



University of
Salford
MANCHESTER

The occurrence of ecto-parasitic *Leptus* sp. mites on Africanised honey bees

Martin, SJ and Correia-Oliveira, E

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00218839.2016.1228214>

Title	The occurrence of ecto-parasitic <i>Leptus</i> sp. mites on Africanised honey bees
Authors	Martin, SJ and Correia-Oliveira, E
Type	Article
URL	This version is available at: http://usir.salford.ac.uk/id/eprint/39997/
Published Date	2016

USIR is a digital collection of the research output of the University of Salford. Where copyright permits, full text material held in the repository is made freely available online and can be read, downloaded and copied for non-commercial private study or research purposes. Please check the manuscript for any further copyright restrictions.

For more information, including our policy and submission procedure, please contact the Repository Team at: usir@salford.ac.uk.

1 The occurrence of ecto-parasitic *Leptus* sp. mites on Africanised honey bees

2

3 Stephen John Martin^{1*} and Maria Emilene Correia-Oliveira^{1,2}

4

5

6 ¹ School of Environment and Life Sciences, University of Salford, Manchester M5 4WT, UK

7 ² Federal University of the Reconcavo of Bahia (UFRB), Rua Rui Barbosa, 710 - Centro,

8 Cruz das Almas - BA, 44380-000, Brazil

9 *Corresponding Author: s.j.martin@salford.ac.uk

10 Running title: *Leptus* mites on honeybees

11

12 **Acknowledgements**

13 This work was funded by “Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico

14 (CNPq)” via Special Visiting Researcher – PVE between S. Martin and Carlos A. L. de

15 Carvalho (number 400425/2014-9). Maria E. Correia-Oliveira was funded by “Coordenação

16 de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES)”. We thank Carlos A. L. de

17 Carvalho (UFRB) for arranging access to the colonies and to the beekeepers for help with

18 collecting the mites and bees. The honeybees were collected under SISBIO license number

19 46906-1.

20

21

22

23 **Summary**

24 Honey bee-mite-pathogen associations have led to the widespread collapse of *Apis mellifera*
25 colonies in various parts of the world. The global trade in bees continues to expose honey
26 bees to new pests and pathogens. Here we highlight to the beekeeping community a potential
27 new mite-pathogen association. In South America ecto-parasitic *Leptus* mite larvae have been
28 recorded parasitising adult honey bees and these mites are known to transmit *Spiroplasma*
29 bacteria the causative agent of 'Mays disease' in bees. Here we provide new data and review
30 past studies on *Leptus* mites and discuss the potential risk to *A. mellifera* this mite may pose
31 in the future.

32

33 **Key words:** *Leptus*, mites, honey bees, *Spiroplasma* bacteria

34

35 **Introduction**

36 Although hundreds of mite species are associated with honey bee colonies, less than ten can
37 be classed as parasitic, i.e. obtaining nourishment from the bee's haemolymph (De Jong,
38 Morse, & Eickwort, 1982; Sammataro, Gerson, & Needham, 2000). These ten parasitic mites
39 belong to just four groups (tracheal mites *Acarapis* spp; *Varroa* spp; *Euvarroa* spp and
40 *Tropilaelaps* spp). In each case, a stable mite-honey bee relationship has evolved, so although
41 many colonies may be infested the mite populations are maintained at a low level due to a
42 suite of honey bee behaviours. The globalisation of beekeeping has resulted in the movement
43 of *Apis mellifera* well outside their natural range. This has allowed new mite-honey bee
44 associations to arise. For example, the *Varroa destructor* mite introduced a new viral
45 transmission route for Deformed wing virus (Martin et al., 2012), with devastating results.

46 Therefore, we need to be aware of any new mite-pathogen-honeybee associations that under
47 the right conditions may become another threat to honeybees.

48 During a research trip to Brazil, parasitic larvae of *Leptus* mites were seen infesting
49 adult worker honey bees. The *Leptus* mites belong to the Trombidiidae family (large red
50 velvet mites) those six-legged larval stage are parasitic on a wide range of adult invertebrates
51 including harvestmen, moths, true bugs, aphids, flies and beetles (Welbourn & Jennings, 1983;
52 Haltinger, 1992; Cokendolpher, 1993; Zhang, 1997; Pereira, Fadini, Pikart, Zanuncio, & Serrão,
53 2012). However, there are only a few reports from the Hymenoptera. The first was from
54 sweat bees (Eickwort, 1979), followed by the first report on *A. mellifera* from Cerro de
55 Pasco, Peru (Flechtmann, 1980), then later from a single European honey bee colony in
56 Guatemala (Wilson, Wooley, Nunamaker, & Rubink, 1987), which was later identified as
57 *Leptus ariel* by Southcott (1989, 1992).

