



University of  
**Salford**  
MANCHESTER

# Morphological description of male genital organs of Marca's marmoset (*Mico marcai*)

de Siqueira, GHL, Silva, FE and Santana, MIS

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/ahe.12365>

<b>Title</b>	Morphological description of male genital organs of Marca's marmoset ( <i>Mico marcai</i> )
<b>Authors</b>	de Siqueira, GHL, Silva, FE and Santana, MIS
<b>Type</b>	Article
<b>URL</b>	This version is available at: <a href="http://usir.salford.ac.uk/id/eprint/47296/">http://usir.salford.ac.uk/id/eprint/47296/</a>
<b>Published Date</b>	2018

USIR is a digital collection of the research output of the University of Salford. Where copyright permits, full text material held in the repository is made freely available online and can be read, downloaded and copied for non-commercial private study or research purposes. Please check the manuscript for any further copyright restrictions.

For more information, including our policy and submission procedure, please contact the Repository Team at: [usir@salford.ac.uk](mailto:usir@salford.ac.uk).

1 **(i) Title**

2

3 MORPHOLOGICAL DESCRIPTION OF MALE GENITAL ORGANS OF MARCA'S MARMOSSET (*Mico*  
4 *marcai*)

5

6 **(ii) Running head**

7

8 MORPHOLOGICAL DESCRIPTION OF MALE GENITAL ORGANS OF *Mico marcai*

9

10 **(iii) Authors**

11

12 Gustavo Henrique Lima de Siqueira<sup>1</sup>

13 Felipe Ennes Silva<sup>2</sup>

14 Marcelo Ismar Silva Santana<sup>1</sup>

15

16 **(iv) Author's affiliations**

17

18 <sup>1</sup> Wild Animal Anatomy Laboratory, School of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine,  
19 University of Brasília, Brasília, DF, Brazil; <sup>2</sup> School of Environment & Life Sciences, University of  
20 Salford, Salford, United Kingdom, Institute for Sustainable Development Mamirauá, Tefé, AM, Brazil.

21

22 **(v) Summary**

23

24 Morphological characterization of the genital organs of primates may bring significant contributions  
25 to the understanding of different reproductive behaviours and support new conservation strategies.  
26 However, relevant or detailed descriptions of genital morphology of several primate species are still  
27 lacking. This study describes the gross and microscopic anatomy of the internal and external  
28 genitalia of Marca's marmoset (*Mico marcai*). The same organs described in other primate species  
29 were identified here, but some anatomical particularities were detected, such as absence of a dartos  
30 tunic, presence of a vas deferens ampulla, absence of spongy erectile tissue in the pelvic urethra,  
31 separation of prostate gland lobes by a longitudinal sulcus and lack of septation in the corpus  
32 cavernosus and spongiosus at the level of the shaft and free portion of the penis. Keratinized type  
33 1 spicules arising from epidermal or dermal projections were found in the free portion of the penis.

34 Microscopic analysis revealed a small bone (baculum) consisting of peripheral compact bone and a  
35 central, non-ossified area filled with vascular tissue at the distal end of this portion of the penis.  
36 Results of this study may support further comparative studies of primates reproductive ecology.

37

38 **Keywords:** Marmosets, Primates, Amazon Rainforest, Genital Organs, Morphology.

39

40 **(vi) Number of figures and tables**

41

42 Figures: 5 (five)

43

44 Tables: 4 (four)

45

46 **(vii) Main Text**

47

## 48 INTRODUCTION

49

50 The literature on the morphology of Neotropical Primates is well represented by a number of  
51 taxonomic (e.g. Kobayashi, 1955; Hershkovitz, 1977; Lynch-Alfaro et al. 2012), ecological (e.g.  
52 Sussman & Kinzey, 1984; Anapol & Lee, 1994, Garber & Rehg, 1999) and evolutionary studies (e.g.  
53 Marroig & Cheverud, 2001, 2005). However, only few authors have contributed to understand the  
54 importance of anatomical studies of genitals organs on the reproductive behavior and ecology of  
55 primates (Dixon, 1989; Harcourt & Gardiner, 1994; Dixon 1998; Stockley 2002).

56 In this regard, genital morphology – particularly male genital morphology – acquires great  
57 significance for comparative studies, extremely valuable for differentiation between closely related  
58 taxa (Fooden, 1976; Hershkovitz, 1977; Eberhard, 1985; 2010). Morphological descriptions of the  
59 male genitalia of Neotropical primates indicate interspecies differences, as pointed out by  
60 Hershkovitz (1977; 1993) in his brief descriptions of the gross anatomy of external organs of several  
61 genera. These differences are particularly related to morphological aspects of the penis, such as the  
62 presence or absence of a penile bone (baculum) and anatomical features of this bone and spicules,  
63 when present. Hershkovitz (1977)'s reports also include morphological descriptions of genitals of  
64 the “*Callithrix argentata* group”, current genus *Mico* (Rylands et al., 2000, Rylands, Coimbra-Filho,  
65 & Mittermeier, 2009). Dixon (2012) also relied on comparative anatomy (predominantly gross  
66 anatomy) of the male external and internal genitalia to understand different mating systems of  
67 Neotropical and Old World primates. Microscopic morphological descriptions have been provided  
68 for few primates species such as *Callithrix jacchus* (Beattie, 1927), *Sapajus apella* (Teixeira, 2005),  
69 *Chlorocebus aethiops* (Lebelo, 2007) and *Macaca radiata* (Prakash, Suresh, & Prithiviraj, 2009).

70 Here, we presented the first anatomical and histological descriptions of male genital organs of  
71 *Mico marcai* (Alperin, 1993) using an entirely new data set. Such descriptions are of great value in  
72 the establishment of comparative morphological parameters between primates and may support  
73 future studies on the reproductive behaviour and on the ecology of Amazonian marmosets.

74

## 75 MATERIALS AND METHODS

76

77 Male genital organs of seven adult Marca's marmoset (*Mico marcai*, Alperin, 1993) specimens  
78 weighing between 335 and 420g (Table 1) and kept in individual jars containing 10% formaldehyde  
79 were used in this study. Specimens were obtained from the Mastozoology collection of Institute for  
80 Sustainable Development Mamirauá.

81 Genital organs were anatomically described, photographed and measured using a 0.01 mm  
82 resolution digital calliper (Starret®). The following measurements were made: length, width and  
83 thickness of testes, epididymis, vesicular glands, prostate and bulbourethral glands; length and  
84 diameter of vas deferens and respective ampullae, pelvic urethra and penis (Tables 2-4). Given the  
85 need to preserve anatomical relationships between genital organs for histological analysis, only the  
86 testes were weighed; this was done prior to tissue fixation, using a 0.01 g sensitivity scale (Toledo  
87 Adventurer AR5120) (Table 1).

88 Following gross characterization, tissue samples were collected from all genital organs.  
89 Processing cassettes containing tissue fragments were immersed in 70% alcohol and washed in  
90 Tissue-Tek® VIP® Jr. for dehydration in increasing (80% to 100%) ethanol concentrations and xylol  
91 diaphanization.

92 Paraffin embedding and serial 5µm tissue slicing were performed using Leica EG1150 embedding  
93 centre and Leica RM2125RT microtome respectively. Tissue sections were mounted on glass slides,  
94 deparaffinized, stained with Hematoxylin-Eosin or Masson's trichrome and cover-slipped using  
95 Entelan (Merck®). Slides were then analyzed under light microscopy (Olympus CX40 binocular  
96 microscope) and photographed at different optical magnifications (40x, 100x, 200x and 400x) using  
97 Leica DFC290 HD photomicroscope.

