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The Significance of Cultural Risks for Western Consultants Executing GCC Megaprojects

Alan Walsh, Peter Walker

Abstract

Differences in commercial, professional and personal cultural traditions between western consultants and project sponsors in the Gulf Cooperation Council region (GCC) are potentially significant in the workplace, and this can impact on project outcomes. These cultural differences can, for example, result in conflict amongst senior managers, which can negatively impact the megaproject. New entrants to the GCC often experience ‘culture shock’ as they attempt to integrate into their unfamiliar environments. Megaprojects are unique ventures with individual project characteristics, which need to be considered when managing their associated risks. Megaproject research to date has mostly ignored the significance of the absence of cultural congruence in the GCC, which is surprising considering that there are currently over 300 megaprojects in various stages of construction, with forecast construction expenditure approaching $500 billion. An initial step to dealing with cultural issues is to acknowledge culture as a significant project risk factor (SRF). This paper seeks to understand the criticality for western consultants to address these risks. It considers the cultural barriers that exist between GCC sponsors and western consultants and examines the cultural distance between the key actors. Initial findings suggest the presence to a certain extent of ethnocentrism. Other cultural clashes arise out of a lack of appreciation of the customs, practices and traditions of ‘the Other’, such as the need for avoiding public humiliation and the hierarchal significance rankings. The concept and significance of cultural shock as part of the integration process for new arrivals are considered. Culture shock describes the state of anxiety and frustration resulting from the immersion in a culture distinctly different from one’s own. There are potentially substantial project risks associated with underestimating the process of cultural integration. This paper examines two distinct but intertwined issues: the societal and professional culture differences associated with expatriate assignments.

A case study examines the cultural congruences between GCC sponsors and American, British and German consultants, over a ten-year cycle. This provides indicators as to which nationalities encountered the most profound cultural issues and the nature of these. GCC megaprojects are typically intensive fast track demanding ventures, where consultant turnover is high. The study finds that building trust-filled relationships is key to successful project team integration and, therefore, to successful megaproject execution. Findings indicate that both professional and social inclusion processes have steep learning curves. Traditional risk management practice is to approach any uncertainty in a structured way to mitigate the potential impact on project outcomes. This research highlights cultural risk as a significant factor in the management of GCC megaprojects. These risks arising from high staff turnover typically include loss of project knowledge, delays to the project, cost and disruption in replacing staff. This paper calls for cultural risk to be recognised as a Significant Risk Factor (SRF), as the first step to developing risk management strategies, and to reduce staff turnover for western consultants in GCC megaprojects.
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Introduction

This paper discusses the implications of a recent case study which examined the impacts of cultural dissonance associated with high staff turnover of western consultants executing GCC megaprojects. For this research, western consultants include nations within an Anglo cluster, which includes Australia, Canada, England, New Zealand, South Africa and the USA (GLOBE, 2019). They are also referred to as an English speaking cluster (Inglehart & Wayne, 2000). Before examining the findings of the case study, this paper contextualises megaprojects, and their characteristics, in particular their known high level of risk. Western consultants are engaged for their expert professional knowledge in construction management, and the impact of professional culture and associated belief systems is considered. The paper contextualises national culture and reviews the complexities of measuring culture. The paper examines to what degree culture is a risk for megaprojects and considers whether this risk is particularly significant in the execution of GCC megaprojects, which are heavily reliant on western consultants. The case study methodology, analysis and findings are reviewed and interpreted, and the experience of different nations with cultural dissonance is considered.