58 The basic life-cycle of all *Leptus* mites is similar with eggs laid in the soil or on
59 vegetation. After hatching the parasitic larval attach themselves to their invertebrate host and
60 gain access to the hosts blood supply by dissolving the hosts cuticle around the area of the
61 mites mouthparts, which forms the attachment site. The larvae become engorged before
62 dropping off their host and return to the soil to develop into an adult via a deutonymph stage.
63 Both the adults and deutonymphs are predatory feeding on arthropods eggs within the
64 vegetation or soil (Zhang, 1997).

65 The aim of this study is to bring new data about *Leptus* mites and evaluate the
66 potential threat that this mite may poses to the beekeeping community.

67

68

69

70 **Methods**

71 After discovering *Leptus* larva attached to adult honey bees, a total of 30 Africanised honey
72 bee colonies from four apiaries belonging to Federal University of the Reconcavo of Bahia
73 (UFRB) were surveyed for the presence of the *Leptus* larvae during October 2014 and again
74 in January 2015. The four apiaries were within 50km of Cruz das Almas, Bahia in North
75 Eastern Brazil. Each brood frame was removed and the adult bees visually scanned for the
76 mites and any infested bees collected. The blood red colour of the *Leptus* mites (Fig. 1) are
77 very distinctive, resembling red pollen or propolis stuck to the bees body. During October a
78 sample of 40-50 adults bees from each colony was collected from the brood comb and taken
79 back to the laboratory. In the laboratory the attachment locations and numbers of mite
80 infesting each bee were recorded. All images were taken with a Leica camera attached to a
81 Leica microscope.

82

83 **Results**

84 During October, visual sampling indicated that 26 (87%) of the 30 study colonies were
85 infested with *Leptus* mites. Colony infestation levels were normally very low $\gg 1\%$, which
86 was confirmed by the lack of any infested honeybees in 28 out of the 30 bee samples
87 collected via manual inspection. However, in one apiary two colonies had infestation rates
88 between 3-6%, which was quickly apparent during the visual scanning. However, in January
89 2015 no mites could be found in any of the same 30 colonies. In October 2014 the mean
90 infestation level was two mites per bee, although some bees were very heavily infested
91 (Table 1, Fig. 1). *Leptus* mites were found attached to almost all parts of the bees body
92 (Fig.2) even on the eyes and pollen basket. The mites were at all stages of engorgement (Fig.
93 1) and a small number of dead shivered mites, but still attached, were seen (Fig. 1). No

94 significant ($p=0.7$, Fisher's exact test) preference for the left or the right side of the bee was
95 detected.

96 A very close match between the morphology of our Brazilian specimens with the
97 images of *Leptus ariel* recorded parasitising a European honey bee colony in Guatemala
98 (Southcott, 1989) suggested it to be the same species, although this would need confirming
99 by an expert.

100

101 **Discussion**

102 This study indicates that parasitism of adult worker honey bees by *Leptus* mites, can be
103 widespread and reach significantly high levels in some colonies e.g. 3-5% (this study) and
104 5% in the colony from Guatemala (Wilson et al., 1987). Mites do not appear to persist for
105 long periods of time in honey bee colonies, as found in studies of *Leptus* mites infesting
106 Harvestmen (Townsend, Mulholland, Bradford, Proud, & Parent, 2006). This may simply
107 reflect the mites' life-cycle. The heavy infestation of some individuals or colonies may reflect
108 foraging workers visiting plants or collecting water from areas infesting with many questing
109 *Leptus* larvae. The ability of the mite larva to dissolve the hosts cuticle to gain access to the
110 haemolymph means they can be found almost anywhere on the bees body (Fig. 2). Studies on
111 true bugs (Pereira et al., 2012) and Passalid beetles (Baker, 1982) indicated *Leptus* mites only
112 attached to the dorsal surface and none on the legs, suggesting that these places may be less
113 vulnerable to being removed. In honey bees this is not the case since many mites attached
114 themselves in very exposed and seemingly vulnerable positions. Although the mites are only
115 attached by their mouthparts, this is a firm attachment and even dead mites are difficult to
116 remove. However, the mites' soft body, which allows engorgement, should be vulnerable to
117 damage by the bees, but no damaged was seen.

