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ABSTRACT
In recent years, significant progress has been made in modern micro- and nanotechnologies related to applications in micro/nano-electronic devices. These technologies are increasingly utilizing sophisticated fluent media to enhance performance. Among the new trends is the simultaneous adoption of nanofluids and biological micro-organisms. Motivated by bio-nanofluid rotating disk oxygenators in medical engineering, in the current work, a mathematical model is developed for steady convective Von Karman swirling flow from an impermeable power-law radially stretched disk rotating in a Darcy porous medium saturated with nanofluid doped with gyrotactic micro-organisms. Anisotropic slip at the wall and blowing effects due to concentration are incorporated. The nano-bio transport model is formulated using non-linear partial differential equations (NPDEs), which are transformed to a set of similarity ordinary differential equations (SODEs) by appropriate transformations. The transformed boundary value problem is solved by a Chebyshev collocation method. The impact of key parameters on dimensionless velocity components, concentration, temperature and motile microorganism density distributions are computed and visualized graphically. Validation with previous studies is included. It is found that the effects of suction provide a better enhancement of the heat, mass and microorganisms transfer in comparison to blowing. Moreover, physical quantities decrease with higher slip parameters irrespective of the existence of blowing. Temperature is suppressed with increasing thermal slip whereas nanoparticle concentration is suppressed with increasing wall mass slip. Micro-organism density number increases with the greater microorganism slip. Radial skin friction is boosted with positive values of the power law stretching parameter whereas it is decreased with negative values. The converse response is computed for circumferential skin friction, nanoparticle mass transfer rate and motile micro-organism density number gradient. Results from this study are relevant to novel bioreactors, membrane oxygenators, food processing and bio-chromatography.

KEYWORDS: Porous medium, bio-nano-convective slip flow, rotating disk, Stefan blowing, Anisotropic slips, rotating disk membrane oxygenators.

NOMENCLATURE
b  chemotaxis bioconvection constant (m)
C  nanoparticle volume concentration (-)
Cw  nanoparticle volume concentration at the wall (-)
wC  nanoparticle volume concentration at free stream (-)
fr  local skin friction coefficient in t-direction (-)
$C_{f\theta}$ local skin friction coefficient in $\theta$-direction (-)
$Da$ Darcy number (-)
$DB$ Brownian diffusion coefficient ($m^2s^{-1}$)
$D_n$ microorganism diffusion coefficient ($m^2s^{-1}$)
$DT$ thermophoretic diffusion coefficient ($m^2s^{-1}$)
$D_t$ thermal slip factor ($m$)
$(D_t)_0$ constant thermal slip factor ($m$)
$E_1$ mass slip factor ($m$)
$(E_1)_0$ constant mass slip factor ($m$)
$F_1$ microorganism slip factor ($m$)
$(F_1)_0$ microorganism slip factor ($m$)
$K$ variable permeability of the porous medium ($m^2$)
$K_0$ constant permeability of the porous medium ($m^2$)
$f(\eta)$ dimensionless axial stream function (-)
$g(\eta)$ dimensionless circumferential stream function (-)
$Le$ Lewis number (-)
$m$ disk radial power-law stretching exponent (-)
$Nb$ Brownian motion parameter (-)
$Nt$ thermophoresis parameter (-)
$Nu_\text{r}$ local Nusselt number (-)
$Nn_\text{r}$ local wall motile microorganism number (-)
$N_1$ variable velocity slip factor for $u$ velocity component, ($sm^{-1}$)
$(N_1)_0$ constant velocity slip factor for $u$ velocity component, ($sm^{-1}$)
$N_2$ variable velocity slip factor for $v$ velocity component, ($sm^{-1}$)
$(N_2)_0$ constant velocity slip factor for $v$ velocity component, ($sm^{-1}$)
$n$ density number of motile micro-organisms (-)
$n_w$ wall motile microorganisms (-)
$Pe$ bioconvection Péclet number (-)
$Pr$ Prandtl number (-)
$q_w$ surface heat flux ($Wm^{-2}$)
$\bar{\text{F}}$ dimensional radial coordinate along the disk ($m$)
$\eta$ dimensionless radial coordinate along the disk (-)
$R$ reference scale length ($m$)
Re  Reynolds number \((-\))

Re_{\bar{r}}  Reference local Reynolds number \((-\))

s  suction or injection/ blowing parameter, \((-\))

Sb  bioconvection Schmidt number \((-\))

Sh_{\bar{r}}  local Sherwood number \((-\))

T  nanofluid temperature \((K)\)

T_w  wall temperature \((K)\)

T_\infty  ambient temperature \((K)\)

\bar{u}  velocity components along the \((\bar{r})\) axis \((m/s)\)

\bar{u}  dimensionless velocity components along the \((\bar{r})\) axis \((-\))

\bar{v}  velocity components along the \((\bar{z})\) axis \((m/s)\)

\bar{v}  dimensionless velocity components along the \((\bar{z})\) axis \((-\))

\bar{\bar{v}}  average swimming velocity of microorganisms along \((\bar{z})\) axis \((m/s)\)

\bar{w}  velocity components along the \((\bar{\theta})\) axis \((m/s)\)

\bar{w}  dimensionless velocity components along the \((\bar{\theta})\) axis \((-\))

\bar{W_c}  maximum cell swimming speed \((m/s)\)

\bar{z}  dimensional coordinate normal to the disk \((m)\)

**Greek**

\(\alpha\)  effective thermal diffusivity \((m^2s^{-1})\)

\(\delta_C\)  mass (species) slip parameter, \((-\))

\(\delta_n\)  microorganism slip parameter \((-\))

\(\delta_v\)  circumferential slip parameter \((-\))

\(\delta_T\)  thermal slip parameter \((-\))

\(\delta_u\)  radial slip parameter \((-\))

\(\eta\)  independent similarity variable \((-\))

\(\theta(\eta)\)  dimensionless temperature \((-\))

\(\nu\)  kinematic viscosity \((m^2s^{-1})\)

\(\rho\)  nanofluid density \((kgm^{-3})\)

\(\tau\)  ratio of effective heat capacity of nanoparticle to fluid heat capacity \((-\))

\(\tau_r\)  skin friction in \(\bar{r}\) - direction \((kgm^{-1}s^{-2})\)

\(\tau_\theta\)  skin friction in \(\bar{\theta}\) - direction \((kgm^{-1}s^{-2})\)

\(\phi(\eta)\)  dimensionless nanoparticles volume fraction \((-\))

\(\chi(\eta)\)  dimensionless density number of motile micro-organism \((-\))
\begin{align*}
\psi &= \text{stream function} \left( m^2 s^{-1} \right) \\
\Omega &= \text{angular velocity} \left( \text{ms}^{-1} \right)
\end{align*}

1. INTRODUCTION

Nanofluids are formed by suspending ultrafine particles (diameter less than $10^9$ nm) in various traditional fluids, namely, water, oil, bioliquids and ethylene glycol etc. Nanoparticles provide a means of improving heat transfer characteristics of the base fluids. Commonly used nanoparticles, such as metal oxide, significantly enhance the thermal properties of the carrier fluids. Nanofluids may be used in hybrid powered machines, transformer oil, motor cooling, solar water heating and nuclear reactor, refrigeration, microscale mixers, coolants and waste heat boiler systems as noted by Minkowycz et al. [1], Zarifi et al. [2], Gravel et al. [3] and Nield and Bejan [4]. The concept of nanofluids was popularized by Choi in 1995 [5]. Two mathematical formulations [6, 7] are widely used for nanofluid transport phenomena. The model proposed by Buongiorno [6] incorporates a nano-particle volume fraction conservation equation in addition to thermophoresis and Brownian motion effects (which are quite similar to the Dufour and Soret terms encountered in thermosolutal convection). On the other hand, the model of Das et al. [7] integrates the fluid properties variation (e.g. thermal conductivity, viscosity, electric conductivity) with particle volume fraction, using expressions based on the theory of mixtures. These two popular models are suitable for utilization in nano-bioconvection boundary-layer models. Recent research works have included a number of analytical and computational techniques for solving various industrial and biological fluid dynamics problems using these models. These studies have considered both external and internal flow for various geometries of relevance to biomedicine. For example, Rahman et al. [8] used numerical methods to compute the flow and heat transfer rates over a sheet considering non-adherence at the boundary. In another article, Acharya et al. [9] analysed the influence of second-order wall slip on nanofluid boundary layer flow under multiple convective boundary conditions with a probabilistic approach. Mahanthesh et al. [10] investigated hydromagnetic non-Newtonian nanofluid dynamics on a convectively heated, radiating and elongating surface with Ohmic and viscous dissipation effects.

