the NAFO Regulated Area (western Atlantic), this species is mainly caught in subareas 3LMN, just around Flemish Cap, where it is becoming a commercially important fish despite the fishery being unregulated (Gonzales Costas and Murua, 2005). In the eastern Atlantic (ICES Area), it is by-caught with the roundnose grenadier *Coryphenoides rupestris*, and its stock status is unknown and unmanaged (ICES 2015).

In this study, our aim was to fill some of these knowledge gaps by investigating the population structure of the roughhead grenadier across its geographic range and over multiple years, using species-specific microsatellite markers (Helyar *et al.*, 2010) and the mitochondrial DNA control region (CR). In particular, we tested whether spatial genetic
heterogeneity exists in *M. berglax*, and whether the three stocks suggested by Katsarou and Naevdal (2001) are upheld by our data. The findings significantly enhance our understanding of past and present population structure and diversification in *M. berglax*, and lay the foundation for improved conservation and management of the species.

**Materials and Methods**

**Sampling**

A total of 638 individuals were sampled at eight locations across the entire species’ geographic distribution, between 2000 and 2007 (Table 1), by research and commercial vessels. The sampling localities are northern Norway (Bear Island), Svalbard, East and South Greenland, Baffin Bay, Flemish Cap, Georges Bank and Hatton Bank (Fig. 1). Tissue samples were collected and stored in absolute ethanol. Fork length was measured for each individual at all except one location (Georges Bank). Finally, for all populations excluding Georges Bank, anal fin length data was collected, and for Flemish Cap and South Greenland individuals were sexed.

**Genetic analysis**

DNA was extracted from muscle tissue using a standard salting-out protocol (Miller et al., 1988). A 1100 bp long fragment of the mtDNA Control Region (CR) was PCR amplified from 124 individuals (Table 1) using primers L-Pro1 (Ostellari et al., 1996) and 12Sar-H (Palumbi et al., 1991). All PCRs were carried out in a final volume of 25 µl, containing 1X PCR buffer (*Buffer BD Advantage 2 PCR* with MgCl₂), 0.2 mM of each dNTP, 0.2 µM of each primer, 1 µl of template DNA, and Taq DNA polymerase (1 unit, *Taq BD Advantage™ 2 Polymerase Mix*; CLONTECH-Takara). The following PCR profile was used for the amplification: one cycle of 1 min at 95 °C, 35 cycles of 30 s at 95 °C, 30 s at 52 °C, and 70
s at 68 °C, and finally, one cycle of 5 min at 68 °C. PCR products were purified with an
ethanol/sodium acetate precipitation, and directly sequenced using the corresponding PCR
primers in an automated DNA sequencer (ABI PRISM 3700) using the BigDye Deoxy
Terminator cycle-sequencing kit (Applied Biosystems) following manufacturer’s
instructions. Seven microsatellites (Helyar et al., 2010) were amplified for 559 individuals,
starting at 95°C for 15 min, followed by 35 cycles of 45 sec at 94°C, 45 sec at a set annealing
temperature, 45 sec at 72°C, and a final extension step of 45 min at 72°C. Markers were
multiplexed in 10 μl final volume using the QIAGEN Multiplex Kit as follows: 1) Mbe06,
Mbe02, Mbe03, Mbe04 at an annealing temperature of 57 °C; 2) Mbe01, Mbe08, Mbe10 at
60 °C. Both reactions were then run on an ABI3130xl genetic analyser for screening. Peaks
were scored using Genemapper 4.0 (Applied Biosystems).