Risk as a Megaproject Characteristic

Megaprojects were initially considered as projects with a construction value greater than $1 billion (Capka, 2004). This financial benchmark has been updated in the search for a definition more suited to the complex combination of characteristics of megaprojects. Researchers typically identify critical components such as the large-scale of mega-projects; the technical and management complexity; the long timescales required to design, develop and build; the involvement of multiple public and private stakeholders; and their transformational nature. Recent work has examined the degree to which megaprojects are risk-filled ventures that can impact millions of people (Davies, Dodgson, Gann, & Macaulay, 2017; Flyvberg, 2017; Mok, Shen, & Yang, 2015; Pollack, Biesenthal, Sankaran, & Clegg, 2018a; Turner, 2018). Despite megaprojects being generally unique, the criticality of sub-components varies according to its specific nature. Risks are defined by the Project Management Institute as ‘an uncertain event or condition that, if it occurs, has a positive
or negative effect on one or more project objectives’ (Hillson, 2012). This paper considers significant Risk Factors (SRF) as those containing extensive risk and subsequently, a high impact on the successful execution of megaprojects. The high level of risk associated with megaprojects is well publicised, and successful completion has been labelled as improbable with successful completion considered as ‘An Anatomy of Ambition’ (Flyvbjerg, 2013). Risks that have been identified include financial and programme risk, political risk, social risk, stakeholders risk, cultural risk, design risks and overall feasibility risks (Biesenthal, Clegg, Mahalingam, & Sankaran, 2018; Dyer, 2017; Flyvbjerg, 2018; Söderlund et al., 2017). This paper focuses on cultural risk, which can lead to cultural dissonance. Experience suggests that this risk is often overlooked or given little or no consideration until it becomes a factor too late to address.

**Culture as a Risk Factor**

Research concerning the influences of cultural dissonance on the execution of megaprojects has tended to focus on European and American megaprojects, such as the Panama Canal and the Channel Tunnel (Flyvbjerg, Brzelius, & Rothengatter, 2003; Pollack, Biesenthal, Sankaran, & Clegg, 2018b; A. van Marrewijk, Smits, Clegg, Pitsis, & Veenswijk, 2008). These studies identify the serious nature of cultural risks in megaprojects and research examining the most common causes of megaproject failures, suggests that culture is a risk that should be considered within all future megaprojects (Merron, 1988 p vi). Despite this, there is little research to date, which explores the execution of the 300 plus megaprojects in the GCC. Multi-cultural megaprojects are common in the GCC due to local skills shortages and high capital expenditure on megaprojects (Walsh & Walker, 2019, p. 231). This results in the GCC importing construction expertise to manage the execution of these works. This execution team comprises of a multicultural workforce, from an extensive gathering of culturally diverse hired in experts from a pool of highly qualified resources from across the globe (Archibald, 1991; Dulaimei & Hariz, 2011; El-sabek, 2017). This research finds that the impacts of cultural dissonance are a Significant Risk Factor for GCC megaprojects and identifies that the higher the cultural distance between the nations, the more likely and damaging the potential conflict.

**Professional Culture**

Professional culture is described as a distinction between loyalty to the employing organisation versus commitment to the industry (Karahanah, Evaristo, & Srite, 2005). Western consultants are engaged in the GCC to follow professional guidelines while providing expert knowledge and advice. Despite some criticisms of the standards of professionalism in the industry (Egan, 1998; Foxwell, 2019; Latham, 1994), a professional culture is extensively promoted by construction industry institutions such as the RICS, CIOB and RIBA. These bodies have aspired to set universal standards and practices amongst construction professionals. Those entering the GCC market, are typically required to be members of these bodies, in addition to passing local examinations and providing attested evidence of educational qualifications. The sponsor not unreasonably expects that the hired professional consultants are familiar with their field of engagement, appropriately trained, professionally accredited, subject to some form of governance, and ethically driven (Foxwell, 2019).

Consultancy services have become more global, and are influenced by cultural transformations associated with migration, immigration and acculturalisation. Research points to cultural attitudes changing across generations with the impact of global communications, cheaper modes of travel and better standards of education (Trompenaars, 1993). Historically, the physical location of a company’s headquarters had a considerable influence on its culture (this was particularly the case for famous American brands such as Apple or MacDonalds (Waissfisz, 2015). It is now common for organisations to adopt a healthy dose of particularism as they enter new markets (Trompenaars & Woolliams, 2006) as they enter new markets. Initial research with western professional consultancy firms suggests that they have only made minor changes to their head office policies, mostly to suit local legislation and regulations governing construction standards, holiday benefits and working hours.