More recently, scientists, engineers and applied mathematicians have explored bioconvection flows with emerging applications in bio-inspired technologies. Bioconvection arises owing to the discrepancy in density of the self-propelled micro-organisms (formed by combined swimming of motile microorganisms). Normally, single cell micro-organisms (algae, bacteria etc.) are involved in bioconvective flow, as elaborated by Hwang and Pedley [11]. Gyrotactic micro-organisms (e.g. Chlamydomonas monas and Peridinium gatunense) are able to swim in water against gravity. After developing bioconvection, their swimming direction is controlled by torques. Whereas motile micro-organisms are self-propelled, nanoparticles are not. Brownian motion and thermophoresis exert specific forces to cause movement of nanoparticles whereas different stimuli such as chemical attraction, light, magnetic field or gravity are responsible for the movement of micro-organisms. The motile micro-organisms are added to increase mass transfer, micro-scale mixing for improving the stability of the nanofluid and preventing nanoparticle agglomeration. Bioconvection inside nanofluids is a promising research area due to its wide range of applications in various fields. Examples include bio-micro-systems as discussed by Tsai et al. [12], enzymatic bio-sensors as considered by Li et al. [13], and magnetic bioseparation microscale devices as described by Munir et al. [14]. Bioconvection patterns are generated by upward swimming microorganisms which possess a higher density than water. The mechanism of bioconvection can be exploited in producing ecological fuels, bio-coatings and designing fuel systems. Relevant studies include Chisti [15] on bioethanol, Satyanarayana et al. [16] on PEM fuel cells [16], Aneja et al. [17] on magnetic nano-bio solar collector coatings and Roncallo et al. [18] on photo-bioreactors. Many numerical studies of combined nanofluid and bioconvection transport have been reported recently. Xu et al. [19] explored nanofluid flow from an extending surface containing gyrotactic microorganism. Raees et al. [20] analysed bioconvective flow between two parallel plates where one is moving and the other remains static, deploying a homotopy analysis method and the Mathematica package. Siddiq et al. [21] conducted a study on nanofluid bioconvective flow from an upright cone with undulating surface, noting that both amplitude of the
wavy surface of the cone and cone semi-vertex angle significantly modify heat and nano-particle mass transfer coefficients and the motile microorganism density coefficient.

The bioconvection mechanism can also be used to enhance species diffusion processes which are critical in membrane oxygen bioreactors among other biomedical devices which are reviewed in Taskin et al. [22]. Bioreactor flows require careful computation of characteristics of heat, mass and micro-organism transfer. In rotating disk bioreactors and oxygenators, a key flow regime is viscous transport from a permeable/impermeable rotating disk. This application has been lucidly reviewed by Germbode et al. [23]. This flow regime also features in chromatography, spin coating systems, pharmaceutical manufacturing processes etc. Other applications including thermal therapies for cancer have been reviewed in Gaber and Mohamed [24]. Von Kármán [25] initiated theoretical studies of viscous incompressible flow from a rotating disk. Shevchuk [26] reviewed many mathematical studies of flow, heat and mass transfer problems associated with rotating disk configurations. Von Kármán’s model has been extended to consider many more complex flows. Mishra et al. [27] extended the single disk flow problem to a thermo-fluid dual disk scenario with a Cattaneo-Christov heat flux model for thermal relaxation effects. Bég et al. [28] used Adomian computation to investigate the asymmetric micropolar flow in a bionic dual disk system with transpiration effects at the disk surfaces. Several authors have also examined nanofluid boundary layer flows from rotating disks and other uniform geometries e.g. spheres, ellipses, cones etc. Yin et al. [29] studied heat transfer in nanofluid flow from a rotating disk considering a uniform extending rate along radial axis. Bég et al. [30] analysed the unsteady nanofluid at the stagnation point on a rotating sphere using homotopy methods. Kadir et al. [31] employed Adomian decomposition to simulate the nonlinear gyrotactic bioconvection nanofluid flow from a spinning stretchable disk as a model of a rotating disk bioreactor. All these studies confirmed the significant modification in radial, tangential and axial flow fields and heat and mass diffusion with rotational effects.

Porous media also find many applications in modern biomedical and manufacturing technologies. These include low-density lipoprotein transport across arterial tissues, drug delivery and biomass transport in tissue regeneration, insulation mechanisms for hyperthermia etc. Excellent reviews of the applications related to various organs and cells have been given by Vafai [32] and Khaled and Vafai [33]. Porous media may also feature in rotating disk oxygenators and bioreactors as they provide an efficient filtration mechanism and also can be used for culturing micro-organisms [34]. Thermo-solutal transport in saturated porous media has stimulated considerable attention in engineering analysis. Interesting studies (which usually feature the Darcy viscous-dominated drag force model or the non-Darcy formulation for inertial effects), in this regard, include coupled heat and mass transfer from vertical surfaces in permeable media [35, 36], micropolar plume dynamics in geological porous media [37], geothermal reactive duct flows in non-Darcy media with mixed boundary conditions [38] and biomagnetic oscillatory (pulsatile) hemodynamics in diseased arteries [39]. Several investigators have also addressed the dynamics of Newtonian and non-Newtonian fluids from a rotating disk to a porous medium substrate. Attia [40] studied the steady viscous Newtonian flow and thermal convection from a rotating disk to a high permeability porous medium. Bég et al. [41] used numerical quadrature to compute the pseudoplastic and dilatant fluid flow from a rotating disk to a Darcy–Forchheimer porous material, with applications in biopolymer spin coating. Hayat et al. [42] employed an optimal homotopy analysis method to simulate the carbon-based nanofluid flow from a rotating disk in a non-Darcy medium. They considered both single- and multi-wall carbon nanotubes.

In certain medical device flows, the fluid can slip at the surface of the boundary. In these situations, the classical “no slip” boundary conditions become unrealistic and it is necessary to incorporate wall slip conditions. When saturated porous media are present, fewer molecules will exchange momentum with the fiber, and the hydrodynamic drag on the fiber will be reduced due to momentum slip. However, slip-flow can be advantageous also since it enhances the single fiber capture efficiency of nanoparticles on the fibers and this may be exploited in novel rotating disk bioreactor designs [43, 44]. Experimental as well as theoretical investigations conducted show that slip phenomena arise in
many other applications also which include biological pumping [45] and rotating microchannels [46]. Slip also arises on hydrophobic and superhydrophobic walls in fuel cells.

Wall mass flux also arises in many industrial and medical applications. Species transfer through a porous wall arises in for example evaporation or condensation during paper drying, as elaborated in Lienhard and Lienhard [47]. Depending on the water content and temperature of wet papers, mass transfer can produce a blowing effect, which is caused by diffusion of species. The diffusion creates a bulk motion of the fluid, introducing an additional motion that is termed as Stefan blowing. This blowing effect is not the same as the mass injection resulting from transpiration for permeable surfaces. It has also been utilized in rotating biofilm reactors [48]. The blowing effects for various flow configurations has been investigated by many researchers. Hajmohammadi et al. [49] studied Stefan blowing effects on copper/silver -water nanofluid flow from a stretching sheet. Lattif et al. [50] investigated Stefan blowing effects on a nano-fluid doped with microorganism in swirling flow from a solid rotating stretchable disk. Uddin et al. [51] conducted an investigation on the multiple-slip and blowing effects on natural convective flow of bio-nanofluid. Zohra et al. [52] investigated anisotropic slip- and blowing properties of MHD bio-nanofluid flow over a rotating cone and Ragui et al. [53] examined convective phenomena due to double-diffusion in a porous annulus.