Statistical analysis

Haplotype (h) and nucleotide (π) diversities and ΦST were calculated using Arlequin 3.5
(Excoffier and Lischer, 2010). Significance levels of all multiple statistical tests were
corrected using the false discovery rate (FDR) approach (Benjamini and Hochberg, 1995)
implemented in the QVALUE package (Dabney et al., 2010) in R (R Core Team, 2016).
Mitochondrial allelic richness (Ar) was estimated using the rarefaction method (El
Mousadik and Petit, 1996) as implemented in Contrib 1.02 (Petit and Pons, 1998). A median-
joining network (Bandelt et al., 1999) was calculated in PopART (http://popart.otago.ac.nz).
Mismatch analysis (Rogers and Harpending, 1992) was used to explore the demographic
history of the species, estimating both the raggedness index (rg, Harpending 1994) and the
sum of squared deviations (SSD, Schneider & Excoffier 1999) using Arlequin 3.5
(Excoffier and Lischer, 2010). Demographic mismatch analysis is based on the null
hypothesis of expansion; thus, non-significant values mean non-rejection of population
expansion. Initial and final θ estimates (before and after population growth or decline) and
τ values were calculated with ARLEQUIN 3.5 (Excoffier and Lischer, 2010). Time of
inferred population expansion was determined by $T_{exp} = \tau / (2 \mu n)$, where μ is the substitution
rate per base and per generation, and n the number of bases of the CR fragment (Rogers &
Harpending 1992), assuming a generation time of 15 years (Fossen et al., 2003). Historical
population size changes were further investigated by calculating Tajima’s $D$ and Fu’s $Fs$
(Fu, 1997; Tajima, 1989a, 1989b) in ARLEQUIN 3.5.

The Bayesian Skyline Plot (BSP) approach as implemented in BEAST v 1.7 (Drummond
et al., 2012) was employed to estimate historical changes of female effective population
size. The best fitting model of substitution – HKY (Hasegawa et al., 1985) - was found
using jModelTest 0.1.1 (Guindon and Gascuel, 2003; Posada, 2008) via the Akaike
Information Criterion (AIC). Eight independent runs (each $10^6$ generations and 10% burn-
in) were used to reach effective sample size value (ESS) of at least 200 as per the user’s
manual.

For microsatellites, the frequency of null alleles was estimated using FreeNA (Chapuis and
Estoup, 2007). Marker neutrality was tested in LOSITAN (Antao et al., 2008) using the
approach described in Beaumont and Nichols (1996). GenAlEx v6 (Peakall and Smouse,
2006) was employed to estimate expected ($H_E$) and observed ($H_O$) heterozygosity indices.
Linkage disequilibrium, allelic richness ($A_R$), $F_{IS}$ and $F_{ST}$ were calculated in Fstat 2.9.3
(Goudet, 1995). Contemporary effective population size ($N_e$) was estimated using the
Linkage Disequilibrium method implemented in LDNe (Waples and Do, 2008), using 0.02
as minimum allowable allele frequency ($P_{crit}$). $N_e$ was calculated both by location and
‘overall’, by pooling all the samples together based on the results. Population structure was
investigated using two approaches with different assumptions. STRUCTURE 2.3 (Falush et
al., 2007, 2003; Pritchard et al., 2000) was used to estimate population subdivision, allowing
for admixture and with both correlated and independent allele frequencies using 200,000
permutations following a burn-in of 50,000, with three independent runs for each value of
$k$. A second approach for estimating population structure, discriminant analysis of principal
components (DAPC), was implemented in the R package ADEGENET (Jombart, 2008;
Jombart et al., 2010). The optimal number of $k$ clusters is chosen based on the associated
(lowest) Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) calculated after $10^7$ iterations. The difference
in these assignment tests lies in their assumptions: while STRUCTURE 2.3 groups
individuals in a number $k$ of clusters by minimising Hardy-Weinberg (HWE) and linkage
disequilibria, DAPC groups individuals maximising the separation amongst such groups,
with no prior assumptions. COLONY (Jones and Wang, 2010) was used to estimate sibship
between sampled individuals, using Full Likelihood approach and remaining default
parameters. A threshold value of 0.8 was used, meaning that only pairs of individuals with
at least 80% probability of being fullsibs/halvesibs were considered (Bergner et al., 2014).
Although COLONY has proven to provide accurate results even with a low number of
markers (Harrison et al. 2013), given the low diversity of the present dataset the probability
of Type I errors cannot be disregarded (Taylor 2015). Thus, we performed the sibship
analysis with another package, ML-Relate (Kalinowski et al. 2006). Only the pairs identified
as sibs by both analyses were considered as such.