98 Anatomical descriptions in this study are in compliance with Nomina Anatomica Veterinaria  
99 (2017).

100

## 101 **RESULTS**

102

103 Morphological descriptions of *Mico marcai* male genital organs are given below.

104

### 105 Scrotum and Prepuce

106 The short prepuce consisted of a thick layer of wrinkled, light-colored skin, which completely  
107 enveloped the free portion of the penis. The preputial ostium was large and the internal lamina  
108 began at the level of this opening to end at the junction between the shaft and the free portion of  
109 the penis, where it formed a small diverticulum demarcating the caudal limit of the preputial cavity  
110 (Fig. 1b-c, 2b). The symmetrical, globose scrotum was continuous with the prepuce and not very  
111 pendulous; the scrotal skin was also wrinkled and light coloured. Sparsely distributed brownish hairs  
112 were observed on the prepuce and dorsal segment of the scrotum (Fig. 1c).

113 Microscopically, the prepuce consisted of paved epithelium and a submucosal layer of loose,  
114 well-vascularized connective tissue (Fig. 2b). The scrotum was lined with similar, low thickness  
115 epithelium, with few melanocytes distributed in the basal layer. The papillary and reticular dermis  
116 consisted of loose and dense connective tissue respectively; large numbers of collagen fibres and  
117 fibroblasts were seen in the reticular dermis, together with small numbers of hair follicles, large  
118 numbers of sebaceous glands and moderate numbers of sweat glands. The dartos tunic was lacking  
119 and only the combined cremasteric fascia, cremaster muscle and tunica albuginea could be  
120 identified (Fig. 2a).

121

## 122 Testes

123 The paired, levelled testes lied in different compartments within the scrotum and were separated  
124 by a scrotal septum, externally visible as the scrotal raphe. This raphe ran from the perineal region  
125 to the ventral segment of the prepuce and divided the scrotum in half (Fig. 1c). The oval-shaped  
126 testes were similar in weight and size (Tables 1 and 2 respectively); testes were elongated in the  
127 dorsoventral direction, with subtle laterolateral flattening and craniocaudal tilt (Fig. 1a).

128 Microscopic examination revealed mild immersion of connective fibers from the tunica albuginea  
129 into the testicular parenchyma; trabecular projections arising from these fibers divided the testes  
130 into multiple intercommunicating, poorly demarcated lobes, each comprising variable numbers of  
131 convoluted seminiferous tubules and supported by loose connective tissue containing vessels,  
132 nerves, small to moderate numbers of Leydig cells and a thin layer of myoid cells (Fig. 2c,d).

133 Sertoli cells extending from the basal lamina towards the tubular lumen and spermatogenic cells  
134 occupying the existing spaces among support cells were observed within the seminiferous tubules.  
135 Not all seminiferous tubules contained sperms in their lumen (Fig. 2d).

136 Seminiferous tubules ran towards the rete testis via straight tubules lined with cuboid cells or  
137 simple squamous epithelium supported by connective tissue fibres and smooth muscle cells. The  
138 rete testis was directly connected to the vas deferens, which penetrated the tunica albuginea at the  
139 level of the extremitas capitata of the testes to form the epididymal duct.

140

## 141 Epididymis

142 The epididymis had an elongated "C" shape and was completely attached to the epididymal  
143 border of the testes. Three distinct portions were identified: a large, flat head attached to the  
144 extremitas capitata of the testis, a thin, elongated body running along the posterior testicular border  
145 and a tail corresponding to a small, globose enlargement attached to the extremitas caudata of the

146 testis and continuous with the vas deferens. Of these, the epididymal head was the most prominent  
147 (Table 2) (Fig. 1a).

148 The epididymal duct could be easily identified through the thin epididymal surface (Fig. 1a) as a  
149 mesh of convoluted tubules lined with pseudostratified columnar epithelium containing stereocilia  
150 and characterized by tall cells with slightly vacuolated, weakly stained cytoplasm and oval-shaped  
151 or elongated nuclei located at the cell base or centrally. Tubules were also lined with a thin basal  
152 lamina and surrounded by moderate amounts of fibrovascular tissue and smooth muscle cells (Fig.  
153 3a-f).

154 Differences in epithelium height and amounts of peritubular fibromuscular tissue were also  
155 noted. The epithelium was taller and support tissue more abundant at the head of the epididymis  
156 compared to the body and tail. Small collections of sperm cells, cellular remnants and amorphous  
157 material were also found in the lumen of several tubules, particularly in the tail (Fig. 3a-f).

158

#### 159 Vas Deferens

160 The narrow vas deferens (Table 3) originated from the tail of the epididymis (Fig. 1a) and ran  
161 along its body in a linear fashion. A small enlargement, the vas deferens ampulla (Table 3), formed  
162 prior to the attachment to the urethral parenchyma (Fig. 1a) and extended to the ejaculatory  
163 ostium.

164 Histologically, the vas deferens and ampulla had a pleated lumen lined with pseudostratified  
165 columnar epithelium containing small numbers of short stereocilia, slightly vacuolated, weakly  
166 stained cytoplasm and round shaped nuclei. The thin tunica submucosa consisted of a thin basal  
167 lamina surrounded by moderate amounts of fibrovascular tissue and smooth muscle cells. The  
168 muscle layer comprised two smooth muscle strata with fibres arranged in a circular or longitudinal  
169 orientation-the inner and outer stratum respectively (Fig. 3e,g). The lumen was wider and muscle  
170 layers clearly thicker at the ampulla compared to the vas deferens (Fig. 3h,i).

171

#### 172 Vesicular Gland

173 Vesicular gland lobes were similar in size (Table 4) and projected dorsolaterally to the neck of the  
174 urinary bladder. Each lobe had a craniodorsally directed free extremity and a caudoventral extremity  
175 in close relationship with prostate gland lobes, along with dorsal and ventral convex lobed surfaces  
176 and two borders - a convex lateral border and a medial, slightly concave border in contact with the  
177 vas deferens ampulla (Fig. 1a).

178 Each lobe was enveloped in large amounts of fibrovascular tissue consisting of dense connective  
179 tissue with longitudinally or transversally oriented fibres. Moderate amounts of smooth muscle  
180 fibres and small numbers of adipocytes were also noted; these encapsulated the organ and formed  
181 the stroma, supporting and dividing the gland into lobes lined with pseudostratified epithelium.  
182 Large amounts of amorphous material were observed in the glandular lumen (Fig. 4e,f).

183

#### 184 Prostate Gland

185 The prostate corresponded to a small (Table 4), compact, smooth and dorsoventrally flattened  
186 gland located caudal to vesicular gland lobes and dorsal to the pelvic urethra. Right and left prostate  
187 lobes were separated by a discrete midline sulcus and did not envelop the urethra (Fig. 1a).

188 The organ had a free dorsal surface, a ventral surface in direct contact with the pelvic urethra  
189 and two free lateral borders - a cranial border in close contact with vesicular gland lobes and one  
190 slightly convex caudal border (Fig. 1a).

191 Large amounts of fibrovascular tissue consisting of compact connective tissue, moderate  
192 amounts of smooth muscle fibres and small numbers of adipocytes encapsulated the prostate and  
193 formed the gland stroma. The stroma provided support and gave rise to several lobules containing  
194 tubuloalveolar gland acini with digitiform projections supported by a delicate fibrovascular stroma,  
195 which determined variable lumen width (Fig. 4a-c). Glandular lining consisting of a single layer of  
196 polyhedral to columnar cells with finely stippled, weakly stained cytoplasm and round nuclei  
197 predominantly located at the cell base. Small amounts of amorphous to granular material consistent  
198 with serous content were found in the glandular lumen (Fig. 4d).

199 In four specimens a thick septum of dense connective tissue completely separated prostate lobes  
200 (Fig. 4c) while, in the three remaining specimens, prostate lobes were connected by glandular tissue  
201 (Fig. 4b). Prostate gland duct systems were surrounded by stroma and lined with polyhedral cells  
202 forming a pseudostratified or transitional epithelium (Fig. 4b,c).

203

#### 204 Bulbourethral Glands

205 The small (Table 4), round-shaped, smooth bulbourethral glands lied dorsoventrally to the  
206 terminal portion of the pelvic urethra and attached caudally to the root of the penis (Fig. 1a).