In general, nano-bio-convective phenomena are governed by a system of non-linear partial differential equations associated with a variety of physical boundary conditions (slip, convective, chemical reactions, radiative-convective etc). Due to the strong nonlinearity of problems in viscous fluid dynamics, analytical solutions are generally not possible. Hence, recourse must be made to semi-analytical/numerical or fully computational methods. Some popular numerical methods are finite difference (FDM), finite volume (FVM), finite element (FEM), boundary characteristics orthogonal polynomials (BCOPs), boundary element method (BEM) etc. Some of the widely used semi-analytical methods which comprise power series expansions includes homotopy perturbation method (HPM), Adomian decomposition method (ADM), homotopy analysis (HAM), variational iteration Method (VIM), differential transform method (DTM) etc. For details of these (and other) methods readers are referred to Bég et al. [54]. The Chebyshev spectral collocation method (CSCM) has a superior convergence rate, requires less grid points to obtain correct results compared to other commonly used methods, namely RK45, FDM, FEM and FVM. CSCM provides exponential convergence rates whilst FDM, FEM and FVM provide linear convergence, as noted by Canuto [55]. The foremost advantage of spectral methods lies in their correctness for a given number of unknowns. CSCM has been widely applied in many scientific areas. Examples include computational fluid dynamics (Kaladhar et al. [56], electrodynamics (Belgacem and Grundmann [57]), free vibration analysis of rotating and non-rotating Timoshenko beams (Ma’en and Butcher [58], magnetohydrodynamics (Aly and Sayed [59]), fractional diffusion equations (Agarwal and El-Sayed [60]), magneto-bioconvection wedge flow (Uddin et al. [61]), non-Newtonian flow (Tian et al. [62]), 3-D transient radiative–conductive heat transfer (Sun et al. [63]), thermally stratified fluid in porous medium (Vasu et al. [64]), 3-D Helmholtz-type equations (Bai et al. [65]), electrohydrodynamic ion drag pump flows (Bég et al. [66]) and ferromagnetic nano-coating dynamics (Shamshuddin et al. [67]). In spite of the high precision and efficacy of the method, it has not been used extensively in nonlinear bioconvection nanofluid dynamics. In this study, the Chebyshev spectral collocation method is employed in a MATLAB symbolic environment.

Inspection of the scientific literature has revealed that in simulations of bioconvection nanofluid flow from a rotating disk with power-law radial stretching immersed in a Darcy porous medium, researchers have not taken into account the normal interfacial velocity associated with mass diffusion and multiple slips effects. This is addressed for the first time in the current study. Extensive details of the mathematical model are presented. Visualization of computations is included for the influence of a number of parameters including Darcy number, microorganism slip, mass (nanoparticle) slip, circumferential momentum slip, radial momentum thermal slip and Stefan blowing/suction. The inclusion of multiple anisotropic slip effects also constitutes a novelty of the present work. Validation
with special cases from the literature is also conducted. The computations provide deeper insight into the fluid dynamics of rotating membrane oxygenators and bioreactors [68].

2. NANO-BIOCONVECTION TRANSPORT MODEL FORMULATION

Consider viscous incompressible bioconvective nanofluid swirling flow from an impermeable rotating disk adjacent to a saturated Darcy porous medium. Anisotropic slip and Stefan blowing effects are included at the disk surface. The disk is stretched radially with a power-law velocity, of exponent, \( m \). The nanofluid is assumed to be in thermal equilibrium. The disk rotates at constant angular velocity \( \Omega \) at \( \bar{z} = 0 \). \((\bar{u}, \bar{v}, \bar{w})\) are the velocity components along the directions of the cylindrical coordinates \((\bar{r}, \theta, \bar{z})\). The governing equations i.e. balance of mass, momentum, energy, nanoparticle volume fraction and density of motile microorganisms in cylindrical coordinates, following Shevchuk [26] and Chen et al. [69] are given by:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{\bar{r}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{r}} \left( \bar{r} \bar{u} \right) + \frac{\partial \bar{w}}{\partial \bar{z}} &= 0, \\
\bar{u} \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial \bar{r}} + \bar{w} \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial \bar{z}} - \bar{v}^2 &= \nu \left( \frac{\partial^2 \bar{u}}{\partial \bar{r}^2} + \frac{1}{\bar{r}} \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial \bar{r}} + \frac{\partial^2 \bar{u}}{\partial \bar{z}^2} - \frac{\bar{u}}{\bar{r}} \right) - \frac{\nu}{K(\bar{r})} \bar{u}, \\
\bar{u} \frac{\partial \bar{v}}{\partial \bar{r}} + \bar{w} \frac{\partial \bar{v}}{\partial \bar{z}} &= \nu \left( \frac{\partial^2 \bar{v}}{\partial \bar{r}^2} + \frac{1}{\bar{r}} \frac{\partial \bar{v}}{\partial \bar{r}} + \frac{\partial^2 \bar{v}}{\partial \bar{z}^2} - \frac{\bar{v}}{\bar{r}} \right) - \frac{\nu}{K(\bar{r})} \bar{v}, \\
\bar{u} \frac{\partial \bar{w}}{\partial \bar{r}} + \bar{w} \frac{\partial \bar{w}}{\partial \bar{z}} &= \nu \left( \frac{\partial^2 \bar{w}}{\partial \bar{r}^2} + \frac{1}{\bar{r}} \frac{\partial \bar{w}}{\partial \bar{r}} + \frac{\partial^2 \bar{w}}{\partial \bar{z}^2} \right), \\
\bar{u} \frac{\partial \bar{T}}{\partial \bar{r}} + \bar{w} \frac{\partial \bar{T}}{\partial \bar{z}} &= \alpha \left( \frac{\partial^2 \bar{T}}{\partial \bar{r}^2} + \frac{1}{\bar{r}} \frac{\partial \bar{T}}{\partial \bar{r}} + \frac{\partial^2 \bar{T}}{\partial \bar{z}^2} + \tau D_B \left( \frac{\partial \bar{C}}{\partial \bar{r}} \frac{\partial \bar{T}}{\partial \bar{r}} + \frac{\partial \bar{C}}{\partial \bar{z}} \frac{\partial \bar{T}}{\partial \bar{z}} \right) + \frac{\tau D_B \nu}{\bar{r}_c} \left( \frac{\partial \bar{T}}{\partial \bar{r}} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial \bar{T}}{\partial \bar{z}} \right)^2 \right) \\
\bar{u} \frac{\partial \bar{c}}{\partial \bar{r}} + \bar{w} \frac{\partial \bar{c}}{\partial \bar{z}} &= D_B \left( \frac{\partial^2 \bar{c}}{\partial \bar{r}^2} + \frac{1}{\bar{r}} \frac{\partial \bar{c}}{\partial \bar{r}} + \frac{\partial^2 \bar{c}}{\partial \bar{z}^2} + \frac{\partial \bar{c}}{\partial z} \right) + \frac{\partial \bar{T}}{\bar{r}_c} \frac{\partial^2 \bar{c}}{\partial \bar{r}^2} + \frac{1}{\bar{r}} \frac{\partial \bar{c}}{\partial \bar{r}} + \frac{\partial^2 \bar{c}}{\partial \bar{z}^2}, \\
\bar{u} \frac{\partial \bar{n}}{\partial \bar{r}} + \bar{w} \frac{\partial \bar{n}}{\partial \bar{z}} + \frac{\bar{n}}{\bar{r}} & \left( \bar{u} \right) = D_n \left( \frac{\partial^2 \bar{n}}{\partial \bar{r}^2} + \frac{1}{\bar{r}} \frac{\partial \bar{n}}{\partial \bar{r}} + \frac{\partial^2 \bar{n}}{\partial \bar{z}^2} \right).
\end{align*}
\]

The appropriate boundary conditions are (Fang [70], Lienhard and Lienhard [47]):

At \( \bar{z} = 0 \):

\[
\begin{align*}
\bar{u} &= N_1 \left( \frac{\bar{r}}{\bar{R}} \right) \bar{v}, \\
\bar{v} &= N_2 \left( \frac{\bar{r}}{\bar{R}} \right) \bar{v}, \\
\bar{T} &= T_w + D_1 \left( \frac{\bar{r}}{\bar{R}} \right), \\
\bar{C} &= C_w + E_1 \left( \frac{\bar{r}}{\bar{R}} \right), \\
\bar{n} &= n_w + F_1 \left( \frac{\bar{r}}{\bar{R}} \right).
\end{align*}
\]