**National Culture**

This paper considers culture at a national level. Researchers describe national culture as an entire nations group collective experiences, society rules and norms or mental software for the mind (GLOBE, 2004; G. Hofstede, 1991; Trompenaars & Woolliams, 2003). National culture helps distinguishes the people of one country from those of another (G. J. Hofstede, Pedersen, & Hofstede, 2002a). Culture is often reported as a dynamic phenomenon (Inglehart,1997; Schein,2004), which anthropologists suggest may not be appreciated while you are swimming in it (Hammerich & Lewis, 2013; Myer, 2018; Trompenaars, 1993). To measure and compare different nations, researchers typically provide a numeric value for standard components they have identified within a culture, such as freedom of expression or independence. They often label these components as dimensions, values or orientations (Hofstede, 2010; Strodtbeck, 1961). The sum of these dimensions, values or characteristics, then forms a national outlook or a notional ‘national culture’. This numeric value provides a tool for comparing different nations. Work in this area is both prolific and contested: researchers have identified more than 180 measurement tools, each claiming to accurately ‘measure the culture’ of a country (Taras, Rowney, & Steel, 2009).

**Cultural Measurement**

Geert Hofstede, a social psychologist from the Netherlands, is credited with producing a research framework which examines or predicts social behaviours and norms (G. Hofstede, 2011). His popular framework for measuring national culture (Minkov et al., 2017; Smith, 2006; Taras et al., 2009), has received over 50,000 citations (Beugelsdijk & Welzel, 2018; Venkateswaran & Ojha, 2019). It is even suggested that 97.5% of all culture measurement models have traces of his original framework (Taras et al., 2009). There are significant debates as to the
validity of his framework. Researchers debate whether his findings are valid today, (Minkov & Hofstede, 2012; Smith, Dugan, & Trompenaars, 1996; Sondergaard, 2001), and many vigorously contest the reliability of his recorded data. Criticisms include an acceptance of country-level validity, but a rejection of the suggested values (Beugelsdijk, Kostova, & Roth, 2017; Beugelsdijk, Maseland, & van Hoorn, 2015; Eringa, Caudron, Rieck, Xie, & Gerhardt, 2015). Some cross-cultural researchers challenge Hofstede’s framework for its lack of replication or consistency (Deviney & Hohberger, 2017; Kirkman, Lowe, & Gibson, 2006; Minkov et al., 2018; van Witteloostuijn, 2016), or for its inability to be used as a predictive tool (Deviney & Hohberger, 2017; McSweeney, 2013; McSweeney, Brown, & Iliopoulos, 2016).

This paper does not seek to validate or question these divergent research findings. For this paper, a more pragmatic stance is adopted. Such cultural frameworks, as provided by Hofstede and others, reinforce the concept that individuals within different nations can be expected to behave predictably and consistently. Differences in outlook, behaviour and attitude are in this context referred to as the cultural distance between nationals. The application of Hofstede’s model in this paper serves to demonstrate the potential effects of expected cultural gaps between members of different nations working together on the execution of a megaproject. We neither seek to endorse or reject Hofstede’s framework; the framework is, however, helpful at a practical and applied level in providing and defining and six cultural dimensions as tabulated below. It is these that we use as a framework for examining cultural dissonance as a risk factor.

1. **Power Distance** (high versus low)
   The extent to which the less powerful members of a society accept that power is distributed unequally.

2. **Individualism** (Individualist versus Collectivist)
   Collectivism: people belong to in-groups (families, organisations, etc.) who look after them in exchange for loyalty.
   Individualism: people only look after themselves and their immediate family.

3. **Masculinity** (high versus low)
   Masculinity: the dominant values in society are achievement and success.
   Femininity: the dominant values in society are caring for others and quality of life.

4. **Uncertainty Avoidance** (high versus low)
   The extent to which people feel threatened by uncertainty and ambiguity and try to avoid such situations.

5. **Long Term Orientation** (long term versus short term orientation)
   The extent to which people show a pragmatic or future-oriented perspective rather than a normative or short-term point of view.

6. **Indulgence** (Indulgence versus Restraint)
   The extent to which people try to control their desires and impulses. Relatively weak control is called "Indulgence", and relatively strong control is called "Restraint".