207 Histologically, each gland was covered with small amounts of longitudinally and transversally  
208 oriented collagen and skeletal muscle fibres intermingled with moderate amounts of fibrovascular  
209 stroma and sparse smooth muscle cells (Fig. 4g). The stroma gave rise to a lobed pattern  
210 characterized by thinly separated lobes formed by multiple tubules and acini comprising a single



211 layer of weakly stained columnar cells with round shaped to flattened nuclei located close to their  
212 base. Small amounts of amorphous material were observed within some tubular units (Fig. 4g,h).  
213 Bulbourethral duct systems were histologically similar to prostate gland duct systems (Fig. 4g).

214

#### 215 Pelvic Urethra

216 The long, tubular pelvic urethra (Table 3) was divided into three well-defined portions, a very  
217 short pre-prostatic portion extending from the inner urethral ostium to the caudal border of the  
218 prostate, a prostatic portion in close contact with the prostate gland and extending to its caudal  
219 border, and a membranous portion limited by the penile root (Fig. 1a, 4a-c,g). From this point, the  
220 so-called penile urethra travelled along the penile shaft to end at the level of the external urethral  
221 orifice (Fig. 5h).

222 All three portions of the pelvic urethra were lined with transition or pseudostratified epithelium.  
223 The lamina propria contained large amounts of fibrovascular tissue composed of dense connective  
224 tissue, moderate numbers of vessels and smooth muscle fibres and small numbers of adipocytes.  
225 Skeletal muscle fibres arranged in a circular (deep) or longitudinal fashion (superficial) were noted  
226 superficial to the connective tissue; these were covered with an adventitious tunic consisting of  
227 modest amounts of loose connective tissue and multiple blood vessels (Fig. 4a-c,g,i).

228

#### 229 Penis

230 The penis was elongated and cylindrical in shape and comprised a broad root, a shaft and a free  
231 portion. The shaft was slightly wider than the free portion, which was covered by the prepuce so  
232 that only the apical extremity could be visualized through the preputial ostium (Table 3) (Fig. 1a-c).

233 The most prominent portion of the penis corresponded to the voluminous, cranioventrally  
234 elongated root formed by the bulbus penis. This structure lied between both well-developed  
235 ischiocavernosus muscles and was covered by bulbospongiosus muscle fibres (Fig. 1a).

236 The penile shaft was cylindrical, uniform in girth and somewhat flattened in a laterolateral  
237 direction. Cross section revealed a single corpus cavernosus and a corpus spongiosus enclosing the  
238 penile urethra. The inner preputial lamina marked the limit between the shaft and the free portion  
239 of the penis (Fig. 1a,b).

240 The scarcely pigmented free portion of the penis was characterized by large numbers of papillae  
241 giving rise to one or two rigid, brownish and caudally directed spicules consistent with type 1  
242 spicules described by Dixson (2012). The apex of the penis lacked a distinct glans (Fig. 1a,b).

243 Histologically, the corpus spongiosus of the ventrally located bulbus penis consisted of a venous  
244 plexus supported by moderate amounts of loose connective tissue and smooth muscle fibres. Other  
245 structures recognized were the penile urethra enclosed by the corpus spongiosus and lined with  
246 transition or pseudostratified epithelium, and two corpora cavernosa located dorsal to the penile  
247 urethra; these had similar structure to the corpus spongiosus and were separated by a thick septum  
248 of dense connective tissue. A thick penile tunica albuginea containing large amounts of dense  
249 connective tissue consisting of longitudinally and transversally arranged collagen fibres surrounded  
250 the corpora cavernosa and corpus spongiosus of the penis. This tunic was covered with thick layers  
251 of longitudinally and transversally arranged skeletal muscle fibres intermingled with and surrounded  
252 by small amounts of fibrovascular tissue (Fig. 5a,b).

253 Cross section of the penile shaft revealed a single, nonseptated corpus cavernosus and a corpus  
254 spongiosus. Both structures were well-developed and contained numerous vessels demarcated by  
255 stroma consisting of fibrovascular and loose connective tissue surrounded by a penile tunica  
256 albuginea similar to the one found at the root. Moderate amounts of connective tissue containing  
257 veins, arteries and nerves completely surrounded the penis external to this tunic (Fig. 5c). The  
258 ventrally positioned penile urethra was enclosed by the corpus spongiosus and lined with transition  
259 or pseudostratified epithelium (Fig. 5c).

260 The free portion of the penis contained a superficial layer of paved epithelium (Fig. 4d-i). Type 1  
261 spicules arising from epidermal or dermal projections into the epidermis and containing multiple  
262 layers of keratin, or presenting as corneal pearls, were found in multifocal areas of this epithelium  
263 (Fig. 5d-h). The superficial dermis consisted of small amounts of loose connective tissue, while the  
264 deep dermis contained dense connective tissue (Fig. 5g) with large numbers of collagen fibres and  
265 small numbers of vessels and nerves. Large numbers of nerves and moderate numbers of venous  
266 plexi were found at the transition to the tunica albuginea, particularly at the dorsal and ventrolateral  
267 portions of the penis (Fig. 5d-f). In a deeper plane, the tunica albuginea surrounded the corpora  
268 cavernosa and corpus spongiosus (Fig. 5d-f,i). The penile urethra remained within the corpus  
269 spongiosus and was lined with transition or pseudostratified epithelium to the level of the external  
270 ostium of the urethra, located caudoventral to the apical portion of the penis (Fig. 5d-f,h,i). Paved  
271 epithelium lined the penile urethra from this point (Fig. 5h).

272 At the level of the free portion of the penis, the corpus cavernosus was gradually and largely  
273 replaced by fibrous connective and adipose tissue; at its proximal end, small amounts of  
274 fibrocartilaginous (Fig. 5d-f,h,i) tissue gave rise to a small penile bone dorsomedial to the penile  
275 urethra (Fig. 5f,h,i). This microscopic, semiconical baculum was externally formed by mature bone

276 tissue consisting of bone matrix, osteocytes and osteoblasts and surrounded by thin layers of  
277 collagen, fibroblasts and cartilaginous tissue and, more superficially, by compact connective tissue  
278 containing moderate numbers of vessels and nerves. The central portion of the penile bone  
279 consisted of well vascularized trabecular bone with dense ossification foci and adipose tissue (Fig.  
280 5f,h,i).

281

## 282 **DISCUSSION**

283

284 *Mico marcai* had similar internal and external genital organs to other genera of primates such as  
285 *Callimico goeldii*, *Simia entellus* (*Presbytis entellus entellus*), *Sapajus apella*, *Macaca radiata*,  
286 *Chlorocebus aethiops*, and to monkeys in the genus *Hylobates* (Hill, 1959; Hill & Kanagasuntheram,  
287 1959; David & Ramaswami, 1971; Teixeira, 2005; Lebelo, 2007; Prakash, Suresh, & Prithiviraj, 2009;  
288 Dixson, 2012). However, proportions differed, as this anatomical feature is directly related to body  
289 weight (Harcourt, Purvis & Liles, 1995).

290 *Mico marcai* testes were proportionally smaller compared to body weight, a feature consistent  
291 with monogamous mating behaviour (Harcourt et al., 1981; Harcourt, Purvis & Liles, 1995). Similar  
292 to other *Mico* species, *Mico marcai* social units observed in nature consisted of four individuals on  
293 average (Ennes, Nunes & Bastos, 2013). However, lack of genetic evidence precludes conclusive  
294 statements regarding the monogamous mating behavior of free-ranging marmosets at this stage  
295 (Garber et al., 2015).

296 Lower Leydig cell density is thought reflect seasonal reproductive behaviour (Bansode,  
297 Chowdhury, & Dhar, 2003). Low numbers of Leydig cells in the testes studied may, therefore,  
298 suggest seasonal reproductive behaviour of *Mico marcai*. The fact that not all seminiferous tubules  
299 in the sample studied contained sperm cells supports this hypothesis.