At \( \bar{z} \rightarrow +\infty \):

\[
\bar{u} \rightarrow 0, \quad \bar{v} \rightarrow 0, \quad \bar{T} \rightarrow T', \quad \bar{C} \rightarrow C', \quad \bar{n} \rightarrow n'.
\]

The dimensional quantities are: \( T \): temperature, \( n \): number of motile micro-organisms, \( C \): nanoparticle concentration, \( \rho \): fluid density, \( \nu \): kinematic coefficient of viscosity, \( K (= K_0 r^{2m}) \): variable permeability, \( K_0 \): constant permeability, \( R \): reference scale length, \( \alpha \): thermal diffusivity \((\rho C)_f \): fluid heat capacity, \( D_B \): Brownian diffusion coefficient, \((\rho C)_p \): effective nanoparticles heat capacity, \( D_n \): microorganism diffusion coefficient, \( D_T \): thermodiffusive diffusion coefficient, \( T_w \): ambient temperature, \( T_s \): surface temperature, \( C_\infty \): ambient mass concentration, \( C_s \): surface mass
concentration, \( N_c = (N_c)_0 r^n \): velocity slip factor along \( \vec{r} \), \( N_i = (N_i)_0 r^m \): velocity slip factor along \( \vec{u} \), \( D_i = (D_i)_0 r^m \): thermal slip factor, \( E_i = (E_i)_0 r^m \): mass slip factor, \( n_\infty \): wall motile microorganisms, \( F_i = (F_i)_0 r^m \): microorganism slip factor, \( m \): power law exponent, \( \bar{b} = \frac{\delta w}{\delta c} \): average directional swimming velocity of microorganisms, \( \bar{b} \): chemotaxis constant, \( W_c \): maximum cell swimming speed. The dimensionless parameters are \( \tau \): ratio of effective nanoparticle heat capacity to the fluid heat capacity, and \( m \): power law exponent. Proceeding with the analysis the following dimensionless variables are introduced:

\[
\bar{r} = \frac{r}{R}, \quad \bar{z} = \frac{z}{\sqrt{Re}}, u = \frac{u}{R \Omega}, \quad v = \frac{v}{\Omega \bar{r}}, w = \frac{w}{\sqrt{Re} \Omega \bar{r}}, \quad \chi = \frac{n}{n_w}, \quad \theta = \frac{T - T_\infty}{T_w - T_\infty}, \quad \phi = \frac{C - C_\infty}{C_w - C_\infty}.
\] (10)

The dimensionless form of the conservation equations are therefore:

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial r} + \frac{u}{r} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} = 0,
\] (11)

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial r} + w \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} - \frac{v^2}{r} = \frac{1}{Re} \left( \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} - \frac{u}{r^2} \right) + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z^2} - \frac{\mu}{\Omega \rho K_0 r^{2m}}u,
\] (12)

\[
\frac{\partial v}{\partial r} + \frac{w}{r} \frac{\partial v}{\partial z} + \frac{uv}{r} = \frac{1}{Re} \left( \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} - \frac{v}{r^2} \right) + \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial z^2} - \frac{\mu}{\Omega \rho K_0 r^{2m}}v,
\] (13)

\[
\frac{1}{\sqrt{Re}} \left( \frac{\partial w}{\partial r} + w \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \right) = \frac{1}{Re^{3/2}} \left( \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial w}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{Re} \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial z^2} \right),
\] (14)

\[
\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial r} + \frac{w}{r} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial z} = \frac{1}{Re Pr} \left\{ \frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial r} + \frac{Nb \partial \theta}{Pr} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r} + \frac{Nt}{Pr} \left( \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial r} \right)^2 \right\},
\] (15)

\[
\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r} + \frac{w}{r} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} = \frac{1}{Le Re Pr} \left\{ \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r} + \frac{Nt}{Pr} \left( \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial r} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{Le Pr} \left( \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial z^2} + \frac{Nt \partial^2 \theta}{Nb \partial z^2} \right) \right\},
\] (16)

\[
\frac{\partial \chi}{\partial r} + \frac{w}{r} \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial z} = \frac{1}{Sb \partial z^2} \left( \frac{\partial^2 \chi}{\partial z^2} - Pe \left( \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial z} \right)^2 + \chi \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial z^2} \right).
\] (17)

The boundary conditions now become:

At \( z = 0 \):

\[
u = N_1(r) \frac{\sqrt{Re}}{R} \frac{\partial u}{\partial z}, \quad v = N_2(r) \frac{\sqrt{Re}}{R} \frac{\partial v}{\partial z} + r^{-2m}, \quad w = \frac{1}{Le Pr} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \bar{z}},
\]

\[
\theta = D_1(r) \frac{\sqrt{Re}}{R} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \bar{z}} + 1, \quad \phi = E_1(r) \frac{\sqrt{Re}}{R} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \bar{z}} + 1, \quad \theta = F_1(r) \frac{\sqrt{Re}}{R} \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial \bar{z}} + 1.
\] (18)

As \( z \to \infty \):

\[
u \to 0, \quad v \to 0, \quad \theta \to 0, \quad \phi \to 0, \quad \chi \to 0.
\] (19)

Invoking the following invariant coordinate transformations based on group theoretical methods, following Hansen [71]:
\[ \eta = zr^{-m} u = r^{1-2m} f'(\eta), \nu = r^{1-2m} g(\eta), w = -r^{-m} \left[ (2-m)f(\eta) - mnf'(\eta) \right], \]
\[ \theta = \theta(\eta), \phi = \phi(\eta), \chi = \chi(\eta). \]

Using (20), equations (12)-(17) may be rendered into the following similarity ordinary differential equations (SODEs)

\[ f'' + (2-m) f'' f - (1 - 2m) (f')^2 + g^2 - \frac{1}{Da} f' = 0, \]
\[ g'' + (2-m) fg' - (2-2m) f' g - \frac{1}{Da} g = 0, \]
\[ \theta'' + Pr(2-m) f \theta' + Nb\theta'\phi' + Nt(\theta')^2 = 0, \]
\[ \phi'' + Le Pr (2-m) f \phi' + \frac{Nt}{Nb} \theta'' = 0, \]
\[ \chi'' + Sb(2-m) f \chi' - Pe(\chi'\phi' + \chi\phi'') = 0. \]

The boundary conditions (18), (19) assume the form:

\[ f'(0) = \delta_u f''(0), \quad g(0) = 1 + \delta_{\eta} g'(0), \quad f(0) = \frac{s}{Le Pr (2-m)} \phi'(0), \]
\[ \theta(0) = 1 + \delta_{r} \theta'(0), \quad \phi(0) = 1 + \delta_{c} \phi'(0), \quad \chi(0) = 1 + \delta_{n} \chi'(0), \]
\[ f'(\infty) \to 0, \quad g(\infty) \to 0, \quad \theta(\infty) \to 0, \quad \phi(\infty) \to 0, \quad \chi(\infty) \to 0. \]

The dimensionless parameters arising are as follows: \( f \): axial stream function, \( g \): circumferential stream function, \( Re \): rotational Reynolds number, \( \eta \): power-law stretching rate of disk, \( Da \): Darcy number, \( \theta \): temperature, \( \phi \): nanoparticles volume fraction, \( Nb \): Brownian motion, \( Pr \): Prandtl number, \( Le \): Lewis number, \( Nt \): thermophoresis, \( \chi \): density of motile microorganism, \( Sb \): bioconvection Schmidt number, \( Pe \): bioconvection Péclet number, \( \delta_{\eta} \): circumferential slip, \( \delta_u \): radial slip, \( \delta_c \): mass slip, \( \delta_{r} \): thermal slip, \( \delta n \): microorganism slip, \( s \): Stefan blowing parameter.

