



University of
Salford
MANCHESTER

How do we perceive activity pacing in rheumatology care? An international delphi survey

Cuperus, N, Vliet Vlieland, TPM, Brodin, N, Hammond, A, Kjekken, I, Lund, H, Murphy, S, Neijland, Y, Opava, C, Roskar, S, Sargautye, R, Stamm, T, Torres Mata, X, Uhlig, T, Zangi, H and van der Ende, CH

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/annrheumdis-2015-eular.2556>

Title	How do we perceive activity pacing in rheumatology care? An international delphi survey
Authors	Cuperus, N, Vliet Vlieland, TPM, Brodin, N, Hammond, A, Kjekken, I, Lund, H, Murphy, S, Neijland, Y, Opava, C, Roskar, S, Sargautye, R, Stamm, T, Torres Mata, X, Uhlig, T, Zangi, H and van der Ende, CH
Type	Article
URL	This version is available at: http://usir.salford.ac.uk/id/eprint/38406/
Published Date	2015

USIR is a digital collection of the research output of the University of Salford. Where copyright permits, full text material held in the repository is made freely available online and can be read, downloaded and copied for non-commercial private study or research purposes. Please check the manuscript for any further copyright restrictions.

For more information, including our policy and submission procedure, please contact the Repository Team at: usir@salford.ac.uk.

Cuperus N, Vliet Vlieland T.P.M, Brodin N, Hammond A, Kjekken I, Lund H, Murphy S, Neijland Y, Opava CH, Roškar S, Sargautyte R, Stamm T, Torres Mata X, Uhlig T, Zangi H, van den Ende CH. (2015). **How do we perceive activity pacing in Rheumatology care? An international Delphi survey.** *Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases* 74 (Suppl 2): 159 doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2015-eular.2556

Abstract

Background Activity pacing is a recommended non-pharmacological intervention for the management of rheumatic and musculoskeletal diseases in international clinical guidelines. In clinical practice, activity pacing aims at adapting daily activities, and is often an important component of self-management programs. However, despite its wide endorsement in clinical practice, to date activity pacing is still a poorly understood concept.

Objectives To achieve consensus by means of an international Delphi exercise on the most important aspects of activity pacing as an intervention within non-pharmacological rheumatology care.

Methods An international, multidisciplinary expert panel comprising 60 clinicians and/or healthcare providers experienced with activity pacing across 12 different countries participated in a Delphi survey. Over four Delphi rounds, the panelists identified and ranked the most important goals of activity pacing, behaviours of activity pacing (the actions people take to meet the goal of activity pacing), strategies to change behaviour in activity pacing (for example goal setting) and contextual factors that should be acknowledged when instructing activity pacing. Besides, topics for future research on activity pacing were formulated and prioritized.

Results Of the 60 panelists, nearly two third (63%) completed all four Delphi rounds. The panel prioritized 9 goals, 11 behaviours, 9 strategies to change behaviour and 10 contextual factors of activity pacing. These items were integrated into a consensual list containing the most important aspects of activity pacing interventions in non-pharmacological rheumatology care. Furthermore, the Delphi panel prioritized 9 topics for future research on activity pacing which were included in a research agenda. This agenda highlights that future research should focus on the effectiveness of activity pacing interventions and on appropriate outcome measures to assess its effectiveness, as selected by 64% and 82% of the panelists, respectively.

Conclusions The diversity and number of items included in the consensual list developed in the current study reflect the heterogeneity of the concept of activity pacing. This study is an important first step to achieve better transparency and homogeneity within the concept of activity pacing for clinical practice and research.