**Results**

**Fork length.** Baffin Bay individuals were significantly smaller than individuals from all the
other populations (Fig. 5 and Fig. 3 Supplementary Material), while northern Norway
comprised the largest individuals. The length frequency distributions show that the only
population with a bi-modal trend is Svalbard.
Mitochondrial Control Region. A total of 29 haplotypes were identified in the 114 individuals sequenced across eight locations (GenBank accession Numbers: MG702365-MG702488). All the diversity indices calculated for the mitochondrial DNA were found to be lowest for Svalbard, with $h = 0.19$ and $\pi_o = 0.0002$. Haplotype diversity, nucleotide diversity and the average number of nucleotide differences were highest for Flemish Cap, being respectively 0.82, 0.0018 and 1.45. Allelic richness ranged from 1 (Svalbard) to 5.6 (Baffin Bay) (Table 1). The median-joining network showed haplotypes were grouped in a clear star-like pattern. The most common haplotype was present in 86 individuals (75.4% of the total) scattered across all sampled locations (see Fig. 1). Low but significant $\Phi_{ST}$ were recorded between Svalbard and Flemish Cap (Table 2), but, given the low level of genetic differentiation, demographic tests were performed for all samples pooled. Results indicate that the Atlantic populations of *M. berglax* conform to both the demographic and spatial expansion models ($P_{DEM} = 0.929; P_{SPA} = 0.796$) (Fig. 1 Supplementary Material). The $\tau$ values ($\tau_{DEM} = 0.723 – 99\%CI: 0-3.639; \tau_{SPA} = 0.318 – 99\%CI: 0.1-4.7$) inferred were used to estimate times since expansion ($t$) for both scenarios assuming a divergence rate of 11% per million years (Patarnello et al., 2007) and a generation time of 15 years (Fossen et al., 2003) in the Mismatch Calculator (Schenekar and Weiss, 2011). The findings suggest that the grenadier started expanding demographically 8,000 years ago (7,919, 99\%CI: 0-39,858) and then spatially less than 4,000 years ago (3,483, 99\%CI: 1,150-52,377). Tajima’s $D$ (-2.32, $P<<0.00$) and Fu’s $F_S$ (-$\infty$, $P<<0.00$), both negative and highly significant, further confirmed this scenario of expansion. Historical female effective population size inferred with BEAST also indicated that expansion started ~4,400 years ago (Fig 2).

Microsatellites. No evidence of null alleles and linkage disequilibrium was identified across the microsatellite dataset. No significant departures from neutrality were detected in
LOSITAN when considering 99% confidence intervals. Expected heterozygosity $H_E$ was similar across locations, ranging between 0.619 (Hatton Bank) and 0.674 (Flemish Cap), while the lowest $H_O$ was recorded in Flemish Cap and Svalbard (0.53) and the highest in Greenland (0.673 for South Greenland and 0.668 for Eastern Greenland). Heterozygote deficiency ($H_O < H_E$) was detected in Svalbard and Flemish Cap, which indeed are the only samples showing a positive and significant $F_{IS}$ (Table 1). Pairwise $F_{ST}$ values showed that Norway was the most divergent population, with values that, despite being low, remained significant after Bonferroni’s correction. Other statistically significant pairwise comparisons involved Svalbard against Baffin Bay and Georges Bank, and Georges Bank against South Greenland (Table 2). Nevertheless, both assignment tests (STRUCTURE and ADEGENET) employed failed to detect significant spatial population structure within the study area (Fig. 3 and Fig. 1 Supplementary Material). DAPC results did not show any significant geographical pattern, even when repeated with populations grouped by year of capture (Fig. 3) or age class (data not shown). Effective population size was calculated both by location and by pooling all the samples together, and every estimate had an infinite estimate of the parameter. COLONY and ML-RELATE found 15 pairs of half-sibs and no full-sibs (Table 1 Supplementary Material and see Fig. 1 for a graphical representation) with no particular pattern.