The appropriate definitions are:

\[ Re = \frac{\Omega R^2}{\nu}, Da^{-1} = \frac{\nu}{\Omega K_0}, Pr = \frac{\nu}{\alpha}, Nb = \frac{\tau D_e \Delta C}{\alpha}, Nt = \frac{\tau D_t \Delta T}{\alpha T_v}, Le = \frac{\alpha}{D_b}, Pe = \frac{\dot{V} W_c}{\dot{U}}, \]
\[ \delta_{n} = \frac{\Delta C}{1 - C_w}, \]
\[ Sb = \frac{\nu}{D_n}, \delta_{r} = \frac{\left(N_n\right)_0 \sqrt{\Re}}{R}, \delta_{c} = \frac{\left(N_c\right)_0 \sqrt{\Re}}{R}, \delta_{\eta} = \frac{\left(U_\eta\right)_0 \sqrt{\Re}}{R}, \delta_{n} = \frac{\left(F_n\right)_0 \sqrt{\Re}}{R}. \]

Here, \( s > 0 \) represents mass flux moving out from the disk to the free stream while \( s < 0 \) is the mass flux moving into the disk, following the convention of Fang [70].

3. DISK SURFACE VARIABLE RATES

The important physical design quantities in engineering e.g. rotating disk membrane oxygenators, relate to the gradients of key variables at the disk surface (wall) i.e. momentum, heat, nanoparticle mass and micro-organism transfer. These are respectively the local skin friction in the \( r \)-direction: \( C_{f_r} \), local skin friction in \( \theta \)-direction \( C_{f_\theta} \), local Sherwood number \( S_{h_r} \), local wall motile microorganism number density gradient \( N_{h_r} \), and local Nusselt number \( N_{h_r} \) which are defined as:
\[
C_{f\tau} = \frac{\tau_{\tau}}{\rho_f \bar{u}^2}, \quad C_{f\theta} = \frac{\tau_{\theta}}{\rho_f \bar{v}^2}, \quad N_{uf} = \frac{\bar{r} q_{u}}{k_j (T_w - T_\infty)}, \quad \text{(29)}
\]

\[
Sh_{\tau} = \frac{\bar{r} q_m}{D_B (C_w - C_w)}, \quad N_{nf} = \frac{\bar{r} q_n}{D_n n_w},
\]

Here \(\tau_{\tau}, \tau_{\theta}, q_w, q_m\) and \(q_n\) denote the shear stress in \(\tau\)-direction, shear stress in \(\theta\)-direction, surface mass flux, surface heat flux, and the surface motile micro-organism flux respectively. The terms are given as follows:

\[
\tau_{\tau} = \mu \left[ \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial \tau} + \frac{\partial \bar{w}}{\partial \tau} \right]_{\tau=0}, \quad \tau_{\theta} = \mu \left[ \frac{\partial \bar{v}}{\partial \tau} + \frac{\partial \bar{w}}{\partial \tau} \right]_{\tau=0}, \quad q_w = -k \left[ \frac{\partial T}{\partial \tau} \right]_{\tau=0},
\]

\[
q_m = -D_B \left[ \frac{\partial C}{\partial \tau} \right]_{\tau=0}, \quad q_n = -D_n \left[ \frac{\partial n}{\partial \tau} \right]_{\tau=0}.
\]

Using Eqns. (20), (30) in Eqn. (29), we have:

\[
\text{Re}_{\tau}^{1/2} C_{\tau\tau} = f^\prime(0), \quad \text{Re}_{\tau}^{1/2} C_{\tau\theta} = g^\prime(0), \quad \text{Re}_{\tau}^{1/2} N_{uf} = -\theta^\prime(0),
\]

\[
\text{Re}_{\tau}^{1/2} Sh_{\tau} = -\phi^\prime(0), \quad \text{Re}_{\tau}^{1/2} N_{nf} = -\chi^\prime(0), \quad \text{(31)}
\]

where \(\text{Re}_{\tau} = \frac{\Omega R^2}{\nu} r^{-2(m-1)}\) denotes the local rotational Reynolds number.

4. COMPUTATIONAL SOLUTIONS WITH CHEBYCHEV COLLOCATION

As noted earlier, the Chebyshev collocation method is very accurate and achieves exceptional convergence. An excellent appraisal of this method is given in Canuto et al. [55]. The advantage of this method over traditional finite element method is the accuracy of this method with lower number of discretized points, since the method uses compact matrix to represent the polynomial. Furthermore, the method can handle the non-periodic boundary conditions, while its competitor, Fast Fourier Transform method cannot directly handle non-periodic boundary conditions.

To implement this method, the similarity ordinary differential equations (SODEs) are initially transformed into a set of second order SODEs as follows: Assume that \(F_1 = f, F_2 = f^\prime, F_3 = g, F_4 = \theta, F_5 = \phi, F_6 = \chi\). With the introduction of the new variables, the SODEs are expressed in terms of the following set of SODEs of second order:
Thus, it follows that $F''$ is a function of $\eta, F := [F_1, F_2, F_3, F_4, F_5, F_6]^T$ and $F'$.

Furthermore, the boundary conditions in Eqns. (26) - (27) can be presented as:

$$
\begin{align*}
F_1(0) &= 0 \\
F_2(0) &= 0 \\
F_3(0) &= 1 \\
F_4(0) &= 1 \\
F_5(0) &= 1 \\
F_2(\infty) &= 0 \\
F_3(\infty) &= 0 \\
F_4(\infty) &= 0 \\
F_5(\infty) &= 0
\end{align*}
$$

The domain of SODEs is discretized with $n$ Chebyshev collocation points $x_j$ in the Chebyshev domain $[-1, 1]$ with $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. The $j$-th Chebyshev collocation point is determined by $x_j = \cos(j\pi/n)$ The derivative of $F$ can be deduced by Chebyshev derivative $DF$ where $D$ denotes an $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ matrix of the form:

$$
D_{00} = \frac{2n^2+1}{6} \\
D_{nn} = \frac{2n^2+1}{6} \\
D_{ij} = \begin{cases} 
\frac{-x_j}{2(1-x_j^2)} & \text{for } j = 1, \ldots, n-1 \\
\frac{c_i}{c_j} & \text{for } i \neq j, i, j = 1, \ldots, n-1
\end{cases}
$$

The second derivative of the model variables $F$ can be approximated by $D^2F$ where $D^2 = D \times D$. Utilizing Chebyshev collocation on Eqn. (32), a system of $6(n+1)$ non-linear equations is formulated. Furthermore, we note that the physical range of $\eta$ is given by $[0, \infty]$. However, from the actual simulations, it is apparent that the upper bound of $\eta = 10$ sufficiently represents the upper bound $\eta = \infty$. Therefore, we require to map the Chebyshev collocation domain $[-1 1]$ to the physical domain $[0, 10]$ where the mapping can be derived as:

$$
x = \frac{\eta-5}{5}.
$$

Eqn. (32) can be expressed in the Chebyshev domain as:
\[
\frac{D^2 F_1}{F_{\text{Nu}}} = \begin{bmatrix}
D^2 F_2 \\
D^2 F_3 \\
D^2 F_4 \\
D^2 F_5 \\
D^2 F_6
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
DF_2 &= nDF_2^2 - F_2^2 - \left(\frac{n+3}{2}\right) F_1 DF_2 \\
(n + 1)DF_2F_3 - \left(\frac{n+3}{2}\right) F_1 DF_3 \\
&- \left(\frac{n+3}{2}\right) Pr F_1 DF_4 - NbDF_4 DF_5 - NtDF_4^2 \\
&\cdot \left(\frac{n+3}{2}\right) Pr F_1 DF_4 + NbDF_4 DF_5 + NtDF_4^2 \\
&- \left(\frac{n+3}{2}\right) Le F_1 DF_5 \\
&\cdot \left(\frac{n+3}{2}\right) Le F_1 DF_5 \\
&\cdot \left(\frac{n+3}{2}\right) Sb F_1 DF_5
\end{align*}
\]

