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ABSTRACT

Biomimetic sulfur-deficient indium sulfide (In$_{2.77}$S$_4$) was synthesized by a template-assisted hydrothermal method using leaves of Mimosa pudica as a template for the first time. The effect of this template in modifying the morphology of the semiconductor particles was determined by physicochemical characterization, revealing an increase in surface area, decrease in microsphere size and pore size and an increase in pore volume density in samples synthesized with the template. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) analysis showed the presence of organic sulfur (S$_{e}$O/S$_{e}$C/S$_{e}$H) and sulfur oxide species (SO$_2$, SO$_3$, SO$_4^{2-}$) at the surface of the indium sulfide in samples synthesized with the template. Biomimetic indium sulfide also showed significant amounts of Fe introduced as a contaminant present on the Mimosa pudica leaves. The presence of these sulfur and iron species favors the photocatalytic activity for hydrogen production by their acting as a sacrificial reagent and promoting water oxidation on the surface of the templated particles, respectively. The photocatalytic hydrogen production rates over optimally-prepared biomimetic indium sulfide and indium sulfide synthesized without the organic template were 73 and 22 mmol g$^{-1}$, respectively, indicating an improvement by a factor of three in the templated sample. © 2018 Hydrogen Energy Publications LLC. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.
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**Introduction**

Hydrogen has emerged as a next-generation energy carrier, and in recent years photocatalytic water splitting using solar energy has been studied as a potential method for sustainable hydrogen production. Since Fujishima and Honda demonstrated that photocatalytic water splitting can be achieved using a photoelectrochemical cell containing a TiO₂ semiconductor anode, a large number of semiconductors have been developed for this purpose. Unfortunately, most of them are wide band gap semiconductors and only active under UV light irradiation [1–5]. To make the most of the abundant solar spectrum, the development of visible-light-driven photocatalysts is needed. Sulfides are attractive candidates due to their suitable band gaps and good catalytic activities for hydrogen production, with CdS being widely studied as a significant sulfide in this regard [6–9]. However, its photocatalytic efficiency is low due to recombination of charge carriers, and it is not stable under light irradiation because it suffers from photo-corrosion [10]. In₂S₃, a typical III–VI group chalcogenide with a band gap of 2.0–2.2 eV, has also been thoroughly investigated as a visible-light and non-toxic photocatalyst due to its stable chemical composition and high photocconductivity, properties that also make it useful for applications in photovoltaic solar cells [11–13]. There are three different crystalline structures of In₂S₃: α-In₂S₃, β-In₂S₃, or γ-In₂S₃, can be formed depending on the growth temperature. The β-In₂S₃ phase (typically sulfur-deficient InₓS₃₋ₓ) is the most stable structural form with a cubic or tetragonal structure [14]. Its structure is related to a spinel lattice with the cation vacancies located on either the octahedral or tetrahedral sites. The sulfur-deficient phase can be interpreted as a quasi-ternary compound formula: (In₃₋ₓ) (Inₓ)S₄, which consists of a unit cell made of In atoms, S atoms and S vacancies [15,16].

Among the many different methods used to process and synthesize inorganic materials, hydrothermal synthesis presents several advantages over more conventional techniques, such as, energy saving and cost effectiveness, simplicity, higher purity products, higher reaction rates, better nucleation control and better control of size and shape [17]. Moreover, desired morphologies can be obtained by using different synthetic strategies, like varying reaction temperature and time, or the incorporation of organic additives and templates to impart structural features. This allows the preparation of highly ordered low-dimensional arrays with morphologies directly determined by the templates [17–20]. Indium sulfide has been synthesized by the hydrothermal method, varying different parameters such as, reaction time, temperature, precursor reagents and additives or templates, with the aim of optimizing its photocatalytic activity for hydrogen evolution or the oxidation of different modelled water pollutants [21–25]. In these studies it was found that only the cubic crystal structure shows photocatalytic activity, while the tetragonal structure does not. Moreover, better activities were found with morphologies favoring the exposure of the (311) plane, which is more active for the photodegradation of dyes than the other planes of this structure [22,23]. Additionally, coupling with other materials such as In₂O₃ and In(OH)₃ that induce charge separation, and the design of architectures that enhance light absorption have been demonstrated to improve the photocatalytic performance of indium sulfide [24,25].