Discussion

The roughhead grenadier *Macrourus berglax* is an important part of the by-catch of halibut and redfish fisheries, but is to some extent also targeted by smaller fisheries. Thus, in the absence of a management plan, compounded with lack of information on the population biology, any form of management strategy is hindered. The species is managed throughout its range by two agencies, NAFO in the Northwest Atlantic and ICES in the Northeast Atlantic. NAFO does not have specific regulations for the roughhead grenadier, and ICES...
concludes that “no direct fishery should be allowed and that by-catch should be accounted for against the TAC” (ICES, 2015).

In the only previously published work on population genetic structure of *M. berglax*, Katsarou and Naevdal (2001) found low overall genetic diversity, but still called for each subarea (West Greenland, East Greenland and Norway) to be managed as an independent unit, as at least two out of the ten markers they used show heterogeneity. The authors called for further investigations using more/different markers and a more intensive sampling design. This has been implemented in the current study, where mitochondrial DNA and nuclear hypervariable markers are simultaneously used, but still fail to support any evidence of population structure. This aligns *M. berglax* with several other demersal and pelagic deep sea fish species, such as the roundnose grenadier (White et al. 2010), the longnose velvet dogfish (Cunha et al., 2012), the Mediterranean grenadier (Catarino et al., 2013) and the black scabbard fish (Longmore et al. 2014), which were found to be largely genetically homogeneous at oceanic level.

We used two different markers in order to investigate demographic processes at different time scales: while the fast-evolving microsatellites are more suitable to untangle contemporary structure (Hewitt, 2004), the mtDNA control region is usually employed to unravel more ancient events on the evolutionary time scale, as well as track maternal effects (being maternally inherited) (Avise, 2000). Hence, comparing and contrasting the results from the two datasets can provide important insights into the processes that have brought the species to be distributed as it is observed today.

From a management perspective, the lack of genetic differentiation at neutral markers (microsatellites, markers not linked to parts of the genome under directional selection) does not necessarily coincide with the existence of one single unit/stock. In marine populations, low $F_{ST}$ from neutral markers often reflect very large effective population size
in recently expanded populations, rather than the existence of substantial gene flow rates (Cano et al., 2008), and this could be the case for the roughhead grenadier, whose estimates of effective size are very large. In this scenario, even in the presence of low gene flow, such large effective population size might be enough to counteract the divergence caused by genetic drift, especially over a few generations, such as in the case of the slow-growing *M. berglax*.

Comparing and contrasting the results from the two classes of markers used here, can provide important insights into the processes that have shaped the genetic makeup of this species. The mtDNA control region network shows a distinctive star-like shape, which is an indication of demographic expansion. Two approaches with different assumptions have been used to date such expansion, and both give the same time estimate: the roughhead grenadier started expanding in the North Atlantic around 4,000 years ago. This corresponds roughly to 200 generations, which in evolutionary scale is considered recent. This timing is consistent with new habitat becoming available after the Younger Dryas (~11,700 years ago). Unfortunately, based on the results, it is hard to speculate about the possible location of the marine refugium which might have sourced the recolonization/expansion into the North Atlantic Ocean (Kettle et al., 2011). It has been hypothesised that the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation (AMOC) went through a strong spin-up after the last Younger Dryas glaciation and was responsible for one of the most important dispersal events in the Atlantic, injecting larvae from the warmer southern area, into ocean currents that led to the fastest postglacial range expansion ever recorded in the deep (Henry et al., 2014).