The boundary conditions remain the same as in Eqn. (33). As an example, the first equation of the matrix-vector Eq. (36) is derived as:

\[
D^2 F_1 = \begin{bmatrix}
D^2_{00} & D^2_{01} & \cdots & D^2_{0m} & F_{1,0} \\
D^2_{10} & D^2_{11} & \cdots & D^2_{1m} & F_{1,1} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
D^2_{n0} & D^2_{n1} & \cdots & D^2_{nm} & F_{1,n}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
D_{00} & D_{01} & \cdots & D_{0m} \\
D_{10} & D_{11} & \cdots & D_{1m} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\
D_{n0} & D_{n1} & \cdots & D_{nm}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
F_{2,0} \\
F_{2,1} \\
\vdots \\
F_{2,n}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

The equation can be formulated as:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
D^2_{00} & D^2_{01} & \cdots & D^2_{0m} & F_{1,0} \\
D^2_{10} & D^2_{11} & \cdots & D^2_{1m} & F_{1,1} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
D^2_{n0} & D^2_{n1} & \cdots & D^2_{nm} & F_{1,n}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
D_{00} & D_{01} & \cdots & D_{0m} \\
D_{10} & D_{11} & \cdots & D_{1m} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\
D_{n0} & D_{n1} & \cdots & D_{nm}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
F_{2,0} + D_{01}F_{2,1} + \cdots + D_{0m}F_{2,n} \\
F_{2,0} + D_{11}F_{2,1} + \cdots + D_{1m}F_{2,n} \\
\vdots \\
F_{2,0} + D_{n1}F_{2,1} + \cdots + D_{nm}F_{2,n}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

The boundary conditions in Eqn. (36) need to be incorporated into the matrix equations. Boundary conditions are set at the proper locations by replacing the corresponding discretized equations of Eqn. (36). For Eqn. (38), the first equation is substituted by the corresponding boundary equation in Eq. (36):

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
D^2_{10} & D^2_{11} & \cdots & D^2_{1m} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\
D^2_{n0} & D^2_{n1} & \cdots & D^2_{nm}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
F_{1,0} \\
F_{1,1} \\
\vdots \\
F_{1,n}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
D_{00} & D_{01} & \cdots & D_{0m} \\
D_{10} & D_{11} & \cdots & D_{1m} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\
D_{n0} & D_{n1} & \cdots & D_{nm}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
F_{2,0} + D_{01}F_{2,1} + \cdots + D_{0m}F_{2,n} \\
F_{2,0} + D_{11}F_{2,1} + \cdots + D_{1m}F_{2,n} \\
\vdots \\
F_{2,0} + D_{n1}F_{2,1} + \cdots + D_{nm}F_{2,n}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

By the same process, we arrange all the boundary conditions for \(D^2 F_2, D^2 F_3, \ldots, D^2 F_6\) in the discretized form of Eqn. (36) which provides a large non-linear system of \(6(n+1)\) equations with \(6(n+1)\) unknowns. In the current study, using the MATLAB trust-region-reflective algorithm \texttt{fsolve}, the non-linear system of equations has been solved. Following this, the solution is re-mapped to the physical domain via the following calculation:

\[
\eta = 5x + 5.
\]
5. VALIDATION OF CHEBYSHEV COLLOCATION METHOD

The nonlinear ordinary boundary value problem defined by Eqns. (21)-(25) with boundary conditions (26)-(27), is of 11th order and strongly coupled. A numerical technique is therefore adopted to solve this system, namely the Chebyshev collocation method. To validate this approach, benchmarking with existing simpler cases from the literature has been conducted. The general model reduces exactly to the model of Chen et al. [69] for the no-slip scenario, i.e., \( \delta_u = \delta_v = \delta_T = \delta_c = \delta_n = 0 \), purely fluid medium (\( \frac{s}{\delta_a} \to 0 \)) and in the absence of Stefan blowing, \( s = 0 \), along with a minor modification \( 2m=1-n \). The comparison is documented in **Table 1**, and generally very close agreement is achieved between the shooting quadrature solutions of Chen et al. [69] and the present collocation computations. Confidence in the present Chebyshev collocation solutions is therefore justifiably high.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( n )</th>
<th>( f''(0) )</th>
<th>( g'(0) )</th>
<th>( -\theta'(0) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Chen et al. [69] (shooting method)</td>
<td>0.51018</td>
<td>-0.6149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Present (Chebyshev collocation)</td>
<td>0.50080</td>
<td>-0.6095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Chen et al. [69] (shooting method)</td>
<td>0.4639</td>
<td>-0.6677</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Present (Chebyshev collocation)</td>
<td>0.4601</td>
<td>-0.6677</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

An extensive number of computational tests with different parameter values has been conducted. In the simulations, a water-based nanofluid (\( Pr=6.8 \)) is studied and the default parameters are prescribed as follows: \( Nb = Nt = 0.1 \), \( m = -0.5 \), \( Da = 10 \), \( Le = Pe = Sb = 1 \), and \( \delta_c = \delta_n = \delta_T = \delta_v = 0.1 \), unless stated otherwise. **Figs. 2-15** illustrate the distributions for the dimensionless variables with transformed coordinate, \( \eta \). Inspection of all these plots confirms that \( f'(\eta) \to 0 \), \( \phi(\eta) \to 0 \), \( \theta(\eta) \to 0 \), and \( \chi(\eta) \to 0 \text{ asymptotically as } \eta \to \infty \). For the present rotational bioconvective nanofluid flow problem, it is confirmed therefore that \( \eta \to 10 \) is large enough to achieve asymptotically smooth solutions at the free stream boundary condition \( \eta \to \infty \). It is worthwhile to state that the accuracy of the solutions for \( f'(\eta) \), \( \phi(\eta) \), \( \theta(\eta) \) and \( \chi(\eta) \) at \( \eta = 10 \) is achieved of the order \( O(10^{-7}) \).

**Fig. 1 (a-b)** and **Fig. 2(a-b)** illustrate the combined influence of Stefan blowing/suction (\( s \)) and Darcy number \( Da \) on the dimensionless radial velocity, \( f'(\eta) \), temperature, \( \theta(\eta) \), nano-particle concentration, \( \phi(\eta) \) and density of motile micro-organisms, \( \chi(\eta) \). It is pertinent to note that suction/injection is not created by holes on the disk surface. The effect of suction (reverse of Stefan blowing) is related to mass flux from the potential flow to the surface of the solid disk. Analogously, the Stefan blowing is produced by the mass flux from the rotating disk surface to the potential flow. As can be seen from Fig. 1(a), radial flow is decreased with strong suction (\( s < 0 \)) whereas the reverse trend is computed for strong blowing (\( s > 0 \)). Evidently the presence of suction inhibits radial momentum diffusion whereas blowing encourages it. The absence of Stefan blowing (\( s=0 \)) leads to results which naturally fall in between the blowing and suction cases. Although radial deceleration is induced with suction, flow reversal does not arise (radial velocity magnitudes sustain positive values throughout the boundary layer regime transverse to the disk surface). The implication of this result for rotating bioreactor design...
[72] or membrane oxygenator design [73] is that manipulation of mass flux from the potential flow can be employed to control the radial flow field, rather than relying purely on the porosity of the rotating disk, which has been considered in previous designs [74]. This provides the designer with a dual approach to regulate momentum transfer in the near-disk regime and to tune performance, in particular, for membrane oxygenators in neo-natal clinical requirements, as noted by Drummond et al. [75]. Temperature, microorganism and nanoparticle concentration magnitudes in Figs. 1(b) & 2 (a, b), are all strongly decreased with increasing Da. Physically, an increase in the Darcy number reduces the contribution of the Darcian drag force term \(-\frac{1}{Da} f'\) in the radial momentum Eqn. (21). The impact of increasing Darcy number (\(Da = \frac{\Omega K_{0}}{\nu}\)) is to accelerate the radial flow, in particular, in close proximity, to the disk surface (wall). Higher Darcy number implies greater permeability which permits enhanced percolation of the fluid in the porous medium. With the increase of Da, temperatures (Fig. 1(b)) are markedly reduced inside the thermal boundary layer region. The progressive reduction in solid matrix fibers leads to a suppression in thermal conduction heat transfer and this results in a decrease in nanofluid temperatures. It is also observed that a rise in permeability i.e. Darcy number, reduces the concentration level of nanoparticles. Again, the decrease in solid fibers is counter-productive to mass diffusion and depletes the nanoparticle concentration boundary layer thickness. A similar effect is induced in the motile micro-organism diffusion which again is inhibited with greater permeability. However, the reductions in nanoparticle concentration and micro-organism density number are much weaker than those in temperature. The primary influence of Darcy number is on the momentum (radial) since the Darcy body force appears in this equation, not the other conservation equations. Only minor modifications in circumferential (tangential) velocity are computed with large changes in Darcy number, and the plot has been omitted for brevity, even though there is a circumferential Darcy body force in Eqn. (22) i.e. \(-g/Da\).