Many approaches have been developed to improve absorption of light in photocatalysis, such as doping with noble, transition or non-metals [26–28], and also adopting novel morphology designs. Interestingly, nature creates a diversity of biological structures and species that are currently used as templates to synthesize functional materials [29,30], such as bacteria, pollen grains, butterfly wings, diatoms, stems and leaves [31–37]. Plant leaves are a synergy of intricate structures in which light harvesting, photoinduced charge separation, and catalysis modules combine to perform photosynthesis, capturing the solar energy and splitting water into oxygen and hydrogen (in the form of reducing equivalents) [38,39]. Thus, coupling the leaf-like hierarchical structure onto the electronic structure of a semiconductor may offer a route to the development of new materials for solar energy harvesting and conversion. Based on this concept, we describe a simple and cost-effective method for the controlled synthesis of indium sulfide via a biomimetic method using a template-assisted hydrothermal process. The Mimosa pudica leaf was selected as the template because of its microstructure, naturally adapted for the efficient absorption of light (as is evident from its well-known sensitivity to sun light [40,41]), a feature that may be transferrable to the biomimetic material by adopting its morphology to increase light harvesting [42]. This microstructure has recently inspired other designs, like pressure sensors and self-organizing materials for applications in microfluidics, biosensors and water purification systems [43,44]. Moreover, extract from Mimosa pudica leaves and flowers is becoming a popular reducing and capping agent (or bio-template) and has already been used in the green synthesis of Ni, Co, Cu, Au, Ag, Fe and ZnO nanoparticles as well as for CaSiO₃:Pr⁴⁺ and CaSiO₃:Sm⁴⁺ nanophosphors [45–50].

**Experimental**

**Synthesis of biomimetic indium sulfide by a template-assisted hydrothermal method**

Mimosa pudica leaves were collected and washed first with ethanol in a sonicated bath for 15 min, and then with deionized water. The leaves were dried in air for 48 h, pulverized in an agate mortar and used as the template. The proper amount (see below) of indium acetate was dissolved in 40 mL of deionized water in a beaker and 2 mL of sulfuric acid (1:10) was added to adjust the pH to around 2 under stirring. Citric acid (0.2 g) was used as stabilizer agent in the reaction. Finally, thioacetamide and the treated Mimosa pudica leaves and flowers was becoming a popular reducing and capping agent (or bio-template) and has already been used in the green synthesis of Ni, Co, Cu, Au, Ag, Fe and ZnO nanoparticles as well as for CaSiO₃:Pr⁴⁺ and CaSiO₃:Sm⁴⁺ nanophosphors [45–50].
narrowing nitrogen atmosphere. As a comparison, indium sulfide powder was synthesized under the same conditions (including calcination) without the template, (denoted as S0). Additionally, two control samples containing 0.1 and 1 atomic percent of Fe were prepared by adding iron acetylacetonate to evaluate the influence of Fe on in the hydrogen production activity.

**Characterization**

The crystal structure was determined by X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) with a D8 Advance diffractometer using Cu Kα radiation ($\lambda = 1.5418$ Å). The morphology was observed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) using a JEOL JSM-6490-LV microscope adapted with an energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) system for the semi-quantitative determination of chemical compositions. Samples for scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM) were sonicated in methanol for 5 min and then drop cast on AGAR Scientific 400 mesh continuous carbon coated Cu support grids. Elemental mapping was conducted by STEM energy dispersive spectroscopy (STEM-EDS) using a FEI Titan G2 ChemiSTEM operating at 200 kV with 360 pA beam current and the quantification was corrected using the ZAF method. The specific surface area was determined using the nitrogen gas adsorption-desorption method and the Brunauer-Emmer-Teller (BET) model [51] was used to interpret the data. The steady state UV–Vis absorption spectra were recorded using a Cary 5000 UV–Vis–NIR spectrometer. Photoelectrochemical measurements were performed using the conventional three-electrode setup connected to an AUTOLAB PGSTAT 302 N potentiostat-galvanostat. In this configuration we used biomimetic indium sulfide (active area of 1 cm²) over an adhesive Cu tape as working electrode. Pt wire and an Ag/AgCl electrode were used as the counter and reference electrodes, respectively. The electrolyte was 0.5 M Na2SO4 aqueous solution with an excess of a template) are broader and less well defined. The specific surface area of biomimetic indium sulfide was estimated to be around 62.8, 59.8 and 28.4 nm (±0.2 nm) for S1, S2 and S3, respectively. This was calculated from the inelastic mean free path (IMFP), obtained from the TTP-2M formula, taking the sampling depth to be three times the IMFP [54]. The recorded data were corrected for the different synchrotron flux and photoionization cross sections [55] at different photon energies. Spin-orbit splitting values of 1.1 eV and 7.5 eV [56] between the S 2p_{3/2} and S 2p_{1/2} multiplets and between In 3d_{3/2} and In 3d_{5/2} components were used in the peak fitting, respectively, and the full width at half maximum (FWHM) was constrained to be the same between samples.