The comparison between diversity indices calculated from both sets of molecular markers (Table 1) allowed the determination of uneven contributions from the sampled populations to the measured genetic diversity. Overall, microsatellite markers show consistent
homogeneity amongst locations. Mitochondrial DNA, on the other hand, shows that the populations sampled at the western edge of the species distribution, Baffin Bay and Hatton Bank, have the highest allelic richness and haplotypes diversity, contributing to the majority of the total diversity. On the other hand, Svalbard registered the lowest values, for any of the indices estimated from mtDNA (Table 2). Usually, lower genetic diversity, hence lower adaptive capacity, is expected at population that originates from an expansion (i.e. post-glacial) as they are subjected to a founder effect (Marko et al. 2010), compared to those populations that still inhabits the refugial area (Diekmann and Serrao 2012). Yet, supporting evidence is lacking (Zardi et al. 2015), so, once more, we cannot speculate on the location of the refugium.

The $F_{ST}$ analysis of microsatellites shows a low but statistically significant differentiation of the Norwegian sample (Table 2). Having excluded the possibility of directional selection acting upon these markers, we cannot conclude whether this is due to a spatial or a temporal differentiation (these were the only fish caught in 2007). Nevertheless, clustering analyses do not find Norway to be much different from the rest of the samples (Fig. 2 Supplementary Material).

An interesting result unraveled by microsatellites is the presence of 15 half-sibs pairs across the ocean. These are pairs of individuals born in different years that share at least one parent. This could be explained by two possible causes: firstly, the roughhead grenadier might have a unique spawning aggregation or secondly, and more likely, larval and adult dispersal might play an important role in gene flow. Other members of the genus *Macrourus* have been shown to have extended adult dispersal, and spawning migration has also been hypothesized for *M. berglax* (Garabana et al. 2016). Adult dispersal and migration have been shown to play an important role in ‘homogenizing’ populations, even
at oceanic scale (White et al. 2009), and could then explain the lack of genetic
differentiation detected in this study.

Lastly, the length distribution shows that the individuals caught in Baffin Bay are
significantly smaller than the ones coming from every other location (Fig. 4). This may
suggest that this area might be a breeding/nursery ground. Although this study can only
speculate about this, it would be important to consider this aspect in designing future
investigations, as this would be of vital importance for the management and conservation
of the species.

In conclusion, the roughhead grenadier *Macrourus berglax* shows no population structure
across the Atlantic Ocean. Whether this near-panmixia signal is due to a very large
population size or actual gene flow between locations is currently impossible to
disentangle. Nevertheless, caution should be taken when designing a management plan.

Previous studies using non-neutral markers did find significantly different units (Katsarou
and Naevdal, 2001), hence future work should aim at identifying markers under selection.

Local adaptation is now regarded as an important tool to discern populations in the marine
environment (Nielsen et al. 2012). Although this species is not a commercial target, it
makes up an important part of the bycatch, and more data should be gathered in order to
ensure its future conservation.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Nh</th>
<th>h</th>
<th>π</th>
<th>Ar</th>
<th>Mitochondrial DNA</th>
<th>Microsatellites</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Nh</td>
<td>h</td>
<td>π</td>
<td>Ar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Greenland</td>
<td>E Gree</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.0014</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Greenland</td>
<td>S Gree</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George Bank</td>
<td>GEO</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Svalbard</td>
<td>SVA</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hatton Bank</td>
<td>HAT</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.0015</td>
<td>4.51</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flemish Cap</td>
<td>FLE</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.0018</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baffin Sea</td>
<td>BAF</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.0017</td>
<td>5.56</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>NOR</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.0009</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Population pairwise comparisons: microsatellite-derived FST in the lower diagonal, and mtDNA-based ΦST in the upper section. In bold, values that remain significant (p<0.05), and in bold and italic those that remain significant after Bonferroni’s correction.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Egree</th>
<th>Sgree</th>
<th>GEO</th>
<th>SVA</th>
<th>HAT</th>
<th>FLE</th>
<th>BAF</th>
<th>NOR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Egree</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sgree</td>
<td>-0.006</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.0307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEO</td>
<td>-0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0091</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>0.0027</td>
<td>0.0228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVA</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0431</td>
<td>0.0448</td>
<td>0.0225</td>
<td>0.038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAT</td>
<td>-0.007</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.0009</td>
<td>0.0096</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLE</td>
<td>-0.002</td>
<td>-0.005</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
<td>-0.006</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.006</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAF</td>
<td>-0.003</td>
<td>-0.0008</td>
<td>-0.002</td>
<td>0.0045</td>
<td>-0.0007</td>
<td>-0.006</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOR</td>
<td>0.0087</td>
<td>0.0068</td>
<td>0.0065</td>
<td>0.0049</td>
<td>0.0084</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Figure 1** Map showing the colour-coded sampling locations. The lines connecting the locations represent the half-sib pairs: dashed lines for two pairs between locations, whereas all the other lines represent one pair (see also Table 1 supplementary material). On the right, the median-joining network of mitochondrial haplotypes.
**Figure 2** Bayesian Skyline plot, showing the historical trend of female effective population size. The continuous and dashed lines represent the median and mean values, respectively. The shaded area represents the 95% Confidence interval.