118 Little is known about the impact of *Leptus* larva feeding on their host. Although
119 *Leptus* larvae are able to transmit *Spiroplasma* bacteria to their host while feeding (DiBlasi
120 Morse, Mayberry, Avila, Morando, & Dittmar, 2011). Furthermore, laboratory studies have
121 shown that other ecto-parasitic mites (*Macrocheles subbadius*) can transfer *S. poulsonii*
122 between different *Drosophila* species (Jaenike, Polak, Fiskin, Helou, & Minhas, 2007).
123 *Spiroplasma* bacteria can be mutualistic or pathogenic (Gasparich, 2010) and in adult honey
124 bees if *Spiroplasma* crosses the gut wall and enters the haemolymph it can kill the bee (Clark,
125 1977, 1978; Schwarz et al., 2014). In honey bees *Spiroplasma* bacteria are the causative agent
126 of 'May disease' (Mouches, Bov, Albisetti, Clark, & Tully, 1982). A recent survey of *S.*
127 *melliferum* and *S. apis* were detected in 54% and 33% of colonies surveyed in Brazil and
128 USA respectively (Schwarz et al., 2014). They also found a strong seasonal fluctuation in
129 *Spiroplasma* prevalence. Clark (1978) detected *Spiroplasma* bacteria on flowers suggesting a
130 possible route of infection from the environment to the bees.

131 Therefore, *Spiroplasma* bacteria are widespread in *A. mellifera* colonies in the
132 Americas and this can cause colony death in some cases. The feeding behaviour of the *Leptus*
133 larvae will allow any *Spiroplasma* infections the mites are carrying, direct access to the host
134 haemolymph, which in turn could lead to death of the bee. However, the impact on colony
135 health appears to be small even in the two heavily infested colonies, which both remained
136 healthy during the next year. This is because the adults infected will be foragers already at the
137 end of their life. *Leptus* mites do not move between hosts and complete their life-cycle
138 outside the hive. So currently the risk posed by *Leptus* mites is small. However, parasitism by
139 *Leptus* larva is probably more common than currently recorded, at least in South America,
140 which remains the only region where the mite has been recorded infesting honey bees.

141

142

143 **References**

144

145 Ball, B.V., & Bailey, L. (1997). Viruses. In *Honey Bee Pests, Predators, and Diseases*, ed.
146 RM Morse, PK Flottum, 2:13–31. Medina, OH: Root. 3rd ed.

147 Baker, G. T. (1982). Site attachment of a protelean parasite (Erythraeidae: *Leptus* sp.).
148 *Experientia*, 38, 923.

149 Clark, T. B. (1977). *Spiroplasma* sp., a new pathogen in honey bees. *Journal of Invertebrate*
150 *Pathology*, 29, 112-113.

151 Clark, T. B. (1978). Honeybee spiroplasmosis, a new problem for beekeepers. *American Bee*
152 *Journal*, 118, 18-23.

153 Cokendolpher, J.C. (1993). Pathogens and parasites of *Opiliones*. (Arthropoda: Arachnida).
154 *International Arachnology*. 21, 120-146.

155 De Jong, D., Morse, R. A., & Eickwort, G. C. (1982). Mite pests of honey bees. *Annual*
156 *Review of Entomology*. 27, 229–52.

157 DiBlasi, E., Morse, S., Mayberry, J. R., Avila, L.J., Morando, M., & Dittmar, K. (2011).
158 New *Spiroplasma* in parasitic *Leptus* mites and their Agathemera walking stick hosts
159 from Argentina. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology*, 107, 225–228.

160 Eickwort, G. C. (1979). Mites associated with sweat bees (Halictidae). In Rodriguez, JG.
161 (Orgs.). *Recent Advances in Acarology*, New York: Academic. p. 575-581.

162 Fletchmann, C. H. W. (1980). Dois ácaros associados à abelha *Apis mellifera* L. no Peru.
163 *Anais da Escola Superior de Agricultura “Luiz de Queiroz”*, 37, 737-741.

164 Gasparich, G. (2010). Spiroplasmas and phytoplasmas: microbes associated with plant hosts.
165 *Biologicals*, 38, 193–203.