**Photocatalytic hydrogen evaluation**

The photocatalytic hydrogen production of the indium sulfide samples was evaluated using 4400 μW cm⁻² of 254 nm radiation provided by a UV pen-ray lamp. Experiments were performed at room temperature as follows: 0.20 g of the sample was added to 200 mL of deionized water in a batch-type reactor. Before the reaction started, nitrogen gas was bubbled for 10 min into the solution to deoxygenate the system. During the whole photocatalytic water splitting process (4 h), the samples were monitored using a TRACE GC ULTRA chromatograph with a thermal conductivity detector (TCD) and the hydrogen production was determined every 30 min.

**Results and discussion**

**Morphology and structure**

Fig. 1 shows the XRD pattern of the control and biomimetic indium sulfide. The XRD peaks around 2θ = 27.7°, 33.4°, 43.9° and 47.9° can be indexed to the (311), (400), (511) and (440) planes, respectively, of the cubic spinel structure In$_{2.77}$S$_{4}$ with lattice constant $a = 10.74$ Å consistent with previously reported values (JCPDS 01-088-2495) [57]. No characteristic peaks due to other impurities, such as In$_2$O$_3$ phases were observed.

As can be seen, biomimetic indium sulfide (S1, S2 and S3) has the same crystal structure as control indium sulfide (S0). However, a change in the crystallinity of the material was observed when varying the amount of template used in the synthesis. For S1 and S2 all diffraction peaks are narrow and sharp. In contrast, the peaks of S3 (which is the sample with an excess of a template) are broader and less well defined. The average crystal size of biomimetic indium sulfide was estimated using Scherrer’s equation [58] to be around 62.8, 59.8 and 28.4 nm (±0.2 nm) for S1, S2 and S3, respectively. This

**Fig. 1 – XRD patterns of control sample (S0) and biomimetic indium sulfide (S1, S2, S3).**
indicates that the average grain size of the biomimetic indium sulfide decreases significantly for the sample with the highest amount of Mimosa pudica. S1 and S2 have a similar grain size to S0 (60.2 ± 0.2 nm).

The SEM images in Fig. 2a reveal the morphology of the Mimosa pudica leaves used as a template. The leaf powder consists of spherical particles of different diameters in the range 1–3 μm with rough surfaces. The control sample S0 consists of spherical particles built up by many interconnected slightly bending flakes or sheets (consistent in dimension with the microcrystallite size determined by XRD), as shown in Fig. 2b. These microspheres show the ‘marigold-like’ superstructure characteristic of β-In$_{2.77}$S$_4$ [59]. The diameter of these particles ranges from less than 1 μm–5 μm. Biomimetic indium sulfide synthesized in the three different ratios (S1, S2 and S3) showed a similar superstructure, but as the amount of template increased, both the size of the microspheres and the size of the individual microcrystallites (as determined by XRD) was reduced (Fig. 2). The particle size of S2 is similar to the original Mimosa pudica powder particles. The STEM images in Fig. 3a and b shows the ‘marigold-like’ microspheres of samples S0 and S2, respectively. It can also be seen that the flakes that compose the microspheres are slightly smaller in the sample with template than in the control sample. In addition, the high resolution STEM images in the insets show interplanar distances corresponding to the (400) and (111) planes of the cubic spinel structure of β-In$_{2.77}$S$_4$ consistent with the structure observed by XRD. The In/S ratios obtained both by SEM-EDS and STEM-EDS analysis are in agreement with the stoichiometry of the In$_{2.77}$S$_4$ phase (In:S = 1:1.44), but greater amounts of sulfur were found in the templated sample. Trace amounts of Fe were also detected by STEM-EDS in sample S2 (about 1 atomic percent). This was evenly distributed over the sample, with particle sizes of a few nanometers, as mapped in Fig. 3b. These results, along with the atomic ratios obtained by the XPS analysis (section 3.4) are summarized in Table 1.
The particle size and morphology also have an effect on determining the surface area of the samples; the BET surface area, total pore volume and pore diameter obtained for S2 and the control sample (S0) are shown in Table 1. It is noteworthy that besides a larger surface area, S2 has smaller pore diameter but a larger pore volume density than S0. This implies that S2 contains a higher density of smaller pores than S0, which is likely to lead to an increase in the overall number of active sites. Thus is expected that in S2 a larger number of active sites will be present with a larger volume for the transport of reactants and products, both of which can improve the photocatalytic activity [59,60]. Overall, the effect of the template on the morphology is to decrease the size of the microcrystallite (as shown by XRD), decrease the size of the total pore volume density was taken from the N$_2$ volume adsorbed at P/P$_0$ = 0.990.