![Figure 1](image1.png)

**Figure 1:** Effects of \(s\) and \(Da\) on \(f'(\eta)\) and \(\theta(\eta)\)

![Figure 2](image2.png)

**Figure 2:** Effects of \(s\) and \(Da\) on \(\phi(\eta)\) and \(\chi(\eta)\)

Fig. 3 (a)-(b) and Fig. 4 (a)-(b) visualize the collective effect of Stefan blowing/suction (\(s\)) and radial slip parameter (\(\delta_s\)) on the dimensionless radial velocity, temperature, nano-particle concentration and
density of micro-organisms. In the vicinity of the wall, radial flow is boosted considerably with greater radial slip parameter $\delta_u$ for both cases i.e. with or without the presence of blowing (Fig. 3a). The converse response is computed far away from the wall. This is logical since the primary influence of wall slip is at the disk surface i.e. wall. Any modification in radial momentum here is re-distributed in the free stream, since the Von Karman swirling flow acts similar to a fan drawing fluid in towards the disk surface and thereafter directing it radially outwards towards the disk periphery. Clearly, the incorporation of slip in the present model leads to a deviation from conventional no-slip models, indicating that no-slip models underpredict the radial velocity field and produce erroneous estimates which may be relevant to rotating disk membrane oxygenator efficiency [73]. A higher radial slip value $\delta_u$ strongly reduces the temperature, nanoparticle concentration and microorganism density magnitudes (Fig. 3 (b) & 4 (a, b)) and this is probably attributable to the radial momentum diffusion enhancement exceeding thermal, nanoparticle and microorganism diffusion when radial slip at the disk surface is present.

![Figure 3: Effects of $s$ and $\delta_u$ on $f'(\eta)$ and $\theta(\eta)$](image1)

![Figure 4: Effects of $s$ and $\delta_u$ on $\phi(\eta)$ and $\chi(\eta)$](image2)

Figs. 5 and 6 depict the behaviour of circumferential slip parameter $\delta_v$ and Stefan blowing parameter, $s$, on the transport characteristics. It is apparent from Fig. 5 (a) that increasing circumferential slip parameter results in a drop in the radial velocity i.e. radial flow deceleration. His is the opposite response to an increase in radial slip (Fig 1a). Evidently there is a re-distribution in momentum in the regime. Since the disk is radially stretched, the primary flow is in the radial direction. Circumferential (tangential) flow is secondary and slip in the circumferential direction will inhibit radial flow. The
anisotropic nature of the slip imposed therefore exerts different effects on the primary (radial) flow, a feature again of potential relevance to rotating membrane oxygenator designs [74]. Unlike radial slip, the circumferential slip is beneficial to the temperature, concentration and microorganism distributions and generates a significant elevation in these variables which is sustained throughout the boundary layer, as observed in Figs. 5(b), 6(a, b).

![Figure 5: Effects of $s$ and $\delta_r$ on $f'(\eta)$ and $\theta(\eta)$](image)

![Figure 6: Effects of $s$ and $\delta_r$ on $\phi(\eta)$ and $\chi(\eta)$](image)

Figs. 7(a)-(b) and Figs. 8(a)-(b) demonstrates the behaviour of thermal slip parameter $\delta_T$ and $s$. Fig. 7(b) shows that a rise in thermal slip parameter leads to a reduction of temperature with or without the presence of blowing. Thermal slip effects on velocity, concentration and microorganism are however not significant. The thermal slip parameter $\delta_T$ appears in the boundary condition (26), in the term $\theta(0) = 1 + \delta_T \theta'(0)$. Increasing thermal slip leads to a disparity in the temperature (“temperature jump”) at the disk surface. Heat transfer from the disk surface to the nanofluid is reduced and this decreases temperatures in the boundary layer and results in a thinner thermal boundary layer.

![Figure 7: Effects of $s$ and $\delta_T$ on $f'(\eta)$ and $\theta(\eta)$](image)
The influence of mass slip parameter $\delta_c$ and Stefan blowing parameter, $s$ on the dimensionless radial velocity, temperature, nanoparticle concentration and motile microorganism density number are displayed in Figs. 9 and 10, respectively. It is evident that changing of the sign of parameter $s$ (i.e., from negative to positive) results in an increase in the dimensionless radial velocity, temperature, nanoparticle concentration and motile microorganism density magnitudes, irrespective of the presence or absence of mass slip. Fig. 10(a) shows that a higher mass slip leads to a decline in nanoparticle concentration values. The mass slip parameter $\delta_c$ also appears in boundary condition (26), the term $\phi(0) = 1 + \delta_c \phi'(0)$. Migration of nanoparticles from the disk surface to the core flow is mitigated with the mass slip effect. There is a more prominent influence at the wall which is progressively reduced into the main body of the nanofluid. Nanoparticle concentrations are therefore over-predicted at the wall when mass slip is absent ($\delta_c = 0$). Increasing mass slip also reduces nanofluid temperature (Fig. 9b) leading to a depletion in thermal boundary layer thickness. However, there is a weak increase in microorganism density number (Fig. 10b) with increasing nanoparticle mass slip effect. This is probably induced by the strong coupling between the nanoparticle concentration and micro-organism density conservation equations via the terms in the latter eqn. (25) i.e. $-Pe(\chi' \phi' + \chi \phi'')$. Although the nanoparticle eqn. (24) and temperature eqn. (23) are coupled, the coupling is weaker than between the nanoparticle and microorganism equations.
We now turn our attention to study the influence of microorganism slip parameter $\delta_n$ and Stefan blowing parameter, $s$ on the dimensionless radial velocity, temperature, microorganism and concentration. Figure 11a, b shows that greater blowing enhances the radial velocity and temperatures whereas suction induces the opposite effect. Higher microorganisms slip however has negligible effect on both velocity and temperature. It is clear from Fig. 12(b) that a higher microorganism slip parameter leads to a decrease of microorganism profiles. Note that the microorganism slip parameter $\delta_n$ also appears in the wall boundary condition (26) as $\chi(0) = 1 + \delta_n \chi'(0)$. The coupling with the micro-organism density gradient i.e. $\chi \delta_n \chi'(0)$ results in a delay in the diffusion of microorganisms from the disk surface to main body of nanofluid, similar to that induced by temperature and nanoparticle concentration slip effects. This delay results in opposition to microorganisms migrating from the disk (wall) to the free stream and manifests in a suppression in micro-organism species boundary layer thickness with $\delta_n$. The inclusion of micro-organism slip at the disk surface therefore produces results which are lower than those reported in no slip models.
Figure 12: Effects of $s$ and $\delta_n$ on $\phi(\eta)$ and $\chi(\eta)$

Inspection of Fig. 13 reveals that radial and circumferential (tangential) friction factors both decrease with elevation in the radial and circumferential momentum slip parameters in the presence or absence of blowing. Anisotropic slip therefore induces significant deceleration on the disk surface. Neglection of anisotropic slip in conventional mathematical models of swirling disk flow therefore produces over-estimates of the surface skin friction components. It is also noteworthy that radial skin friction grows in a linear fashion with increasing Stefan blowing whereas generally there is a linear decay in circumferential skin friction factor with greater Stefan blowing.

Figure 13: Influence of $s$ on (a) $f''(0)$ for different values of $\delta_n$, (b) $g'(0)$ for different values of $\delta_n$.

Fig. 14 shows that disk surface heat transfer rate and nanoparticle mass transfer rate both decrease with higher thermal and nanoparticle mass slip parameters in the absence or presence of blowing. The inclusion of these slip effects therefore produces results which are significantly lower than no-slip model predictions and are thereby conservative for designers [73, 74]. With increased blowing, heat transfer rate and nanoparticle mass transfer rate are clearly depleted (linear decays) except for the case of strong nanoparticle mass slip (Fig. 14b, pink line) for which there is a weak linear growth in nanoparticle mass transfer rate.
Figure 14: Impact of Stefan blowing, $s$, on (a) $-\theta'(0)$ for different values of $\delta_T$, (b) on $-\phi'(0)$ for different values of $\delta_C$.

