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Dynamics of variable-viscosity nanofluid flow with heat transfer in a flexible vertical tube under propagating waves

A. Bintul Huda, Noreen Sher Akbar, O. Anwar Beg, M. Yaqub Khan

Abstract

Background and objectives: The present investigation addresses nanofluid flow and heat transfer in a vertical tube with temperature-dependent viscosity. A Tiwari-Das type formulation is employed for the nanofluid with a viscosity modification. As geometry of the problem is flexible tube so flow equations are modeled considering cylindrical coordinates. Governing partial differential equations are simplified and converted into differential equations using non-dimensionless variables with low Reynolds number (Re ≪ 0 i.e. inertial forces are small as compared to the viscous forces) and long wavelength (δ ≪ 0 i.e. physiologically valid that length of tube is very large as compared to width of the tube) approximations.

Methods results conclusions: Mathematica software is employed to evaluate the exact solutions of velocity profile, temperature profile, axial velocity profile, pressure gradient and stream function. The influence of heat source/sink parameter (β), Grashof number (Gr) and the viscosity parameter (σ) and nanoparticle volume fraction (φ) on velocity, temperature, pressure gradient, pressure rise and wall shear stress distributions is presented graphically. Three different nanofluid suspensions are investigated—Titanium oxide-water, Copper oxide-water and Silver-water. Streamline plots are also computed to illustrate bolus dynamics and trapping phenomena which characterize peristaltic propulsion. The computations show that wall shear stress is maximum for the Silver-water nanofluid case. Furthermore the pressure rise is reduced with increasing Grashof number, heat absorption parameter and viscosity parameter in the augmented pumping region whereas the contrary response is observed in the peristaltic pumping region. Significant modification in the quantity of trapped boluses is found with different nanofluids and the size of the trapped bolus decreased in the Titanium oxide-water nanofluid case with either greater heat source or sink parameter. The study is relevant to drug delivery systems exploiting nano-particles.

© 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).
great relevance to advanced medical and biochemical engineering pumps. Roller and Finger pumps using viscous fluid operate on this principle. Peristaltic pumps offer considerable advantages over conventional pumping mechanisms including corrosion mitigation and leak-free designs. Furthermore such pumps can avoid internal backflow and thereby can consistently deliver accurate dosing without slip and exhibit excellent repeatability and metering capabilities. The tube components in peristaltic pumps also achieve longer service times and minimize maintenance. They have therefore been deployed in many diverse areas of biomedicine including diabetic treatment [15] and drug delivery in cancer therapy [16]. They have also been integrated into medical recirculating cooling pumps with applications in cooling electro-tips of RF catheters in order to mitigate blood coagulation on the tip during ablation therapy. The mechanism of peristaltic transport has also been exploited in biohazard management including sanitary fluid transport and safe conveyance of corrosive fluid where the contact of the fluid with the machinery parts is prohibited. Further details are provided in Diniz et al. [17].

Non-Newtonian models are extremely diverse and include viscoelastic, viscoplastic, micro-continuum and other formulations. Variable-viscosity models are also an important sub-section of rheological liquids. They have therefore also been investigated in the context of peristaltic flows, since viscosity variation (e.g. with temperature) is an important characteristic of certain physiological (and industrial) materials. Peristaltic transport of a power-law fluid with variable consistency was examined by Shakla and Gupta [18]. They observed that for zero pressure drop, flow rate flux is elevated for greater amplitude of the peristaltic wave whereas it is suppressed with increasing pseudo-plastic nature of the fluid. They further noted that wall friction is reduced as the consistency decreases. Srivastava et al. [19] studied the peristaltic transport of a fluid with variable viscosity through a non-uniform tube. They showed that the pressure rise is markedly lowered as the fluid viscosity decreases at zero flow rate but is independent of viscosity variation at a certain value of flow rate. However beyond this critical flow rate, the pressure rise is enhanced with greater viscosity. Abd El Hakeem et al. [20] studied using perturbation expansions, the influence of variable viscosity and an inserted endoscope on peristaltic viscous flow. They employed an exponential decay model for viscosity and observed that pressure rise is decreased with increasing viscosity ratio whereas it is enhanced with increasing wave number, amplitude ratio and radius ratio. Further studies of variable-viscosity peristaltic flow include Abd El Hakeem et al. [21] for magnetohydrodynamic fluids, Khan et al. [22] for inclined pumping of non-Newtonian fluids and Akbar [23] present nanoparticle volume fraction for phase model.