Table 1 – Atomic ratios obtained by SEM-EDS, STEM-EDS and XPS as well as the BET surface area, pore volume and pore diameter obtained from the nitrogen gas absorption-desorption method for samples S0 and S2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>SEM-EDS In:S:Fe (±0.1)</th>
<th>STEM-EDS In:S:Fe (±0.05)</th>
<th>XPS In:S:Fe (±0.1)</th>
<th>$S_{BET}$ (±0.1 m$^2$/g)</th>
<th>$V_{pore}^{a}$ (±0.01 cm$^3$/g)</th>
<th>$P_{diam}$ (±0.1 nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S0</td>
<td>1.0:1.5:0.0</td>
<td>1.00:1.59:0.00</td>
<td>1.0:1.0:0.0</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>22.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td>1.0:1.7:0.0</td>
<td>1.00:1.63:0.01</td>
<td>1.0:1.3:0.9</td>
<td>69.3</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>10.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a The total pore volume density was taken from the N$_2$ volume adsorbed at P/P$_0$ = 0.990.
Optical characterization

The UV–Vis absorption spectra of all the samples are shown in Fig. 4a. It can be observed that for the control sample (S0), the absorbance is at its maximum from ~230 to ~420 nm with an absorption edge at ~540 nm, after which absorption decreases at wavelengths longer than 600 nm. On the other hand, biomimetic indium sulfide showed a higher absorption in the range between ~230 and ~800 nm, i.e. from the UV–vis to the near infrared parts of the solar spectrum. This indicates that biomimetic indium sulfide is more effective in absorbing the solar spectrum than the untemplated semiconductor.

The optical band gap ($E_g$) of the untemplated indium sulfide was calculated using Tauc's formula [61].

$$a \gamma = \frac{A}{h} (h - E_g)^n \ ,$$

where $a$ is the absorption coefficient, $h$ is Planck's constant, $A$ is a proportionality constant, $\gamma$ is the frequency of the vibration calculated by $\gamma = c/\lambda$ (where $c$ is the velocity of light and $\lambda$ is the wavelength obtained from the spectra), and the value of $n$ is taken to be 2, appropriate to a semiconductor with an indirect band gap. The Kubelka-Munk function ($F(R)$) allows the optical absorbance to be approximated from its reflectance, which is proportional to the absorption coefficient. Thus, $a$ is substituted with $F(R)$ in Tauc's formula.

Fig. 4b shows the plot of $(F(R)h\gamma)^2$ vs photon energy (eV). Extrapolation of this line to the photon energy axis gives the semiconductor band gap for the untemplated sample to be 2.30 ± 0.01 eV, consistent with previous observations [62]. Band gaps for the templated samples cannot be estimated reliably due to the strong absorption in the visible part of the spectrum which we attribute to residual organic matter from the template (probed in more detail by XPS in section 3.4). A similar behavior has been observed in surface-complex-assisted sensitization of TiO$_2$, used to make it active under visible light illumination [63]. Although the presence of organic compounds does not change the band gap energy values of In$_{2.77}$S$_4$, their absorption in the visible and near infrared regions could be beneficial for the photocatalytic efficiency if the energy levels are favorably positioned for charge transfer as in dye-sensitized devices. The absorption edges