Finally, Fig. 15 indicates that with an increase of microorganism slip parameters, for any Stefan blowing parameter, the microorganism transfer rate is substantially decreased. Maximum microorganism transfer rate at the disk surface therefore corresponds to the case of no microorganism slip ($\delta_n = 0$).

Figure 15: The plot of $-\chi'(0)$ versus $s$ (-1 < $s$ < 1) with different values of $\delta_n$.

Solutions for radial and circumferential skin friction, heat transfer rate (Nusselt number function), nano-particle wall mass transfer rate (Sherwood number function) and wall motile micro-organism density number gradient are presented in Table 2 for various values of the Stefan blowing parameter ($s$), disk surface slip parameters ($\delta_u$, $\delta_v$, $\delta_r$, $\delta_c$, $\delta_n$), power-law stretching rate ($m$) and Darcy number ($Da$). Radial skin friction ($f''(0)$) is significantly increased with a switch in power-law stretching from negative to positive values; however, circumferential skin friction ($-g'(0)$) is decreased as are heat transfer rate ($-\theta'(0)$), nanoparticle mass transfer rate ($-\phi'(0)$) and micro-organism density number rate ($-\chi'(0)$). Disk radial stretching therefore exerts a significant influence on wall transport characteristics and may be exploited by designers to achieve desired results [74, 75]. The impact of the other parameters agrees with the profiles plotted in earlier figures.
Table 2 (Part 1): Computational values of \( f''(0), -g'(0), -\theta'(0), -\phi'(0) \) and \( \chi'(0) \) for different parameters: \( s, \delta_u, \delta_v, \delta_T, \delta_c, \delta_n, m \) and \( Da \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>s</th>
<th>( \delta_u )</th>
<th>( \delta_v )</th>
<th>( \delta_T )</th>
<th>( \delta_c )</th>
<th>( \delta_n )</th>
<th>( m )</th>
<th>( Da )</th>
<th>( f''(0) )</th>
<th>( -g'(0) )</th>
<th>( -\theta'(0) )</th>
<th>( -\phi'(0) )</th>
<th>( \chi'(0) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4151</td>
<td>0.6456</td>
<td>1.1192</td>
<td>0.6302</td>
<td>0.8583</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4204</td>
<td>0.6118</td>
<td>0.8196</td>
<td>0.596</td>
<td>0.7833</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4245</td>
<td>0.5835</td>
<td>0.5869</td>
<td>0.5558</td>
<td>0.7086</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.2487</td>
<td>0.8038</td>
<td>1.4482</td>
<td>0.7726</td>
<td>1.0437</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.2573</td>
<td>0.7669</td>
<td>1.0931</td>
<td>0.721</td>
<td>0.9438</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.2637</td>
<td>0.7355</td>
<td>0.8102</td>
<td>0.6727</td>
<td>0.857</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4025</td>
<td>0.7529</td>
<td>1.2462</td>
<td>0.6797</td>
<td>0.8958</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4141</td>
<td>0.7315</td>
<td>0.9347</td>
<td>0.6553</td>
<td>0.8575</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4181</td>
<td>0.6952</td>
<td>0.6775</td>
<td>0.6104</td>
<td>0.7755</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.269</td>
<td>0.4996</td>
<td>1.1014</td>
<td>0.5997</td>
<td>0.8227</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.2775</td>
<td>0.4806</td>
<td>0.8142</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.7524</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.2845</td>
<td>0.4642</td>
<td>0.589</td>
<td>0.5342</td>
<td>0.6827</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.3689</td>
<td>0.6897</td>
<td>1.3351</td>
<td>0.5899</td>
<td>0.8423</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.375</td>
<td>0.6591</td>
<td>0.9903</td>
<td>0.6012</td>
<td>0.806</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.3803</td>
<td>0.6297</td>
<td>0.7017</td>
<td>0.584</td>
<td>0.7484</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.3648</td>
<td>0.7092</td>
<td>0.8872</td>
<td>0.9525</td>
<td>1.1551</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.375</td>
<td>0.6591</td>
<td>0.6676</td>
<td>0.7191</td>
<td>0.8961</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.3805</td>
<td>0.6284</td>
<td>0.5168</td>
<td>0.6093</td>
<td>0.7612</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.3667</td>
<td>0.7004</td>
<td>1.2763</td>
<td>0.789</td>
<td>1.0108</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.375</td>
<td>0.6591</td>
<td>0.9007</td>
<td>0.7034</td>
<td>0.8812</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.3806</td>
<td>0.6273</td>
<td>0.6365</td>
<td>0.6317</td>
<td>0.776</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.3705</td>
<td>0.6819</td>
<td>1.118</td>
<td>0.441</td>
<td>0.7218</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.375</td>
<td>0.6591</td>
<td>0.9108</td>
<td>0.4515</td>
<td>0.6982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.3792</td>
<td>0.6359</td>
<td>0.7136</td>
<td>0.4575</td>
<td>0.6708</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 (Part 2): Computational values of \( f''(0), -g'(0), -\theta'(0), -\phi'(0) \) and \( \chi'(0) \) for different parameters: \( s, \delta_u, \delta_v, \delta_T, \delta_c, \delta_n, m \) and \( Da \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>s</th>
<th>( \delta_u )</th>
<th>( \delta_v )</th>
<th>( \delta_T )</th>
<th>( \delta_c )</th>
<th>( \delta_n )</th>
<th>( m )</th>
<th>( Da )</th>
<th>( f''(0) )</th>
<th>( -g'(0) )</th>
<th>( -\theta'(0) )</th>
<th>( -\phi'(0) )</th>
<th>( \chi'(0) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.368</td>
<td>0.694</td>
<td>1.2218</td>
<td>0.6707</td>
<td>1.0046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.375</td>
<td>0.6591</td>
<td>0.9034</td>
<td>0.633</td>
<td>0.9055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.3803</td>
<td>0.6294</td>
<td>0.6545</td>
<td>0.5905</td>
<td>0.8126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.368</td>
<td>0.694</td>
<td>1.2218</td>
<td>0.6707</td>
<td>0.6687</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7. CONCLUSIONS
Motivated by new developments in rotating disk membrane oxygenators and rotating bioreactor designs, a mathematical model has been presented for viscous, incompressible, steady-state swirling transport from an impermeable rotating disk immersed in porous medium saturated with water-based nanofluid containing gyrotactic microorganisms. The disk rotates at a constant angular velocity and is able to radially stretch, according to a power-law relationship, and Darcy’s model is employed for simulating porous medium drag effects. Furthermore, anisotropic slip boundary conditions and blowing effects at the disk surface was considered. The governing conservation equations were converted to a set of similarity differential equations (SODEs) using appropriate transformations. The SODEs were solved by the Chebyshev collocation method. Validation with earlier studies was made.

The principal findings may be stated as follows:

- Radial velocity increases whereas temperature, concentration and microorganism density number decrease with Darcy number and radial slip parameter.
- Temperature is reduced with increasing thermal slip and nanoparticle concentration is suppressed with increasing wall mass slip.
- Micro-organism density number increases with the greater microorganism slip.
- Radial velocity, nanoparticle concentration, temperature and microorganism density are all enhanced with an increase in the value of the Stefan blowing parameter.
- Radial skin friction is strongly elevated with a positive power-law stretching exponent whereas it is reduced with negative values; the opposite behaviour is computed for circumferential skin friction, heat transfer rate, nanoparticle mass transfer rate and micro-organism density number rate.
- Radial and circumferential skin friction, heat transfer rate, nanoparticle mass transfer rate and micro-organism density number rate are all reduced generally with higher slip parameters, irrespective of the presence of Stefan blowing.

The present work was confined to steady-state flow. Future studies will consider time-dependent flows which are also of relevance to rotating hybrid membrane oxygenator systems and will be communicated imminently.
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