**Cultural Distance**

Hofstede originally defined four dimensions (G. J. Hofstede, Pedersen, & Hofstede, 2002b), adding the dimension Indulgence (Fang, 2003) and completed the current schedule by including the dimension labelled as Long term orientation (Hofstede, 2015). Only the first four original dimensions captured data for Arab nations and are used as a comparative measure in considering the cultural distance between the GCC and other countries. By examining the differentials between dimensional scores for different nations, cultural distance can be anticipated between the countries. The larger the delta in the scoring, then the more significant the culture gaps between the nations.

**Table 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Power Distance Index</th>
<th>Uncertainty Avoidance Index</th>
<th>Individualism /Collectivism Index</th>
<th>Masculinity /Femininity Index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Great Britain</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arab Countries</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 represents the dimensional scores for the nations with the most significant representations amongst the combined western consultants (Fig 5). Table 3 highlights the cultural distance between these nations and those of GCC nations forming part of the Arab countries groupset. The higher the gap, the greater the cultural distance for the relevant dimensions.

**Table 3**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cultural Distances Between the GCC and Case Study Nationalities</th>
<th>Countries</th>
<th>Power Distance</th>
<th>Uncertainty Avoidance</th>
<th>Individualism /Collectivism</th>
<th>Masculinity /Femininity</th>
<th>Nationals Engaged</th>
<th>Impacted by Culture</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Gap</td>
<td>Gap</td>
<td>Gap</td>
<td>Gap</td>
<td>Gap</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Great Britain</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>-13</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>-9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Arab Countries</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arab Countries</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Cultural Integration**

When a professional consultant takes up an appointment in another culture, a process referred to as cultural integration follows. When the individual enters a society where the cultural attributes are distant from their personal
experiences, they frequently experience ‘culture shock’ (Oberg, 1960). This is described as an uneasy feeling in which precious values and unshakeable core beliefs take a battering when we venture abroad (Lewis, 2016, p. 19). Hofstede describes the process as the visitor in a foreign culture returning to the mental state of an infant, in which the most straightforward things must be learned over again. This experience usually leads to feelings of distress, of helplessness, and of hostility toward the new environment (G. Hofstede, Hofstede, & Minkov, 2010). Personal inherent cultural bias and ethnocentrism are often in conflict with aspirations towards cultural integration.

Research suggests that people possess an ingrained prejudice, as consciously or unconsciously, they may be biased as a result of their individual cultural experiences (G. Hofstede, Hofstede, & Pedersen, 2002). This includes an attitude towards ‘other’ cultures (Kultur, Chalhoun, & Justice, 2005), which may delay or frustrate the integration process, and this has its roots in ethnocentricity. This is regarded as a common cause of cultural tension (G. Hofstede et al., 2002; Naeem, Nadeem, & Khan, 2015). Lewis, (2006) refers to historic cultural legacies and feelings of superiority sometimes exhibited by geographical dominance of American, British, French and Spanish conquerors, enforcing the conquerors' culture on the incumbents. Current research by Inglehart (2018) theorises that there is a current trend of cultural backlash against the visitor in a foreign environment, accepting some of the ‘other’ cultures. They suggest that some racist phobias are reemerging, in response to impending wars and influences of economic deprivation and large influxes of migrants. There are between four and nine phases associated with the cultural integration according to the level of detail recognised (Moran, Harris, & Moran, 2011; Kay, 2014). A four-stage approach is common. A conventional four-stage approach is shown in Figure 1.

![Figure 1](image)

**Figure 1** - abstracted from Hofstede’s “Exploring Cultures” (Hofstede, 1991a p385)

In Hofstede’s portrayal of cultural shock, he describes the initial journey is a feeling of euphoria, ‘a honeymoon, filled with the excitement of travelling to a new land’; then culture shock occurs when real life starts in the new environment. Acculturation follows as the outsider slowly learns to function in the new environment, accepting some of the local values, and integrates (with varying success) into a new social network. He describes the final integration as a stable state of mind (Hofstede, 1991a p384-385).