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assignment</th>
<th>Literature binding energy (eV)</th>
<th>Binding energies found in this work (±0.1 eV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| In$_2$S$_3$ | 161.8 [56,70] | 161.7 |
| SO$_2^-$ | 163.9 [70,71] | 163.4 |
| SO$_3^{2-}$ | 167.1 [70,71] | 166.8 |
| S–O/S–O–S–H | 162.3/162.0/162.2 [71] | 162.5 |
| In(OH)$_x$O$_y$ | 446.0 [73] | 446.3 |
| In(OH)$_3$ | 445.0 [70] | – |
| In$_2$O$_3$ | 444.3 [56] | – |
| In(C$_2$H$_5$O$_2$)$_3$ | 445.6 [74] | – |
| In(PO$_3$)$_3$ | 445.7 [56] | – |
| In(PO$_3$)$_4$ | 446.0 [56] | – |

* No values of binding energy for the In 3d components in indium (III) sulfite In$_2$(SO$_3$)$_3$ and indium (III) sulfate In$_2$(SO$_4$)$_3$ were found in the literature, so values for phosphates are shown instead since they are likely to be similar.
obtained for each templated material are shown along with the hydrogen evolution data in Table 4.

**Electrochemical characterization**

The transient photocurrent responses of the samples are shown in Fig. 5a. The control sample (S0) shows the highest photocurrent in comparison with the biomimetic samples, indicating a higher rate of photogeneration of charge carriers. Among biomimetic samples, S2 shows the highest photocurrent, while S3 shows the lowest. According to this, the photocurrent intensity decreases when an excess of Mimosa pudica is added to the synthesis. Although S0 shows the highest photocurrent amongst all the samples, no signs of charge extraction or accumulation (characterized by a transient peak in the photocurrent) are observed after the light is turned on. This suggests that in the absence of template, charge transfer to the water molecules is overcome by fast electron-hole-pair recombination which is the dominant process due to the slow kinetics of oxidation of water molecules.

In contrast with the untemplated control sample (S0), an anodic peak (a sharp peak in photocurrent) can be observed in the response of all biomimetic samples when the light is switched on, followed by an exponential decrease. This is strongly indicative of hole trapping at the surfaces of these samples. This is produced by the separation of electron-hole pairs at the semiconductor/electrolyte interface, when electrons diffuse to the electrode back contact, while holes are extracted by reduced species (hole acceptors) in the electrolyte. The efficient capture of holes by surface states causes an accumulation of photogenerated holes near to the surface. The decrease in photocurrent intensity shortly after the light is turned on is due to recombination of the photogenerated electrons with the accumulated holes at the surface until equilibrium is reached and the photocurrent becomes constant (a steady state is reached). When the light is turned off, the remaining accumulated holes recombine with free electrons causing electrons to be withdrawn from the external circuit, explaining the transient cathodic response (the sharp negative peaks in Fig. 5a).

The transient time constants obtained from the modulation of these photocurrent responses are 1.9, 6.2, 41.6 and 4.1 s, for S0, S1, S2 and S3, respectively. As these depend on the electron-hole recombination rate, longer time constants can indicate a more competitive water splitting reaction. We note that the longest electron-hole recombination time is achieved from sample S2.

Overall, the transient photocurrent responses of the samples suggest that fast electron-hole pair recombination occurs in the untemplated sample (S0), competing strongly with the charge-transfer process needed for water oxidation. However, the addition of a template causes pronounced accumulation.

**Table 4** — Summarized photocatalytic activity of biomimetic indium sulfide.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Crystal size (±0.2 nm)</th>
<th>E_g (±0.01 eV)</th>
<th>H_2 produced (±0.2 μmol g^-1)</th>
<th>H_2 rate (±0.2 μmol g h^-1)</th>
<th>Time constants (s)</th>
<th>QE (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S0</td>
<td>60.2</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>1.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S0 - 0.1%Fe</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>34.2</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>2.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S0 - 1%Fe</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td>62.8</td>
<td>1.82*</td>
<td>51.7</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>3.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td>59.8</td>
<td>1.24*</td>
<td>73.0</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>41.6</td>
<td>5.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3</td>
<td>28.4</td>
<td>1.46*</td>
<td>46.8</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>4.07</td>
<td>3.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*‘Absorption edges’ obtained from extrapolations of the Tauc plots (Fig. 4), which we take to reflect the extent of visible light absorption, rather than the true band gap of the semiconducting In_2.77S_4.*