Another significant development in medical engineering in recent years has been the emergence of nanofluids, a sub-category of nanoscale materials. Nanofluids comprise base fluids (water, air, ethylene glycol etc) with nano-size solid particles suspended in them. Nanofluids have gained much attention from investigators due to their high thermal conductivity and pioneering work in developing such fluids was first performed by Choi [24]. Nanoparticles are generally synthesized from metals, oxides, carbides, or carbon nanotubes owing to high thermal conductivities associated with these materials. In a medical engineering context as refer in [24], nanoparticles have been found to achieve exceptional performance in enhancing thermal and mass diffusion properties of, for example, drugs injected into the blood stream. Biocompatibility of the selected metallic oxides is crucial for safe deployment of nanofluids in medicine. New potential applications for nanoparticles in nanoparticle blood diagnostic systems, asthma sensors, carbon nanotubes in catheters and stents and anti-bacterial treatment for wounds via peristaltic pump delivery was identified by Harris and Graffagnini [25]. Nanoparticles possess many unique attributes which make them particularly attractive for clinical applications. These include a surface to mass ratio which is much greater than that of other particles, site-specific targeting features which can be achieved by attaching targeting ligands to surface of particles (or via magnetic guidance), quantum properties, enhanced ability to adsorb and carry other compounds, excellent large functional surface which can bind, adsorb and convey secondary compounds (drugs, probes and proteins). Further advantages encompass controllable deployment of particle degradation characteristics which can be successfully modulated by judicious selection of matrix constituents, and flexibility in administration methods (nasal, parenteral, intra-ocular). In neuro-pharmacological hemodynamics as refer in [26], it has been clinically verified that nanoparticles can easily penetrate the blood brain barrier (BBB) facilitating the introduction of therapeutic agents into the brain. Fullstone et al. [26] have also recently described the exceptional characteristics of nanoparticles (size, shape and surface chemistry) in assisting effective delivery of drugs within cells or tissue (achieved via modulation of immune system interactions, blood clearance profile and interaction with target cells). They have further shown that erythrocytes aid in effective nanoparticle distribution within capillaries. Further studies include Tan et al. [27]. Simulation of peristaltic flows of nanofluids is therefore extremely relevant to improve administration of nanofluids in medicine. Representative studies in this regard include Tripathi and Bég [28] who considered analytically the thermal and nano-species buoyancy effects on heat, mass and momentum transfer in peristaltic propulsion of nanofluids in peristaltic pumping devices, employing the Buongiorno formulation which incorporates Brownian motion and thermodiffusion. Ebaid and Aly [29] studied magnetic field effects on electrically-conducting nanofluid propulsion by peristaltic waves with applications in cancer therapy. Akbar et al. [30] investigated peristaltic slip nanofluid hydrodynamics in an asymmetric channel, obtaining series solutions for temperature, nano-particle concentration, stream function and pressure gradient. Akbar and Nadeem [31] considered peristaltic flow of Phan-Thien-Tanner nanofluid in a diverging conduit with the homotopy perturbation method. Further analyses include Bég and Tripathi [32] who considered double-diffusive convection of nanofluids in finite length pumping systems. These studies did not consider variable viscosity effects. Further literature can be viewed through Refs. [33–44].

In the present article, we therefore consider the peristaltic propulsion of nanofluid in a vertical conduit with temperature-dependent viscosity. Basic formulation is employed for the nanofluid with a viscosity modification. Heat transfer is also considered and heat source/sink and thermal buoyancy effects featured. Various nano-particles are considered i.e. Titanium oxide-water, Copper oxide-water and Silver-water. Analytical solutions are derived to examine the effects of heat generation/absorption parameter, Grashof number, viscosity parameter and nanoparticle volume fraction on velocity, temperature, pressure gradient, pressure rise and wall shear stress variables. Streamline visualization is also computed to assess trapping hydrodynamics. The mathematical model is of potential importance in better understanding medical peristaltic pump nano-pharmacological delivery systems.

**Nanofluid peristaltic transport model**

Consider axisymmetric flow of a variable-viscosity nanofluid in a circular tube of finite length, L. The tube walls are flexible and a sinusoidal wave propagates along the walls of the tube. Isothermal conditions are enforced at the walls which are maintained at a temperature, T₀. At the center of the tube, a symmetric
The geometry of the wall surface is simulated via the following relation:

\[ \tilde{h} = a + b \sin \frac{2\pi}{\lambda}(Z - ct), \]  