There are two sides to engaging with a nation. There are social integration and professional integration experiences. Those who emigrate in search of work, whether motivated by a desire for wealth or the necessity of employment, are obliged to integrate to these social and professional norms. Researchers have suggested that 10 – 20 % of Americans return from the Middle East early due to job dissatisfaction or culture shock (Black and Gregersen, 1999 p 52). It is suggested that the costs incurred range from $50,000 to $1,000,000 for every premature exit (Harrison, 1994, p.18; Leiß, 2013 p.29). There is a wide range of financial costs dependent on the professional consultants’ experience, making a universal assessment of costs hard to predict. However, this calculation does not attempt to quantify the intangible costs associated with the early departure of key personnel. Research related to this study has found significant project impacts, including disruption of management, lower staff morale, loss of momentum on the project, and loss of reputation.

**A GCC Megaproject Pilot Case Study**

Field-based research was conducted on a representative GCC megaproject, based in Qatar for a $40 billion infrastructure megaproject, to investigate this phenomenon. The case study focused on the three principal construction management consultants overseeing the execution of a megaproject in Qatar. The western consultants were headquartered in Germany, the United States and the UK. The churn rate of senior western consultants was monitored and the positions tracked with the position turnover indicated on a programme. Analysis of this programme identified the turnover for each consultancy position, showing both the tenure of that position and the number of times the same position was filled. In terms of overall posts, the sponsor had approved 28 senior positions. This case study considered the most senior positions within these organisations. This purposeful restriction was applied (28 jobs out of 733 personnel), as they were the consultant’s representatives who directly engaged regularly (mostly daily) with the sponsor. There were 64 individuals (75 positions including internal promotions) involved in filling these 28 positions, and the findings indicated that 11 individuals held more than one position at various stages in the six-year review period, due to either internal transfers or promotions within this group. The pilot study investigated the factors which influenced this turnover (total position turnover is 75).

Initially, the research investigated if the individual consultants met the selection criteria mandated by the terms of the consultancy contract before project engagement. This was achieved through an examination of their curriculum vitae’s. There were strictly enforced selection criteria governing each of the senior positions. The Programme Director position required a minimum of 20 years’ experience in large-scale development projects. The job required previous management of large, complex programs together with a minimum qualification of a 4-year degree in engineering or related technical field, broad general technical and construction background, and registration as a professional (chartered) engineer. The Senior Project Manager position required 15+ years’ experience to include ten years in major project design or development, a BSc/BEng in civil engineering, and certification from a recognised professional body. A review of the professional
details provided confirmed that each candidate had met or exceeded these mandatory requirements.

Interestingly, the physical headquarters of the three principle western consultants did not reflect the nationalities engaged on the megaproject. The American registered company employed four American nationals, the German supervision Consultant had no German citizens, but the UK based cost consultant did engage mostly UK nationals (77%). The nationalities for each consultant are as detailed in Fig. 2-4.
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Figure 2
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Figure 3
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Figure 4

An overall analysis of consultants confirmed 18 different nationalities, an indicator of how multicultural the GCC’s construction industry consultancy workforce is.
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Figure 5

Analysis of the overall staffing matrix by nationality is provided as Fig 5. The five most common nationalities working for the western consultants which formed part of this case study were British (34%), American (13%), Australian (5%), Canadian (5%) and South African (5%). Cross-culture experts suggest that national culture characteristic analysis may offer a blueprint to what may be expected when dealing with consultants from differing nationalities (Waisfisz, 2015). Known cultural attributes may provide an anticipatory attitude towards issues of uncertainty or proposals for change or confrontation, in addition to potential management strategies.