**Fig. 5** – a) Photoresponse of control sample (S0) and biomimetic indium sulfide (S1, S2, S3) under 254 nm UV light at open circuit potential (V_oc); b) Linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) characteristic for control sample (S0) and biomimetic indium sulfide (S1, S2, S3) under 254 nm UV light at a sweep rate of 10 mV/s.
of long-lived surface holes, presumably due to the introduction of new surface states. It is therefore important to examine any differences in the surface composition of the samples (section 3.4).

Linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) curves are shown in Fig. 5b. S0 and S3 showed negligible photocurrents at applied potentials below 0.1 V vs Ag/AgCl (onset potential) followed by a slight increase for the former and a plateau (saturation) for the latter, when the applied potential reached 0.3 V vs Ag/AgCl. In contrast, for samples S1 and S2, synthesized with a lower amount of template, a positive change in the onset potential to 0.04 V vs Ag/AgCl and a sharper rise in photocurrent was observed as the applied bias was increased, indicating that these samples exhibit the lowest resistance for the extraction of photogenerated electrons, which could be beneficial for the photocatalytic process [68].

XPS analysis

Comparison of the core level XPS signals of S2 (Fig. 6a) with S0 (Fig. 6b) showed higher relative intensities of In 3d, S 2p and S 2s peaks for the latter relative to C 1s. Peaks from the weaker core level features of In and S, such as In 4d, In 3p1/2 and In 3p3/2 spectral lines are also present in the spectrum of S0. In contrast, the spectrum of the sample with template (S2) showed greater amounts of C 1s and O 1s and 2s, as expected from the residual organic matter of the Mimosa pudica leaves, and peaks corresponding to the binding energies of N 1s, Fe 2p, 3p and M23VV Auger (see Fig. 5a). Elemental quantification from the peaks in these survey scans gives approximate atomic ratios (ignoring variation in sampling depth with photoelectron KE) of In:S:C:O:Fe as 1.0:1.0:4.5:1.3:0.0 (±0.1) for S0 and 1.0:1.3:41.0:22.4:0.9 (±0.1) for S2. This clearly demonstrates the very large increase in surface C and O in the templated sample, which we associate with residual organic matter form the template. The Fe present in the templated sample is believed to come from the Mimosa pudica leaves as a contaminant, since this is a metal found in abundance in Particulate Matter Below 2.5 μm Size (PM2.5) air samples of the area originating from the erosion of the naturally iron-rich soil of the region [69]. Moreover, this element is present mainly on the surface of the sample since higher amounts were detected by XPS (which is a much more surface sensitive technique) than by EDS.

The S 2p signals from the samples are shown in Fig. 7. The sample synthesized with a template (S2), shown in Fig. 6a, showed clear signals due to sulfur oxidation products such as sulfur-adsorbed –SO3, SO42− and SO2 (each below 10% of total S), and organic forms of sulfur species bonding with carbon, oxygen and hydrogen (–S–O–S–C–S–H) in amounts between 5 and 15% of the total amount of S probed at each sampling depth [56,70,71]. These species are not present in S0 (Fig. 7b) where all the sulfur present is associated with the indium sulfide phase. Other authors have observed the formation of a sulfate layer, In2(SO4)3, at the surface of sulfide materials exposed to oxidizing environments such as O2 and H2O [70,72]. The binding energies used from literature for the components fitted in the peak deconvolution of these spectra are given in Table 2. The experimental data are generally in good agreement with the literature values, given the uncertainty in measurement (±0.1 eV).