(1)

where \( \tilde{h} \) denotes the height of the tube wall, \( a \) denotes the radius of the tube, \( b \) is the wave amplitude, \( \lambda \) is the wave length and \( c \) is the peristaltic wave speed. In the fixed coordinates system \((R, Z)\), the hydrodynamics is unsteady. It becomes steady in a wave frame \((r, z)\) moving with the same speed as the wave moves in the \(Z\)-direction. The transformations between the two frames (i.e. laboratory and wave frame) are:

\[ \tilde{r} = R, \tilde{z} = Z - ct, \quad \tilde{v} = \tilde{V}, \quad \tilde{w} = W - c, \quad \tilde{p}(\tilde{z}, \tilde{r}, \tilde{t}) = p(\tilde{Z}, \tilde{R}, \tilde{t}), \]  

(2)

The governing equations for conservation of mass, momentum and heat (energy) of an incompressible nanofluid, assuming the nanofluid to be a dilute suspension in thermal equilibrium, may be written as:

\[ \frac{1}{T} \frac{\partial(\tilde{u}T)}{\partial \tilde{r}} + \frac{\partial \tilde{w}}{\partial \tilde{z}} = 0, \]  

(3)

\[ \rho_{nf} \left[ \tilde{u} \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \tilde{r}} + \tilde{w} \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \tilde{z}} \right] = -\frac{\partial \tilde{p}}{\partial \tilde{r}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{z}} \left( 2 \mu_{nf}(0) \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \tilde{r}} + \mu_{nf}(0) \frac{2}{\tilde{r}} \left( \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \tilde{r}} - \frac{\tilde{u}}{\tilde{r}} \right) \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{z}} \left[ \mu_{nf}(0) \left( \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \tilde{r}} + \frac{\partial \tilde{w}}{\partial \tilde{z}} \right) \right], \]  

(4)

\[ \rho_{nf} \left[ \tilde{u} \frac{\partial \tilde{w}}{\partial \tilde{r}} + \tilde{w} \frac{\partial \tilde{w}}{\partial \tilde{z}} \right] = -\frac{\partial \tilde{p}}{\partial \tilde{z}} + \frac{1}{\tilde{r}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{r}} \left[ \mu_{nf}(0) \tilde{r} \left( \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \tilde{r}} + \frac{\partial \tilde{w}}{\partial \tilde{z}} \right) \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{z}} \left( 2 \mu_{nf}(0) \frac{\partial \tilde{w}}{\partial \tilde{z}} + (\rho\gamma)_{nf}g(T - T_0) \right), \]  

(5)

\[ \frac{\partial T}{\partial \tilde{t}} + \tilde{u} \frac{\partial T}{\partial \tilde{r}} + \tilde{w} \frac{\partial T}{\partial \tilde{z}} = \frac{k_{nf}}{\rho_{nf}} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial \tilde{r}^2} + \frac{1}{\tilde{r}} \frac{\partial T}{\partial \tilde{r}} + \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial \tilde{z}^2} \right] + \frac{Q_0}{\rho_{nf}c}, \]  

(6)

where \( \tilde{r} \) and \( \tilde{z} \) are the co-ordinates in the wave frame, \( \tilde{z} \) is taken as the center line of the tube and \( \tilde{r} \) is orientated transverse to it, \( \tilde{u} \) and \( \tilde{w} \) are the velocity components in the \( \tilde{r} \) and \( \tilde{z} \) directions respectively, \( T \) is the local temperature of the fluid. Further \( \mu_{nf} \) is the effective dynamic viscosity of nanofluid, \( \alpha_{nf} \) is the effective thermal diffusivity of nanofluid, \( \rho_{nf} \) is the effective density of nanofluid, \( (\rho c_p)_{nf} \) is the heat capacitance of the nanofluid and \( k_{nf} \) is the effective thermal conductivity of the nanofluid, and the subscript \( s \) designates solid.

These are defined, respectively, as follows:

\[ \mu_{nf} = \frac{\mu_s - \mu_{nf}}{1 - \phi}, \quad \alpha_{nf} = \frac{k_s}{\rho_{nf}}, \quad \phi_{nf} = (1 - \phi) \rho_f + \phi \rho_s, \quad (\rho c_p)_{nf} = (1 - \phi) (\rho c_p)_f + \phi (\rho c_p)_s, \quad (\rho\gamma)_{nf} = (1 - \phi) (\rho\gamma)_f + \phi (\rho\gamma)_s. \]  

(7)

In above equation \( \rho_f \), density of the base fluid, \( \rho_s \), density of the nanoparticles, \( k_f \), thermal conductivity of the base fluid, \( k_s \), thermal conductivity of the nanoparticles, \( \gamma_f \), is the thermal expansion coefficient, \( \gamma_s \), is the thermal expansion coefficient of basefluid \( \phi \) is the nanoparticle volume fraction, and \( \gamma_f \), is the thermal expansion coefficient of the nanoparticles.