This next stage of the investigation explored the underlying reasons associated with the individual’s departure; these were categorised as either elective or forced reasons for leaving the project. The factors linked to a natural departure included personal choices such as retirement, career progression, or completion of tenure. Tenure completion occurs when the project has reached the stage where the need for a role undertaken by the individual
professional consultant has been fulfilled and is no longer required. Consultants also make lifestyle choices to work abroad for a fixed duration and then return home as planned (Cole & Nesbeth, 2014). In considering natural turnover, it was necessary to find all possible reasons for departure and then thematically categorise these choices. Individual data were coded with a unique identification code. Later the interview transcripts were re-examined, and each interview was reconsidered and analysed until three general categories emerged. After the three groups were addressed, the research focused on Category C candidates - individual professional consultants where the sponsor terminated the contract. The cultural influence which was most likely have led to this earlier departure was categorised. In summary, the study found five primary causes of unnatural turnover, which ranged from public disagreements to appearing too slow and unproductive. The methodological sequence is detailed as follows:

**Figure 6**

**Analysis of Pilot Study Data**

The first Category A – (Role Completion) reflects the condition that megaprojects are temporary endeavours and individual roles may have a limited but necessary function for part of the megaproject (Brookes, Sage, Dainty, Locatelli, & Whyte, 2017; Dwivedula, Bredillet, & Müller, 2018; Turner, 2018). For example, the RIBA acknowledge differing stages in any project lifecycle from initial concept through to detail design. Once detail design has been completed, then the need for significant input from the design team is reduced. Category A considers specific time related and functional roles, and if the project requirements had fulfilled that role or if the position had been optimised. Optimisation occurred where staff were reduced to save costs, allowing minimum levels to remain. Several state-funded GCC megaprojects were subject to such fee reducing measures as a result of the reduction in the availability of state funding between 2015-2018 when the market price of oil declined (Deloitte GCC, 2016). Category A considers staff departures where the consultant had fulfilled the functional role or been optimised, and also includes changing positions as a result of promotions or demotions.

The remaining data were further analysed, and coding was then applied, which identified a second Category B – (Personal Choice). This included consultants who left due to retirement, returned to their home country as planned or received a better employment offer. Participants in this research often held 25 to 40 years plus of post-graduate experience, placing them at a theoretical retirement age of sixty-five. The actual number of participants who retired was three. Category B considers those candidates who had elected to leave.

Categories A and B are considered as natural or elective turnover and not necessarily influenced by cultural dissonance. Although not examined as part of this research, it is accepted that cultural dissonance may have been an unknown factor in some individual cases. In terms of the number of professional consultants impacted, Category A affected 21 personnel. Category B – (Personal Choice) impacted a further 14 professionals who either elected to retire or return to their home country following the end of their overseas service. Through this research, it was also identified (through social media), that two members had since come out of retirement. Cross-cultural experts recommend that it is only appropriate to consider cultural impacts if all other factors have been reviewed and eliminated Schram, 2018. The departure of the professional consultants who did not fall into Category A or B were then examined to understand the potential phenomena that culture dissonance may have influenced this departure. It was found that 40 departures were linked to cultural disagreements, clustered as Category C – (Culturally impacted). These findings were further thematically analysed, and sub-clusters identified. This data is presented in Table 4.

**Table 4 Senior positions turnover for the Consultants**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Turnover Analysis of Senior Management</th>
<th>PMCM</th>
<th>SC</th>
<th>CC</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Category A Role fulfilment, optimisation or promotion. The Choice to return home, retire, engage in a better employment opportunity.</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category B Incompatible culture-related issues.</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category C</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In summary, this analysis found that 30 professionals left due to ‘elective’ reasons, such as retirement or a desire to return to their home country; five remained in post, and the remaining 40 were forced to leave their job due to factors influenced by cultural disharmony.

**Application of Case Study to Examine Cultural dissonance based on Nationality**

This analysis considers the nationalities of those 40 consultants identified in the pilot case study impacted by cultural dissonance, which resulted in the termination of their employment. There are, of course, complex difficulties in establishing national and ethnic boundaries and therefore in attributing a particular national cultural identity to a specific individual. Researchers are often accused of failing to distinguish between individual and national studies,
resulting in the provision of false results (Kirkman et al., 2006). This failure has been labelled as an ecological fallacy level (G. Hofstede, 2011), or believing that national-level averages apply to all individuals of that nation. For this research, we have used a pragmatic approach that is not applied at a fine grain level, but we consider sufficient to provide robust indicators of the impact of cultural dissonance on mega-projects in a particular and specific context.