166 Haitlinger, R. (1992). Four new species of *Leptus* Latreille, 1796 (Acari, Prostigmata,
167 Erythraeidae) from Peru. *Museo Regionale di Scienze Naturali Bollettino*
168 *(Turin)*, 17, 149-162.

169 Jaenike, J., Polak, M., Fiskin, A., Helou, M., & Minhas, M. (2007). Interspecific transmission
170 of endosymbiotic *Spiroplasma* by mites. *Biology Letters*, 3, 23–25.

171 Martin, S. J., Highfield, A. C., Brettell, L., Villalobos, E. M., Budge, G. C., Powell, M.,

- 172 Nikaido, S., & Schroeder, D. C. (2012). Global honeybee viral landscape altered by a
173 parasitic mite. *Science*, 336, 1304-1306.
- 174 Mouches, C., Bov, J. M., Albisetti, J., Clark, T. B., & Tully, J. G. (1982). A *Spiroplasma*
175 of serogroup IV causes a May-disease-like disorder of honeybees in Southwestern
176 France. *Microbiological Ecology*, 8, 387–399.
- 177 Pereira, A.I.A., Fadini, M.A.M., Pikart, T.G., Zanuncio, J.C. & Serrão, J.E. (2012). New
178 hosts and parasitism notes for the mite *Leptus* (Acari: Erythraeidae) in fragments of
179 the Atlantic Forest, Brazil. *Brazilian Journal of Biology*, 72, 611-616.
- 180 Sammataro, D., Gerson, U. & Needham, G (2000). Parasitic Mites of Honey Bees: Life
181 History, Implications, and Impact. *Annual Review of Entomology*, 45, 519-548.
- 182 Schwarz, R. S., Teixeira, E. W., Tauber, J. P., Birke, J.M., Martins, M. F., Fonseca, I. &
183 Evans, J.D. (2014). Honey bee colonies act as reservoirs for two *Spiroplasma*
184 facultative symbionts and incur complex, multiyear infection dynamics.
185 *MicrobiologyOpen*, 3, 341-355.
- 186 Southcott, R. V. (1989). A larval mite (Acarina: Erythraeidae) parasitizing the European
187 honey bee in Guatemala. *Acarologia*, 30, 123-129.
- 188 Southcott, R. V. (1992). Revision of the larvae of *Leptus* Latreille (Acarina: Erythraeidae) of
189 Europe and North America, with descriptions of post-larval instars. *Zoological*
190 *Journal of the Linnean Society*, 105, 1-153.
- 191 Townsend, J. V. R., Mulholland, K. A., Bradford, J. O., Proud, D. N., & Parent, K. M.
192 (2006). Seasonal variation in parasitism by erythraeid mites (*Leptus* sp.) upon the
193 harvestmen *Leiobunum formosum* (Opiliones, Sclerosomatidae). *Journal of*
194 *Arachnology*, 34, 492-494.
- 195 Welbourn, W., & Jennings, D. (1983). Potential use of trombidid and erythraeid mites
196 as biological control agents of insect pests. *Agricultural Experimental Station of the*
197 *Division of Agricultural Natural Resources*, vol. 3304. University of California,
198 Berkeley, pp. 103–140 (Special Publication).
- 199 Wilson, W. T., Wooley, T. A., Nunamaker, R. A., & Rubink, W. L. (1987). An erythraeid
200 mite externally parasitic on honey bees (*Apis mellifera*). *American Bee*
201 *Journal*, 127, 853-854.

202 Zhang, Z. (1997). Biology and ecology of trombidiid mites. *Experimental and Applied*
203 *Acarology*, 22, 139-155.

204

205

206

207

208 Table 1. The *Leptus* mite infestation levels of 86 individual adult honeybees.

Number of <i>Leptus</i> larvae per bee	1	2	3	4	5	7	9	10	19
Number of adult bees	58	13	5	2	3	1	2	1	1

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223



224

225 Figure 1. Images showing *Leptus* mite larvae at different states of engorgement and at a
226 variety of attachment sites. The lower right panel shows a yellow dead mite that still remains
227 attached to the right hand side of the leg.

228

229

230

231

232

233


234

235

236

237

238



239

240

241 Figure 2. The various attachment locations of 152 *Leptus* mites on adult worker honey bees in
242 this study.

243