Fig. 8 shows scans of the In 3d peaks at different kinetic energies (KEs) (and hence sampling depths) for samples with and without the template. The binding energies found in the literature for the components fitted are shown in Table 3. As can be seen in Fig. 8a, additional components at higher binding energies than the In 3d multiplet due to indium sulfide are present at the surface of the S2 sample. These could be characteristic of a hydroxide/oxide phase (In(OH)3) formed by surface oxidation. This phase has been seen as a nucleation phase when growing In(OH)3 by chemical bath deposition (CBD) [73]. We would expect the BEs of other oxidized In species, such as indium (III) sulfite In2(SO3)3 and indium (III) sulfate In2(SO4)3 to be similar (see Table 3). These features decrease in intensity as the sampling depth increases showing that the bulk material is free of oxidation. In contrast, S0 shows only components corresponding to indium sulfide at all the analyzed depths (see Fig. 8b) and (as for the S 2p peaks) there are no signs of oxidation products or species other than indium sulfide. The slight asymmetry observed in the lower binding energy side of the In 3d3/2 peak at 150 KE arises from an Auger S MNN feature with a kinetic energy of 152 eV.

![Fig. 6 – Survey scans spectra of indium sulfide samples at photon energy of 950 eV; a) S2, b) S0.](image-url)
Overall, XPS shows significant changes in surface chemistry between the untemplated and templated samples.

**Photocatalytic hydrogen production**

Fig. 9 shows the photocatalytic hydrogen production of all the samples. As can be seen, the rate of hydrogen production is higher for biomimetic indium sulfide than for the control samples (S0, S0 − 0.1%Fe and S0 − 1%Fe) and the photocatalytic activity increases as the amount of *Mimosa pudica* increases up to a 1:1 ratio (S2) where hydrogen production peaks, decreasing with an excess of template (S3). All values are summarized in Table 4. The apparent quantum efficiency (QE) was calculated based on the formula

\[
\text{QE} (\%) = \frac{2N_{H_2}}{N_{hv}}
\]

where \(N_{H_2}\) is the number of evolved \(H_2\) molecules and \(N_{hv}\) is the number of incident photons \([75,76]\), by quantifying the amount of hydrogen at a given incident photon flux. The number of incident photons was calculated as \(5.62 \times 10^{15}\) photons \(s^{-1}\). The hydrogen production for the S0 sample is 22 \(\mu\text{mol g}^{-1}\), while for S2, the hydrogen production increases sharply to 73 \(\mu\text{mol g}^{-1}\), more than 3 times the value for S0, corresponding to a QE of 5.42% at 254 nm. S1 and S3 produced similar amounts of hydrogen and showed practically the same QE despite their difference in particle size and hence surface areas. Among the control samples, S0 − 0.1%Fe showed the highest hydrogen production, while S0 − 1%Fe showed the lowest, indicating that the hydrogen production increases with small amounts of Fe but decreases with an excess of it. A similar behavior has been observed for indium sulfide films where the presence of indium oxide in moderate amounts induced efficient charge separation, but the overall activity decreased for higher concentrations [25].

To summarize our observations, the addition of *Mimosa pudica* leaves as template in the synthesis of indium sulfide has an effect on the morphology, the optical properties and the surface composition of biomimetic indium sulfide. The morphology of the particles plays an important role in its photocatalytic activity. Among the biomimetic indium sulfide samples, sample S2 showed a significantly decreased...
microsphere size and increased pore volume density and surface area but with a crystallinity (grain size) very close to that of the control (S0). This sample also has the lowest absorption edge energy, indicating a better absorption of the visible part of the solar spectrum. Moreover, its photoelectrochemical performance was superior to that of samples S1 and S3. The photocatalytic activity of samples S2 and S0 in H₂ production scales approximately with their surface areas. This is anticipated, since larger specific surface areas promote a higher charge transfer across the semiconductor enhancing its photocatalytic activity. However, a large surface area may also increase the non-radiative electron-hole pair recombination rate, due to the presence of more recombination sites. In this context, the semiconductor must have an optimal surface area to allow a better charge transfer while keeping low the number of sites where the photogenerated electron-hole pairs can recombine [77]. The fact that S1 and S3 have the same QE and similar transient photocurrent time constants (suggesting similar electron-hole recombination times) but clearly (from XRD and SEM) different sizes, and therefore very different surface areas, supports this idea. Further, we note that as the microcrystallite and microsphere size is reduced between S2 and S3 (which we would expect to increase the active area) there is a decrease, not an increase in the rate of photocatalytic H₂ production.