**Figure 3** DAPC scatter plots by sampling locations (*left*) and year of capture (*right*). In the latter, the corresponding locations per year of capture are indicated in the legend.
Figure 4 Length frequency distributions by location.
Figure 1 Supplementary Material: Mismatch distributions. The shaded grey area represents the 95% confidence interval.
Figure 2 Supplementary Material: Topleft – Mean Likelihood inferred from STRUCTURE; topright – graphical inference of the number of genetic clusters from DAPC. Both graphs show a trend that is interpreted with the absence of genetic clusters (homogeneity). This is particularly evident in the barplot at the bottom (inferred from STRUCTURE): on the Y-axis the Q-value, and index of admixture; on the X-axis the individuals.
Figure 3 Supplementary Material: Boxplot representing the anal fin length measured at seven locations. *Left:* The red line represents the length at first maturity for *M. berglax* in the Atlantic. The notch in each box represents the 95% confidence interval. *Right:* length plotted by sex for two locations.

Table 1 Supplementary Material. Half-sibs pairs detected and relative likelihood inferred from COLONY. Sibship analyses results: the combination of columns Off1 and Off2 indicate the pair of individuals for which a significant relationship has been found. The third column (Colony) contains the probabilities for such relationship. Colony has found only half-sibs in the datasets. The fourth column (ML-Relate) reports the findings of the ML-
Relate software. The latter confirms the half-sibs relationships. The only discrepancy is for the pair Nor10-Nor48, which ML-Relate identify as Parent-Offspring pair.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Off1</th>
<th>Off2</th>
<th>Colony</th>
<th>ML-Relate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SGre5</td>
<td>Fle4</td>
<td>0.894</td>
<td>Half-Sib</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sva17</td>
<td>Hat28</td>
<td>0.884</td>
<td>Half-Sib</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hat27</td>
<td>Baf61</td>
<td>0.872</td>
<td>Half-Sib</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGre73</td>
<td>Geo49</td>
<td>0.868</td>
<td>Half-Sib</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sva10</td>
<td>Nor8</td>
<td>0.845</td>
<td>Half-Sib</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGre40</td>
<td>Geo46</td>
<td>0.840</td>
<td>Half-Sib</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sva25</td>
<td>Hat15</td>
<td>0.839</td>
<td>Half-Sib</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGre78</td>
<td>Sva57</td>
<td>0.832</td>
<td>Half-Sib</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGre26</td>
<td>EGre40</td>
<td>0.829</td>
<td>Half-Sib</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGre74</td>
<td>Nor6</td>
<td>0.823</td>
<td>Half-Sib</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGre53</td>
<td>SGre54</td>
<td>0.813</td>
<td>Half-Sib</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hat24</td>
<td>Fle9</td>
<td>0.810</td>
<td>Half-Sib</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGre39</td>
<td>Fle4</td>
<td>0.807</td>
<td>Half-Sib</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nor10</td>
<td>Nor48</td>
<td>0.807</td>
<td>Parent-Offspring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGre63</td>
<td>SGre60</td>
<td>0.800</td>
<td>Half-Sib</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>