**Findings concerning Nationalities Impacted by Cultural dissonance**

![Image](Image 47x240 to 286x424)

**Figure 7**

**Table 5**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Great Britain</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Africa</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jordan, Syria, Germany, Greece, Pak, Egypt</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The case study identified five categories of cultural dissonance, summarised as follows:

**Finding 1 - A perception of being too slow or not active enough** (inactivity)

There are several instances where the sponsor considered that the consultant did not appear dynamic enough to justify the level of expertise that the position commanded. Lewis (2016) describe an Arabic tendency to multitask. This characteristic explains how Arabs exhibit multi-linear, multi-active tendencies and suggest such characteristics as manifesting as appearing extrovert, impatient, talkative, curious, doing several things at once, not punctual, changing plans, juggling plans, delegating to relations. In practice, it is common to seek out the top management and frequently interrupts (Lewis, 2016, fig. 3.2). In line with the sponsor’s beliefs, this may lead to interpreting a slow methodological approach as inactivity or complacency. In this study, British and Canadian citizen, followed by Canadian and New Zealanders were the most impacted by the sponsors’ interpretation of this finding.

**Finding 2 - Public displays of criticism and unacceptable behaviours** (Face)

Local project sponsors removed several consultants due to public confrontation. Formal correspondences were issued for consultants to dismiss staff for lack of respect for an employer who publicly challenged the sponsors’ authority. The emotional dimension face is derived from a Chinese concept described as dignity based on a correct relationship between a person and the collectives to which he belongs Hofstede, (1983p.7). Research by Inglehart (2018), indicates that while society has become more tolerant over the years, the concept of face is still prominent within the Middle East. It is suggested that a loss of face occurs through insult or criticism in front of others (Hammerich & Lewis, 2013). This loss of face is considered more painful than physical mistreatment (Hofstede, 1983). It is suggested that a good personal relationship is the most critical factor when doing business with the Arab world Meyer (2014, p. 190). The nations most impacted by this factor were from the USA, followed by the South Africans and British.

**Finding 3 – A lack of flexibility in the adoption of local norms** (inflexibility)

Overly rigid interpretations of contract documents or practices and insistence on a ‘home country’ standard can be interpreted as non-professional. The sponsor expressed concerns as to the consultant rigidity in norms applied. Global consultancies are continually struggling to harmonise their core policies globally (Schein, 2004; Trompenaars & Woolliams, 2006). There are some aspects to each professional discipline that cannot carry through all regions, so rather than insist on replicating each specific national standards a healthy dose of particularism is often required (Trompenaars & Woolliams, 2001). The sponsor expects local norms and practices be implemented. Cross-cultural specialists recommend that expatriates must be open and flexible, willing to communicate, socially adaptable, and manage stress as part of the adjustment process Waxin, (2004, p. 15). The most impacted nations for this finding was the UK.

**Finding 4 – Failure to manage the multi-cultural Workforce** (Multi-Cultural Management)

The project sponsor, in some cases, expressed the view that managers were unable to control their teams. Failure to manage a team became an issue in several instances in which the senior manager was not seen to be able to coordinate and control his junior managers. These failures were noted through cases where the team leader allowed speaking over the projects team, where policies and approaches were not in line with local norms, or where the manager was not considered sufficiently involved. Team
management is also indirectly related to an Arab multi-
linear tendency (Lewis, 2016). Failures or delays in
ensuring works are completed to deadlines was also
perceived as inexperienced management. The sponsor
expects active leadership and guidance from the consultant.
Leadership is considered as a global challenge, and not
restricted to the GCC. The prescreening and acceptance
criteria for engagement were designed to ensure the
leadership skills were adequate for the project. UK
consultants were the most impacted nations for this finding.

**Finding 5 - Ethical Concerns (Ethics)**

Three consultants were removed from office for ethical
considerations. On two occasions, the sponsor suspected the
professional consultant to be working ‘too closely’ with the
contractor. While ethical issues are a global phenomenon, it
is noteworthy that one consultant was also removed for
crossing boundaries with female Qatari staff. National
characteristics associated with Arab nations confirm they
are family orientated, conservative, religious, and
consultative (Bakhtari, 1995; Erin, 2014; Moran et al.,
2011). Family orientation has resulted in a small percentage
of females working in the GCC. Specific guidance
concerning not seeking direct eye contact or shaking hands
are available and must be respected, as gender cultural
issues differ significantly from western norms. No
particular nation dominated ethics findings, and what
occurred appear to have been isolated issues.