300 According to Anderson and Dixson (2009), vesicular and prostate gland size may be directly  
301 related to relative testicular size in primates, i.e., animals with larger testicles are expected to have  
302 larger glands. Well-developed glands are therefore consistent with multimale-multifemale mating  
303 systems such as in *Saimiri*, which vesicular glands measure up to seven centimetres in length (Hill,  
304 1960). Vesicular glands are comparatively less developed in *Mico marcai* (approximately 1 cm long)  
305 and other monogamous genera such as *Callimico*, *Callithrix*, *Saguinus* and *Aotus*, and vestigial in  
306 *Callicebus* and *Pithecia* (Hill, 1959; Dixson, 1998).

307 Such as in Neotropical and Old World Primates, *Mico marcai* vesicular glands corresponded to  
308 lobed, pleated structures lined with pseudostratified columnar epithelium and arising directly from

309 the pelvic urethra (Hill, 1960; Prakash, Suresh, & Prithiviraj, 2009). In contrast with descriptions  
310 given by Teixeira (2005) and Prakash, Suresh, & Prithiviraj (2009), the prostate gland lied dorsal to  
311 the pelvic urethra and therefore did not envelop or penetrate the urethral wall (Hill &  
312 Kawagasuntheram, 1959; David & Ramaswami, 1971; Oelrich, 1978; Ganzer et al., 2004; Mubiru et  
313 al., 2007).

314 *Mico marcai* prostate had two lobes positioned on either side of a shallow longitudinal groove,  
315 different from other primates (e.g. *Ateles*, *Callicebus*, *Cercocebus*, *Erythrocebus*, *Hylobates*, *Macaca*,  
316 *Pan*, *Papio* and *Saimiri*), in which prostate lobes lie craniocaudal to the pelvic urethra and are  
317 macroscopically separated by a transverse groove (Lewis et al., 1981; Mubiru et al., 2007),. In four  
318 specimens in this study, this groove was continuous with a thick median septum of compact  
319 connective tissue, which completely divided the gland. No mention of this feature has been found  
320 in the literature. In the three remaining specimens, this separation was absent and the glandular  
321 tissue distributed between both gland lobes in a continuous fashion.

322 The presence of a physical barrier between gland lobes in *Mico marcai* is not reflected in  
323 histology: tubuloalveolar acini were similar between lobes, a typical feature of this species. David  
324 and Ramaswami (1971), Lewis et al. (1981) and Mubiru et al. (2007) reported larger irregular acini  
325 in the cranial prostate lobe in Neotropical and Old World monkeys, compared to a more uniform  
326 pattern in the caudal lobe. However, in spite of morphological differences between species, the  
327 prostate function is thought to be similar.

328 The small bulbourethral glands of *Mico marcai* were similar in shape to those of *Callimico goeldii*  
329 and *Gorilla* and similar in size to those of *Callimico goeldii* (Hill, 1959; Oelrich, 1978). Prakash, Suresh,  
330 & Prithiviraj (2009) were the only authors to associate the rudimentary size of these glands to the  
331 polyandrous mating system described in *Macaca radiata*, suggesting a functional compensatory  
332 effect - namely the production of larger volumes of fluid by the developed portion of the vesicular  
333 glands for sperm cell transport and formation a solid cervicovaginal clot. Histological confirmation  
334 of fully functional parenchyma in *Mico marcai* suggests this compensatory effect does not occur in  
335 this species, despite small bulbourethral gland size.

336 Just as in *Callimico goeldii* and *Pan troglodytes*, the tail of the epididymis of *Mico marcai*, although  
337 smaller, was more prominent than the head and round rather than triangular in shape, while the  
338 epididymal body was narrow and thin (Hill, 1959; Martin & Gould, 1981). Histological findings in this  
339 species were similar to descriptions given of genera *Macaca* and *Pan*, including the progressive  
340 reduction in height of the pseudostratified epithelium overlying the head and tail of the epididymis,  
341 the presence of stereocilia in all epididymal segments and the collection of sperm cells within the

342 epididymal tail (Ramos & Dym, 1977; Alsum & Hunter, 1978; Smithwick & Young, 1997; Lebelo,  
343 2007).

344 In the specimens studied, total vas deferens length was similar to *Callimico goeldii* (Hill, 1959),  
345 but differed from these and other primates due to the presence of a discrete enlargement of its  
346 final portion (the ampulla), where the muscle layer was notably thicker (Ramos & Dym, 1977;  
347 Ramos, 1979; Alsum & Hunter, 1978; Smithwick & Young, 1997). However, as in genus *Macaca*, the  
348 vas deferens and ampulla were lined with pseudostratified columnar epithelium of homogeneous  
349 height (Ramos, 1979) in spite of differences in wall thickness and lumen width, suggesting  
350 differences are limited to macroscopic features.

351 Stereocilia are thought to greatly increase vas deferens surface area and sperm cell storage  
352 capacity (Schimming, 2001). Small numbers of short stereocilia observed in *Mico marcai* may,  
353 therefore, suggest sperm cell storage to be a function of the highly pleated mucosa, particularly at  
354 the level of the ampulla. Similar features have been described at the terminal portion of the vas  
355 deferens (Schimming; 2001).

356 Gross examination of the pelvic urethra of specimens dissected in this study revealed a different  
357 pattern from descriptions given of *Callimico goeldii*, with total length corresponding to  
358 approximately 30% of the length described for that species; also, as in *Hylobates*, the organ was  
359 straight rather than s-shaped (Hill, 1959; Hill & Kawagasuntheram, 1959). Similar to *Sapajus apela*  
360 (Teixeira, 2005), no spongy erectile tissue was found in the wall of the pelvic urethra in *Mico*  
361 *marcai*.

362 Morphological and histological features of the penis also vary widely between primates. Great  
363 morphological diversity clearly demonstrates that, different from monogamous species such as  
364 *Callitrichidae* (*Callithrix*, *Saguinus*, *Cebuella*) and monkeys in the genera *Aotus* and *Callicebus*  
365 (Dixon, 1987), non-gregarious species or multimale–multifemale groups tend to present a larger  
366 glans, a baculum and numerous large, well-developed, keratinized spicules. *Mico marcai* may,  
367 therefore, be included in the first group, given the presence of small penile spicules, microscopic  
368 baculum and proportionally smaller testes and accessory genital glands (Harcourt et al., 1981).

369 The presence of type 1 spicules in the free portion of the penis of *Mico marcai* is thought to be a  
370 feature common to most *Callitrichidae* (genera *Mico*, *Callibella*, *Callithrix*, *Callimico* and  
371 *Leontopithecus*), which has not been described in genera *Cebuella* and *Saguinus* (Perkins, 1969;  
372 Hershkovitz, 1977; Dixon, 2012; Weber et al., 2016).

373 The small-sized baculum is consistent with descriptions given of all other *Callitrichidae* and  
374 primates in genera *Aotus* and *Pithecia*; this bone is lacking in monkeys in genera *Cacajao*, *Chiropotes*,

375 *Ateles*, *Lagothrix* and *Alouatta* (Hershkovitz, 1977; Dixson, 2012; Weber et al., 2016). Despite its  
376 small size, *Mico marcai* baculum was histologically similar to that of *Sapajus apella* and other  
377 *Callitrichidae* (Hershkovitz, 1977; Teixeira et al., 2015; Weber et al., 2016).

378 As in genera *Pan* and *Sapajus* (*Sapajus apella*) (Cold & McGrath, 1999; Teixeira et al., 2015), the  
379 corpus cavernosus of the penis of *Mico marcai* consisted of a single structure, in contrast with the  
380 paired structure described in genera *Macaca*, *Papio*, *Chlorocebus*, *Brachyteles* and *Callibella* (Cold  
381 & McGrath, 1999; Dixson, Pissinatti, & Anderson, 2004; Lebelo, 2007; Weber et al., 2016). However,  
382 the corpus cavernosus of the penis did not differ histologically between *Mico marcai* and *Callibella*  
383 *humilis*, except for the for the fact that the connective tissue septum arising from the tunica  
384 albuginea split the corpus cavernosus into two portions all along the penis in the latter species  
385 (Weber et al., 2016).