In our case, however, there are also significant changes in surface chemistry accompanying the morphology changes, which are also likely to influence the photocatalytic activity. The XPS analysis revealed that the surface of the biomimetic sample S2 has significantly larger amounts of carbon and organic matter than control sample (S0). Consequently, it may be the case that when an excess of template is used in the synthesis (S3), a deactivation of the photocatalyst by coking, i.e. the interaction of carbon-containing molecules with the active sites in the surface, decreases the photocatalytic activity [78]. Alternatively, although the organic matter from the template clearly improves absorption in the visible part of the spectrum (Fig. 3), the absolute photoabsorption cross section of the underlying semiconductor may eventually be reduced by the thick overlying organic layer as more template is added. Thus we suggest that an optimum photocatalytic behavior is achieved for sample S2 because further increases in the surface area and amount of template cause an increase in the non-radiative recombination rate coupled with a decrease in the availability of active sites and possible reduction in absorption cross section as the thickness of the surface organic layer increases.

In addition to the possible deactivation of the catalyst by the accumulation of a carbon overlayer, we must also consider the effects of the other species identified on the surface. Among the species found on the surface of biomimetic indium sulfide were also Fe (with a binding energy consistent with Fe₂O₃) and sulfur oxidation products such as SO₂, SO₃²⁻, and SO₄²⁻ in significant amounts. Fe₂O₃ is not active for hydrogen production on its own because its conduction band is located under the reduction potential of water [79]. However, its valence band lies well below the water oxidation potential [64,80] and photocatalytic activity has been reported for direct O₂ evolution [81]. Even though both bands of indium sulfide are well positioned for overall water splitting [80] they are more suitable for proton reduction, and therefore the coupling with Fe₂O₃ (more favorable for water oxidation) could improve the charge separation, increasing the hydrogen production rate. This is in agreement with efforts being made to engineer
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**Fig. 9** – Photocatalytic hydrogen production of control samples (S0, S0 - 0.1%Fe and S0 – 1%Fe) and biomimetic indium sulfide (S1, S2, S3).
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**Fig. 10** – Photocatalytic activity diagram of; a) control sample (S0) and b) the biomimetic indium sulfide (S2).
the band alignment of semiconductor heterostructures to promote charge separation reducing recombination rates. Moreover, the accumulation of long-lived holes at surface states described in the electrochemical characterization section has been identified to be fundamental for the water oxidation reaction on Fe₂O₃ surfaces \[82\]. The results from samples S₀ − 0.1%Fe and S₀ − 1%Fe have shown that the addition of iron oxide has an effect in the photocatalytic activity of indium sulfide. The activity is enhanced for low Fe concentrations (0.1%) but is reduced when larger amounts of Fe (1%) are added. We suggest that this is due to increasing transfer of electrons into Fe₂O₃ and holes into indium sulfide consistent with the photocatalytic activity diagram in Fig. 10b. Another significant aspect is the presence of partially-oxidized sulfur species (−SO₂ and SO₃⁻) which are easily oxidizable and could enhance the photocatalytic activity for hydrogen production by acting as sacrificial reagents (electron donors) on the surface of the indium sulfide particles \[83\]. A summary of these effects is illustrated in Fig. 10.

Conclusions

In this work, biomimetic indium sulfide was synthesized by a template-assisted hydrothermal method using Mimosa pudica leaves as template for the first time. As a result, the photocatalytic hydrogen production of the biomimetic indium sulfide was increased. Specifically, biomimetic indium sulfide prepared with 1:1 wt ratio (S₂) showed the highest photocatalytic activity reaching 73 μmol g⁻¹, which is more than three times the amount produced with indium sulfide without template (S₀). This increased activity is correlated with a 20-fold increase in the electron-hole recombination time compared with the sample without the template. This enhanced photocatalytic activity can be attributed to the difference in morphology obtained due to the Mimosa pudica template and the chemical species found on the surface of the biomimetic material. The effect of the template on morphology is to decrease the size of the microspheres and the average pore size, but to increase their density. The morphology obtained in biomimetic indium sulfide, is beneficial for light harvesting and electron mobility. Moreover, the surface iron oxide (Fe₂O₃) and sulfur species (−SO₂ and SO₃⁻) can work as water oxidizer (driven by holes at the surface) and sacrificial electron donors respectively, for photocatalytic water splitting improving the performance for hydrogen production. This strategy provides a new way to synthesize functionalized photocatalysts inspired by nature that can be used in many technological applications.
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