This pilot study has been further expanded to consider
the experiences of 25 construction directors of Western
Consultants active within megaprojects throughout the
GCC. Although the research is ongoing, issues related to
Face and removal of consultants due to perceived inactivity
appear frequently. The full findings will be available in late
2022.

The research carried out to date suggest the following
steps may contribute to mitigating the risk arising from
cultural dissonance:

**Managing Risk:** Identification of cultural Risk is only
the beginning of the process -those involved in Risk
Management suggest that the best strategy for managing
risk is to approach the uncertainty in a structured way to
maximise success (Hillson, 2018). The identification of
significant turnover amongst western consultants in a pilot
study on GCC megaprojects, resultant from cultural
dissonance, is the initial step in the process. Risk
management aims first to identify risk and then to avoid,
control, transfer or mitigate all hazards. Initial finding from
on-going field research suggests the following mitigative
measures.

**Dedicated Training:** Most respondents did not feel
prepared for working life in the GCC and suggested that
training would be beneficial, although the format of this
training ranged from a half-day workshop to a several days
workshop. There were further training queries related to the
leadership of megaprojects, where participants believe that
additional training was required. The variety of training
proposed included suggestions for enhanced
communication skills, the teaching of the Arabic language,
and a range of training including cultural awareness,
empathy and people and intercultural management. There
are cultural experts and software analysis that aim to
provide constructive advice before engagement with a new
culture.

**Ethnocentricity:** As long as wealth exists and borders
remain open, the GCC is likely to remain a very multi-
cultural environment. It is apparent that local standards
need to be respected, and there needs to be an awareness
that the GCC has been engaged in megaprojects for almost
five decades. The virtues of tolerance and flexibility are
portrayed as critical findings, and an open attitude to how
the GCC operates is fundamental to success.

**Strong Leadership:** Professionalism is required,
together with an active and confident managerial approach,
and these appear to be prerequisite to succeeding in
megaproject management.

**Cultural integration:** This relates to a human element or
the ‘soft skill’ of personnel management. These
observations are echoed by specialised recruitment agencies
such as Struggles & Hindrick, (2015) who suggest that a
critical requirement recognised in placing executives in
megaprojects is the need for those taking up the posts to
learn the soft skills necessary to manage cultural differences

**Conclusions**

Risk Identification leads to Risk mitigation. Research has
confirmed that cultural dissonance is a risk factor during
megaproject execution (Merron, 1988; Smits & Brownlow,
2017; A. H. Van Marrewijk, 2018), and this new research
demonstrates that it is a Significant Risk Factor in GCC
megaprojects. In the GCC, there is often a considerable
cultural distance between the local project sponsor and the
expatriate consultant (Table 3). A recent GCC megaproject
case study has indicated a significantly high staff turnover,
together with associated delays and disruption to the project
execution, while staff are replaced. It appears that these
staff replacements are often the result of miscommunication
and failure to appreciate the culture of the sponsor.
However, it is suggested that these miscommunications and
cultural clashes can be reduced through a better
understanding of the host nations cultural beliefs and
practices. We found that experienced GCC megaproject
professional consultants were adept at promoting cultural
awareness to assist with cultural integration. They also
recognised that dedicated training might help reduce culture
shock and make cultural integration easier.

On-going research confirms that a failure to accept and
appreciate cultural differences is currently impacting
negatively on the execution of GCC megaprojects. Based
on our interim findings, it is apparent that adaptability and
flexibility are critical characteristics required when
executing GCC megaprojects. Although more work is
needed, it is also suggested that different nationalities are
more or less flexible in adopting and adapting to the local
GCC’s culture. The research highlights the necessity to
respect cultural etiquette, including recognising face, a need
for public harmony and the adoption of active leadership. In
challenges of production planning and control in international construction megaprojects.
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