386 Different from *Macaca radiata* (Prakash, Suresh, & Prithiviraj; 2009), the dartos tunic could not  
387 be identified in the scrotum of the specimens studied, supporting findings of Beattie (1927) and  
388 Teixeira (2005) regarding *Callithrix jacchus* and *Sapajus apela* respectively. In contrast, similar to  
389 *Callithrix argentata* (Perkins, 1969; Hershkovitz, 1977), *Callithrix jacchus* (Sutcliffe & Poole, 1978)  
390 and *Saguinus fuscicollis* (Zeeler et al., 1988), large numbers of sebaceous glands were observed.

391 This is the first descriptive and comparative analyses of male genitalia of *Mico marcai*. Although  
392 we identified similarities of the material analyzed here with those anatomical characteristics found  
393 for other primates, there are some particularities of shape and size of the genitalia of this marmoset.  
394 The testicles and accessory glands associated with the small pelvic urethra and the remarkable  
395 presence of keratinized spines and its penile bone (crotch) are evidences that support a  
396 monogamous reproductive behavioral system. Data presented are a baseline for further  
397 morphological descriptions and for studies in primate reproductive biology.

398

#### 399 **(viii) Acknowledgements**

400

401 The authors thank the Mamirauá Institute for Sustainable Development. F.E.S. also thanks to  
402 Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) for the scholarship (CNPq  
403 200502/2015-8). Gustavo Henrique Lima de Siqueira is a scholarship from Coordenação de  
404 Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal do Nível Superior (CAPES).

405

#### 406 **(x) References**

407

- 408 Alperin, R., 1993: *Callithrix argentata* (Linnaeus, 1771): Considerações taxonômicas e descrição de  
409 subespécie nova. Boletim do Museu Paraense Emílio Goeldi, Série Zoologia. **9**, 317-328.
- 410 Alsum, D. J., & Hunter, A. G., 1978: Regional Histology and Histochemistry of the Ductus Epididymis  
411 in the Rhesus Monkey (*Macaca mulatta*). Biology of Reproduction. **19**, 1063-  
412 1069.[doi:10.1095/biolreprod19.5.1063](https://doi.org/10.1095/biolreprod19.5.1063)
- 413 Anderson, M. J., & Dixson, A. F., 2009: Sexual selection affects the sizes of the mammalian prostate  
414 gland and seminal vesicles. Current Zoology. **55**, 1-  
415 8.<http://www.actazool.org/temp/%7B2123AE0E-578C-4889-96BE-CF67C32F800B%7D.pdf>
- 416 Bansode, F. W., Chowdhury, S. R., & Dhar, J. D., 2003: Seasonal changes in the seminiferous  
417 epithelium of rhesus and bonnet monkeys. Journal of Medical Primatology. **32**, 170-  
418 177.[doi:10.1034/j.1600-0684.2003.00020.x](https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-0684.2003.00020.x)
- 419 Beattie, J., 1927: The Anatomy of the Common Marmoset (*Hapale jacchus* Kuhl). Proceedings of the  
420 Zoological Society of London. **97**, 593-718.[doi:10.1111/j.1469-7998.1927.tb07430.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7998.1927.tb07430.x)
- 421 Cold C.J., & McGrath K. A., 1999: Anatomy and histology of the penile and clitoral prepuce in  
422 primates. In: Denniston G.C., Hodges F.M., & Milos M.F. (Eds.) Male and Female Circumcision,  
423 New York Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers. [http://www.cirp.org/library/anatomy/cold-](http://www.cirp.org/library/anatomy/cold-mcgrath/)  
424 [mcgrath/](http://www.cirp.org/library/anatomy/cold-mcgrath/)
- 425 David, G. F. X., & Ramaswami, L. S., 1971: Reproductive systems of the north indian langur (*Presbytis*  
426 *entellus entellus dufresne*). Journal of Morphology. **135**, 99-129.[doi:10.1002/jmor.1051350107](https://doi.org/10.1002/jmor.1051350107)
- 427 Dixson, A. F., 1987: Observations on the evolution of the genitalia and copulatory behaviour in male  
428 primates. Journal of Zoology. **213**, 423-443.[doi:10.1111/j.1469-7998.1987.tb03718.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7998.1987.tb03718.x)
- 429 Dixson, A. F., 1989: Sexual selection, genital morphology and copulatory behaviour in male Galagos.  
430 International Journal of Primatology. **10**, 47-55.  
431 <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/BF02735703>
- 432 Dixson, A. F., 1998: Sexual selection and evolution of the seminal vesicles in primates. Folia  
433 Primatologica. **69**, 300-306.[doi:10.1159/000021643](https://doi.org/10.1159/000021643)
- 434 Dixson, A. F., Pissinatti, A., & Anderson, M. J., 2004: Observations on genital morphology and  
435 anatomy of a hybrid male muriqui (genus *Brachyteles*). Folia Primatologica. **75**, 61-  
436 69.[doi:10.1159/000076264](https://doi.org/10.1159/000076264)
- 437 Dixson, A. F., 2012: Primate Sexuality: Comparative Studies of the Prosimians, Monkeys, Apes and  
438 Humans. New York: Oxford University Press, 343.
- 439 Eberhard, W. G., 1985: Sexual Selection and Animal Genitalia. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.

440 Eberhard, W. G., 2010: Evolution of genitalia: theories, evidence, and new directions. *Genetica*. **138**,  
441 5–18.[doi:10.1007/s10709-009-9358-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10709-009-9358-y)

442 Ennes, F., Nunes, H. G. L., & Bastos, A., 2013: Rediscovery of Marca's marmoset and the challenges  
443 for its conservation. *Oryx*. **47**, 323–327.[doi:10.1017/S0030605313000938](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0030605313000938)

444 Fooden, J., 1976: Provisional classification and key to living species of macaques (Primates: Macaca).  
445 *Folia Primatologica*. **25**, 225-236.[doi:10.1159/000155715](https://doi.org/10.1159/000155715)

446 Ganzer, R., Köhler, D., Neuhaus, J., Dorschner, W., & Stolzenburg, J. U., 2004: Is the Rhesus Monkey  
447 (*Macaca mulatta*) Comparable to Humans? Histomorphology of the Sphincteric Musculature of  
448 the Lower Urinary Tract Including 3D-reconstruction. *Anatomia, Histologia, Embryologia*. **33**,  
449 355–361.[doi:10.1111/j.1439-0264.2004.00576.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0264.2004.00576.x)

450 Garber, P. A., & Rehg, J. A. 1999: The ecological role of the prehensile tail in white-faced capuchins  
451 (*Cebus capucinus*).[doi:10.1002/\(SICI\)1096-8644\(199911\)110:3<325::AID-AJPA5>3.0.CO;2-D](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1096-8644(199911)110:3<325::AID-AJPA5>3.0.CO;2-D)

452 Garber, P. A., Porter, L. M., Spross, J., & Di Fiore, A., 2015: Tamarins: Insights into monogamous and  
453 non-monogamous single female social and breeding systems. *American Journal of Primatology*.  
454 **78**, 298–314.[doi:10.1002/ajp.22370](https://doi.org/10.1002/ajp.22370)

455 Harcourt, A. H., Harvey, P. H., Larson, S., & Gshort, R.V., 1981: Testis weight, body weight and  
456 breeding system in primates. *Nature*. **293**, 55- 57.[doi:10.1038/293055a0](https://doi.org/10.1038/293055a0)

457 Harcourt, A. H., & Gardiner, J., 1994: Sexual selection and genital anatomy of male primates.  
458 *Proceedings of the Society B*. **255**, 47-53.[doi:10.1098/rspb.1994.0007](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.1994.0007)

459 Harcourt A. H., Purvis A., & Liles L., 1995: Sperm competition: Mating system, not breeding season,  
460 affects testes size of primates. *Functional Ecology*. **9**, 468-476.[doi:10.2307/2390011](https://doi.org/10.2307/2390011)

461 Hershkovitz, P., 1977: Living New World monkeys (Platyrrhini): with an introduction to Primates,  
462 Vol. **1**. Chicago, IL:Chicago University Press, 1117.

463 Hershkovitz, P., 1993: Male external genitalia of non-prehensile tailed South American monkeys.  
464 Part I. Subfamily Pitheciinae, family Cebidae. *Fieldiana: Zoology*. **73**, 1-  
465 17.[doi:10.5962/bhl.title.3265](https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.3265)

466 Hill, W. C. O., 1959: The Anatomy of *Callimico goeldii* (Thomas): A Primitive American Primate.  
467 *Transactions of the American Philosophical Society. New Series*. **49**, Part 5, 1-  
468 116.[doi:10.2307/1005807](https://doi.org/10.2307/1005807)

469 Hill, W. C. O., 1960: Primates, Comparative Anatomy and Taxonomy. Volume IV. Cebidae. Part A.  
470 Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press, 523.



471 Hill, W. C. O., & Kanagasuntheram, R., 1959: The male reproductive organs in certain gibbons  
472 (*Hylobatidae*). American Journal of Physical Anthropology. **17**, 227–  
473 241.[doi:10.1002/ajpa.1330170308](https://doi.org/10.1002/ajpa.1330170308)

474 International Comitee On Veterinary Gross Anatomical Nomenclature, 2017: Nomina Anatomica  
475 Veterinaria. 6ed. Hannover, Columbia, Gent, Sapporo. 177. [http://www.wava-amav.org/wava-](http://www.wava-amav.org/wava-documents.html)  
476 [documents.html](http://www.wava-amav.org/wava-documents.html)

477 Kobayashi S., 1995: A phylogenetic study of titi monkeys, genus *Callicebus*, based on cranial  
478 measurements: I. Phyletic groups of *Callicebus*. Primates. **36**, 101–20.  
479 <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/BF02381918>

480 Lebelo, S. L., 2007: The structure of the reproductive system in the male vervet monkey, *Chlorocebus*  
481 *aethiops*, with special reference to spermatogenesis. (Doctoral thesis in Medical BioSciences,  
482 Faculty of Natural Sciences, West Cape University, West Cape, South Africa).  
483 <http://hdl.handle.net/11394/3675>

484 Lewis, R. W., Kim, J. C. S., Irani, D., & Roberts, J. A., 1981: The prostate of the nonhuman primate:  
485 Normal anatomy and pathology. Prostate. **2**, 51–70.[doi:10.1002/pros.2990020106](https://doi.org/10.1002/pros.2990020106)

486 Lynch Alfaro, J. W., Silva-Júnior, J. S., & Rylands, A. B., 2012: How different are robust and gracile  
487 capuchin monkeys? An argument for the use of *Sapajus* and *Cebus*. American Journal of  
488 Primatology. **74**, 273–86. <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1002/ajp.22007>

489

490 Marroig, G., & Cheverud, J. M., 2001: A Comparison of phenotypic variation and covariation patterns  
491 and the role of phylogeny, ecology, and ontogeny during cranial evolution of new world monkeys.  
492 Evolution. **55**, 2576-2600. [https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/epdf/10.1111/j.0014-](https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/epdf/10.1111/j.0014-3820.2001.tb00770.x)  
493 [3820.2001.tb00770.x](https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/epdf/10.1111/j.0014-3820.2001.tb00770.x)

494 Marroig, G., & Cheverud, J. M., 2005: Size as a line of least evolutionary resistance: diet and adaptive  
495 morphological radiation in new world monkeys. Evolution. **95**, 1128-1142.  
496 <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/epdf/10.1111/j.0014-3820.2005.tb01049.x>

497 Martin, D. E., & Gould, K. G., 1981: The male ape genital tract and its secretions. In: Graham, C. E.  
498 (Ed). *Reproductive biology of the great apes*. New York: Academic Press. 127-162.[doi:](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-295020-9.50011-X)  
499 [10.1016/B978-0-12-295020-9.50011-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-295020-9.50011-X)

500 Mubiru, J. M., Hubbard, G. B., Dick Jr., E. J., Butler, S. D., Valente, A. J., Troyer, D. A., & Rogers, J.,  
501 2007: A preliminary study of the baboon prostate pathophysiology. Prostate. **67**, 1421-  
502 1431.[doi:10.1002/pros.20622](https://doi.org/10.1002/pros.20622)

503 Oelrich, T. M., 1978: Pelvic and perineal anatomy of the male Gorilla: Selected observations. The  
504 Anatomical Record. **191**, 433–445. doi:[10.1002/ar.1091910404](https://doi.org/10.1002/ar.1091910404)

505 Perkins, E. M., 1969: The skin of primates. XLI. The skin of the silver marmoset—*Callithrix* (= *Mico*)  
506 *Argentata*. American Journal of Physical Anthropology. **30**, 361–  
507 387. doi:[10.1002/ajpa.1330300306](https://doi.org/10.1002/ajpa.1330300306)

508 Prakash, S, Suresh, S., & Prithiviraj, E., 2009: Anatomical aspects of the male reproductive system in  
509 the bonnet monkey (*Macaca radiata*). Anatomical Science International. **84**, 53–  
510 60. doi:[10.1007/s12565-008-0007-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12565-008-0007-9)

511 Ramos, A. S. J., & Dym, M., 1977: Fine structure of the monkey epididymis. American Journal of  
512 Anatomy. **149**, 501-532. doi:[10.1002/aja.1001490407](https://doi.org/10.1002/aja.1001490407)

513 Ramos, A. S. J., 1979: Morphologic Variations Along the Length of the Monkey Vas Deferens.  
514 Archives of Andrology: Journal of Reproductive Systems. **3**, 187-  
515 196. doi:[10.3109/01485017908988404](https://doi.org/10.3109/01485017908988404)

516 Rylands, A. B., Schneider, H., Langguth, A., Mittermeier, R. A., Groves, C. P., & Rodríguez-Luna, E.,  
517 2000: An assessment of the diversity of New World Primates. Neotropical Primates. **8**, 61–93.  
518 [https://library.conservation.org/Published%20Documents/2000/An%20Assessment%20of%20](https://library.conservation.org/Published%20Documents/2000/An%20Assessment%20of%20the%20Diversity%20of%20New%20World%20Primates.pdf)  
519 [the%20Diversity%20of%20New%20World%20Primates.pdf](https://library.conservation.org/Published%20Documents/2000/An%20Assessment%20of%20the%20Diversity%20of%20New%20World%20Primates.pdf)

520 Rylands, A. B., Coimbra-Filho, A. F., & Mittermeier, R. A., 2009: The systematics and distributions of  
521 the marmosets (*Callithrix*, *Callibella*, *Cebuella*, and *Mico*) and callimico (*Callimico*) (*Callitrichidae*,  
522 Primates). In: Ford, S. M., Porter, L., & Davis, L. C. (Ed.). The smallest anthropoids: the  
523 marmoset/callimico radiation. New York, Springer. doi:[10.1007/978-1-4419-0293-1\\_2](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-0293-1_2)

524 Schimming, B. C., 2001: Morphological study of the vas deferens in the tufted capuchin monkeys,  
525 *Cebus apella*. Revista Chilena de Anatomia. **19**. doi:[10.4067/S0716-98682001000300013](https://doi.org/10.4067/S0716-98682001000300013)

526 Smithwick, E. B., & Young, L. G., 1997: Sequential histology of the adult chimpanzee epididymis.  
527 Tissue & Cell. **29**, 383±412. doi:[10.1016/S0040-8166\(97\)80026-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-8166(97)80026-2)

528 Stockley, P., 2002: Sperm competition risk and male genital anatomy: comparative evidence for  
529 reduced duration of female sexual receptivity in primates with penile spines. Evolutionary  
530 Ecology. **16**, 123-137. <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1023/A:1016323511091>

531 Sutcliffe, A. G., & Poole, T. B., 1978: Scent marking and associated behaviour in captive Common  
532 marmosets (*Callithrix jacchus jacchus*) with a description of the histology of scent glands. Journal  
533 of Zoology. **185**, 41-56. doi:[10.1111/j.1469-7998.1978.tb03312.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7998.1978.tb03312.x)

534 Sussman, R. W., & Kinzey, W. G., 1984: The ecological role of the callitrichidae: A review. American  
535 Journal of Physical Anthropology. **64**, 419-449.[doi:10.1002/ajpa.1330640407](https://doi.org/10.1002/ajpa.1330640407)

536 Teixeira, D. G., 2005: Estudo anatômico descritivo dos órgãos genitais masculinos do macaco-prego  
537 (*Cebus apella* Linnaeus, 1758). (Doctoral thesis in Anatomy of Wild and Domestic Animals, Faculty  
538 of Veterinary Medicine and Zootechny, University of Sao Paulo, Sao Paulo,  
539 Brazil).[doi:10.11606/T.10.2005.tde-20042007-152900](https://doi.org/10.11606/T.10.2005.tde-20042007-152900)

540 Teixeira, D. G., Hamlet, W. C., Guimarães, M. A. B. V., Morini, A. C., Araújo, K. P. C., Cury, F. S., Souza,  
541 A. F., Vidane, A. S., Ambrósio, C. E., & Miglino, M. A., 2015: Morphological tools for describing  
542 the male external genitalia of *Sapajus apella*. Zoological Science. **39**, 97-  
543 104.[doi:10.2108/zs140175](https://doi.org/10.2108/zs140175)

544 Weber, H. A, Santana, M. I. S, Ennes, F., & Araújo, R., 2016: Descrição morfológica macroscópica e  
545 histológica dos órgãos genitais masculinos de Sagui-Anão (*Callibella humilis*). In: Anais do 22º  
546 Congresso de Iniciação Científica da UnB e 13º do Distrito Federal. Brasília: Universidade de  
547 Brasília. 431.[http://www.proic.unb.br/index.php?option=com\\_content&view=article&id=585:c  
548 ongresso-de-ic-2016-edital-2015-2016&catid=152:publicacoes&Itemid=101](http://www.proic.unb.br/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=585:congresso-de-ic-2016-edital-2015-2016&catid=152:publicacoes&Itemid=101)

549 Zeller, U., Eppe, G, Kuderling, I, & Kuhn, H., J., 1988: The anatomy of the circumgenital scent gland  
550 of *Saguinus fuscicollis* (Callitrichidae, Primates). Journal of Zoology. **214**, 141-  
551 156.<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7998.1988.tb04992.x>

552

553 **(xi) Tables**

554

555 Table 1 – *Mico marcai* (Mm) body and testicular weight measurements (g) and percentage of the  
556 testis weight in comparison with body weight (%).  
557

	Testicular Weight (TW)		Body Weight (BW)	% TW x BW		
	Right	Left		Right	Left	Mean
Mm1	0,57	0,52	390,0	0,146	0,133	0,140
Mm2	0,63	0,67	420,0	0,150	0,160	0,155
Mm3	0,56	0,60	395,0	0,142	0,152	0,147
Mm4	0,42	0,42	335,0	0,125	0,125	0,125
Mm5	0,44	0,47	350,0	0,126	0,134	0,130
Mm6	0,54	0,52	377,5	0,143	0,138	0,141
Mm7	0,65	0,58	410,0	0,159	0,141	0,150
Mean	0,54	0,54	382,5	0,142	0,140	0,141

558

559 Table 2 – *Mico marcai* (Mm) testicular and epididymal length (L), width (W) and thickness (T)  
560 measurements (mm).  
561

Organ	Testicles						Epididymis											
	Antimer	Right			Left			Right			Left							
Measure		L	W	T	L	W	T	Head			Tail			Head			Tail	
	L							W	T	L	W	T	L	W	T	L	W	T
Mm1	12,92	7,16	5,67	12,75	6,17	6,58	1,96	4,84	3,71	3,52	2,53	2,29	1,93	4,17	4,30	3,48	2,18	2,66
Mm2	15,53	9,15	6,38	15,85	9,42	6,43	2,35	6,19	4,17	4,24	3,23	2,58	2,40	6,37	4,20	4,32	3,32	2,59
Mm3	14,42	8,40	6,02	16,51	8,71	7,80	2,18	5,68	3,94	3,93	2,69	2,43	2,50	5,89	5,10	4,50	3,07	3,15
Mm4	12,20	7,25	4,95	12,08	7,18	4,90	1,85	4,90	3,24	3,33	2,56	2,00	1,83	4,86	3,20	3,30	2,53	1,98
Mm5	12,39	8,67	4,68	12,45	8,54	4,75	1,88	5,87	3,06	3,38	3,06	1,89	1,89	5,78	3,11	3,40	3,01	1,92
Mm6	12,74	8,06	7,64	12,93	8,18	7,47	1,93	5,45	4,99	3,47	2,84	3,09	1,96	5,53	4,88	3,53	2,89	3,02
Mm7	15,14	7,50	3,72	14,65	7,18	3,91	2,29	5,07	2,43	4,13	2,65	1,50	2,22	4,86	2,56	3,99	2,53	1,58
Mean	13,62	8,03	5,58	13,89	7,91	5,98	2,06	5,43	3,65	3,71	2,79	2,25	2,10	5,35	3,90	3,79	2,79	2,41

562

563

564 Table 3 – *Mico marcai* (Mm) vas deferens, vas deferens ampullae, pelvic urethra and penis length  
 565 (L) and diameter (D) measurements (mm).  
 566

Organ	Vas Deferens				Ampullae				Pelvic Urethra		Penis					
	Antimer	Right		Left		Right		Left			Root		Shaft		Free Portion	
Measure	L	D	L	D	L	D	L	D	L	D	L	D	L	D	L	D
Mm1	28,69	0,75	28,54	0,76	5,32	1,17	5,39	1,22	13,50	1,72	7,98	5,54	9,25	3,30	6,65	2,81
Mm2	28,43	0,72	28,32	0,75	6,55	1,31	6,66	1,38	13,50	1,72	9,85	5,46	10,15	3,50	6,42	3,02
Mm3	27,98	0,81	28,02	0,77	6,4	1,24	6,44	1,26	13,67	1,79	7,12	3,76	9,44	3,07	7,02	2,82
Mm4	28,74	0,77	28,82	0,74	5,66	1,18	5,71	1,20	15,66	1,89	9,26	5,92	9,76	3,97	7,95	3,30
Mm5	27,87	0,84	27,96	0,80	5,43	1,34	5,38	1,36	14,68	1,81	6,38	5,12	9,10	3,47	8,73	3,62
Mm6	29,01	0,79	28,92	0,81	5,37	1,45	5,25	1,37	14,99	1,83	9,01	5,89	9,60	4,16	8,18	3,7
Mm7	27,77	0,76	28,80	0,79	6,21	1,23	6,12	1,18	15,51	1,74	6,89	5,94	7,33	3,01	6,99	2,86
Mean	28,36	0,78	28,48	0,77	5,85	1,27	5,85	1,28	14,50	1,79	8,07	5,38	9,23	3,50	7,42	3,16

567

568 Table 4 – *Mico marcai* (Mm) vesicular, prostate and bulbourethral gland length (L), width (W) and  
 569 thickness (T) measurements (mm).  
 570

Organ	Vesicular Glands						Prostate						Bulbourethral Glands					
	Antimer	Right			Left			Right			Left			Right			Left	
Measure	L	W	T	L	W	T	L	W	T	L	W	T	L	W	T	L	W	T
Mm1	10,36	6,87	2,80	10,47	6,95	2,87	6,35	7,11	3,86	6,16	6,95	3,58	3,82	3,35	2,87	3,81	3,33	2,85
Mm2	10,26	6,79	2,69	10,24	6,78	2,74	5,01	6,01	3,69	5,31	6,27	3,74	3,83	3,34	2,85	3,82	3,33	2,84
Mm3	10,36	6,85	2,83	10,31	6,81	2,81	5,11	6,44	3,02	5,08	6,77	3,02	3,80	3,31	2,88	3,84	3,32	2,85
Mm4	10,02	5,83	2,67	9,98	5,78	2,65	6,27	7,61	3,31	6,16	7,55	3,81	3,81	3,33	2,86	3,83	3,31	2,86
Mm5	10,10	6,02	2,71	10,05	5,99	2,69	5,09	6,38	3,78	6,14	6,37	3,45	3,84	3,36	2,88	3,85	3,32	2,85
Mm6	10,40	6,72	2,72	10,2	6,67	2,64	4,98	6,11	3,72	5,22	6,13	3,63	3,81	3,34	2,85	3,82	3,34	2,83
Mm7	10,18	6,60	2,68	10,14	6,54	2,68	6,13	6,99	3,06	6,15	7,34	3,77	3,83	3,33	2,89	3,81	3,31	2,84
Mean	10,24	6,53	2,73	10,20	6,50	2,73	5,56	6,66	3,49	5,75	6,77	3,57	3,82	3,34	2,87	3,82	3,32	2,85

571

572

573 **(xii) Figure legends**

574

575 **Fig. 1.** Photograph of *Mico marcai* male genitalia. (a) Complete set of genital organs - testes,  
 576 epididymis, vas deferens, vas deferens ampulla, vesicular glands, prostate gland, pelvic urethra,  
 577 bulbourethral glands and root, shaft and free portion of the penis (scale: 1cm); (b) Free portion of

578 the penis – note apex and spicules (scale: 0.5cm); (c): Scrotum – note the wrinkled skin, raphe and  
579 close relationship with the prepuce (scale: 1cm). **Legend:** T: Testes; CapE: Head of the epididymis;  
580 CauE: Tail of the epididymis; VD: Vas deferens; AVD: Vas deferens ampulla; GV: Vesicular gland; GP:  
581 Prostate gland; GB: Bulbourethral glands; RP: Root of the penis; CP: Shaft of the penis; PLP: Free  
582 portion of the penis; APL: Apex of the free portion of the penis; PS: Penile spicules; S: Scrotum; Pre:  
583 Prepuce.

584

585 **Fig. 2.** Photomicrograph of *Mico marcai* scrotum, paratesticular tissues, prepuce, penis and testicle.  
586 (a) Scrotal skin, sebaceous and sweat glands, paratesticular tissues and testicular parenchyma –  
587 note the cremaster muscle surrounded by cremasteric fascia (blue circle) (TRI; 40x); (b) Prepuce,  
588 preputial cavity, preputial diverticulum (black circle), free portion of the penis and penile urethra  
589 (longitudinal section; HE, 100x); (c) Testicular parenchyma - note high density of seminiferous  
590 tubules and scarce interstitial tissue with low cellularity (HE, 40x); (d) Testicular parenchyma - note  
591 seminiferous tubules, male germ lineage, Sertoli (blue arrow) and Leydig (black arrow) cells (HE,  
592 40x). **Legend:** SwG: Sweat gland; SbG: Sebaceous gland; TA: Tunica albuginea; T: Testicular  
593 parenchyma; Pre: Prepuce; PC: Preputial cavity; PenU: Penile urethra; ST: Seminiferous tubules; I:  
594 Interstitium; Black star: support tissue; HE: Hematoxylin-Eosin; TRI: Masson's Trichrome.

595

596 **Fig. 3.** Photomicrograph of *Mico marcai* epididymis and vas deferens. (a) Head of the epididymis -  
597 note highly convoluted tubules (HE, 40x); (b) Head of the epididymis (HE, 400x); (c) Body of the  
598 epididymis and adjacent testicular parenchyma (HE, 40x); (d) Body of the epididymis (HE, 400x); (e)  
599 Tail of the epididymis, convoluted tubules and vas deferens (HE, 40x); (f) Tail of the epididymis (HE,  
600 400x); (g) Vas deferens – note pleated epithelium (red arrow), inner (blue double-headed arrow)  
601 and outer (yellow double-headed arrow) smooth muscle layers (TRI, 200x); (h) Vas deferens ampulla  
602 – note circular and longitudinal smooth muscle layers of the vas deferens wall (blue and yellow  
603 double arrows) (HE, 40x); (i) Vas deferens ampulla – note pleated epithelium (red arrow) and inner  
604 smooth muscle layer (blue double-headed arrow) (HE, 200x). **Legend:** CapE: Head of the epididymis;  
605 CorE: Body of the epididymis; CauE: Tail of the epididymis; PsE: Pseudostratified epithelium; L:  
606 Lumen; Sptz: sperm cells; T: Testicular parenchyma; VD: Vas deferens; AVD: Vas deferens ampulla;  
607 Black arrow: Stereocilia; Black star: Support tissue; HE: Hematoxylin-Eosin; TRI: Masson's Trichrome.

608

609 **Fig. 4.** Photomicrograph of *Mico marcai* pelvic urethra, prostate, vesicular and bulbourethral glands.  
610 (a) Pelvic urethra, prostate and vesicular glands – note muscle layers of the pelvic urethra (black  
611 double-headed arrow) (HE, 40x); (b) Prostate gland - relationship with the pelvic urethra; note  
612 interlobar connection (double blue arrow), glandular ducts (black arrow) and muscle layers of the  
613 pelvic urethra (black double-headed arrow) (HE, 40x); (c) Prostate gland - relationship with the pelvic  
614 urethra; note prostate lobe (blue circle), interlobar septum (green double-headed arrow), glandular  
615 ducts (black arrow) and muscle layers of the pelvic urethra (black double-headed arrow) (TRI, 40x);  
616 (d) Prostate gland – note simple glandular epithelium (blue arrow) (HE, 400x); (e) Vesicular gland -  
617 note vesicular gland lobe (red circle) and projection of the epithelium into the lumen (red star) (HE,  
618 40x); (f) Vesicular gland - note pseudostratified glandular epithelium (red arrow) and projection into  
619 the lumen (red star) (HE, 400x); (g) Bulbourethral gland - relationship with the pelvic urethra  
620 (longitudinal section); note bulbourethral gland lobe (yellow circle) and glandular ducts (black  
621 arrow) (HE, 40x); (h) Bulbourethral gland – note simple glandular epithelium (yellow arrow) (HE,  
622 400x); (i): Pelvic urethra at prostate level (HE, 200x). **Legend:** GV: Vesicular gland; GP: Prostate  
623 gland; GB: Bulbourethral gland; PelU: Pelvic urethra; L: Lumen; PsE: Pseudostratified epithelium of  
624 the pelvic urethra; Black star: Support tissue; HE: Hematoxylin-Eosin; TRI: Masson's Trichrome.

625

626 **Fig. 5.** Photomicrograph of *Mico marcai* penile structures. (a) Root of the penis - note corpus  
627 spongiosus, septated corpus cavernosus, tunica albuginea, septum and urethra (HE, 40x); (b) Root  
628 of the penis - note corpus spongiosus, septated corpus cavernosus, tunica albuginea, septum,  
629 urethra and muscle tissue (TRI, 40x); (c) Body of the penis - note single corpus cavernosus, tunica  
630 albuginea and urethra (TRI, 40x); (d) Free portion of the penis - note single corpus cavernosus, tunica  
631 albuginea, urethra, spicules and prepuce (HE, 40x); (e) Free portion of the penis showing the single  
632 corpus cavernosus as it is replaced (HE, 40x); (f) Free portion of the penis and penile bone (HE, 40x);  
633 (g) Skin overlying the free portion of the penis, with spicules (HE, 200x); (h) Free portion of the penis  
634 (longitudinal section) - note external urethral ostium and keratinized squamous epithelium (black  
635 circle) (HE, 40x); (i) Free portion of the penis (longitudinal section) (HE, 40x). **Legend:** PenU: penile  
636 urethra; CCP: Corpus cavernosus of the penis; CSP: Corpus spongiosus of the penis; TA: Tunica  
637 albuginea of the penis; Sep: Septum dividing the corpus cavernosus; M: Skeletal muscle; Black star:  
638 Support tissue; KE: Keratinized squamous epithelium overlying the glans; PS: Penile spicules; Black  
639 arrow: Nerves; Pre: Prepuce; OP: Penile bone; D: Dermis; APL: Apex of the free portion of the penis;  
640 HE: Hematoxylin-Eosin; TRI: Masson's Trichrome.  
641