We introduce the following non-dimensional variables:

\[ r = \frac{\tilde{r}}{R}, \quad z = \frac{\tilde{z}}{Z}, \quad u = \frac{\tilde{u}}{\tilde{V}}, \quad w = \frac{\tilde{w}}{W - c}, \quad p = \frac{\tilde{p}}{\tilde{p}}, \quad \theta = \frac{T - \tilde{T}_0}{T_0}, \quad t = \frac{\tilde{t}}{\tilde{T}_0}, \]  

(8)

These represent respectively the \( r \) dimensionless radial coordinate, \( z \) dimensionless axial coordinate, \( w,u \) dimensionless radial and axial velocity components, \( p \) dimensionless pressure, \( \theta \) dimensionless temperature function, \( t \) dimensionless time, \( e \) radius ratio,
Grashof number and $\beta$ heat source/sink parameter. Implementing these variables in Eqs. (2)–(5) and invoking the assumptions of low Reynolds number and long wavelength, the non-dimensional governing equations after dropping the dashes can be written as the following steady-state equations in the wave frame.

$$\frac{dp}{dr} = 0,$$

$$\frac{dp}{dz} = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( \frac{\mu_f}{\mu_0} \left( \frac{r}{\partial r} \left( \frac{\partial w}{\partial r} \right) \right) \right) + \left( \frac{\rho_f}{\rho_0} \right) \frac{G_r}{r} \theta,$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial r} + \beta \frac{k_1}{k_{nf}} = 0.$$  

The Reynolds model for nanofluid viscosity can be defined as follows:

$$\frac{\mu_{nf}}{\mu_0} = \frac{e^{-\phi \zeta}}{(1 - \phi)^2}, \quad \text{and} \quad e^{-\phi \zeta} = 1 - \phi \zeta, \quad \phi \ll 1.$$  

where $\zeta$ is the viscosity parameter and $\mu_0$ constant viscosity of the fluid.

The non-dimensional boundary conditions are prescribed as:

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial r} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial r} = 0 \quad \text{at} \quad r = 0,$$

$$w = -1, \quad \theta = 0 \quad \text{at} \quad r = h(z) \quad \text{where} \quad h(z) = 1 + \epsilon \cos(2\pi z).$$  

Analytical solutions of the boundary value problem

Closed-form solutions are feasible for the transformed, non-dimensional boundary value problem. Solving Eqs. (9)–(11) together with boundary conditions (13) and (14) therefore generates the following expressions for temperature and axial velocity:

$$\theta(r, z) = \frac{1}{4} \left( k_4 + 2k_i + 2\phi(k_j - k_i) \right) h^2(r^2 - r^4),$$

$$w(r, z) = \frac{d^2}{dz^2} (1 - \phi)^{2.5} \left( L_3 \frac{(r^2 - h^2)}{4} - L_4 \frac{(r^4 - h^4)}{8} \right) + \left( 1 - \phi \right) + \phi \frac{(\phi \zeta)}{(\phi \zeta_0)} G_r \left( 1 - \phi \right)^{2.5} \left( L_5 \frac{(r^2 - h^2)}{4} + L_6 \frac{(r^4 - h^4)}{8} \right).$$

where:

$$K = \frac{\mu_f}{\mu_0}, \quad L = \left( 1 - \phi \right) (\rho_f \gamma) + \phi (\rho_f \gamma S)/(\rho_f \gamma L), \quad L_1 = \frac{L}{2}, \quad L_2 = \frac{L}{8}, \quad L_3 = \frac{L}{2}, \quad L_4 = \frac{L}{4}, \quad L_5 = \frac{L}{8}, \quad L_6 = -\frac{L}{8} \frac{\gamma_0 K}{\mu_0}, \quad L_7 = \frac{L}{2}, \quad L_8 = \frac{L}{4}, \quad L_9 = \frac{L}{8} \frac{\gamma_0 K}{\mu_0}.$$  

The volumetric flow rate of nanofluid in the tube is given by:

$$F = \int_0^{h(z)} r \omega dr,$$

Axial pressure gradient emerges as:

$$\frac{dp}{dz} = \frac{F - h^2 L_3 (1 - \phi)^{2.5} \left( \left( 1 - \phi \right) + \phi \frac{(\phi \zeta)}{(\phi \zeta_0)} \right)}{h^2 L_8 (1 - \phi)^{2.5}}.$$  

It follows that the mean flow rate is given by:

$$F = 2Q - \frac{e^2}{2} - 1,$$

Integrating Eq. (19) over the interval $[0,1]$ leads to an expression for the pressure rise:

$$\Delta P = \int_0^1 \left( \frac{dp}{dz} \right) dz.$$  

Expression of wall shear stress is evaluated using

$$\tau_w = \frac{\partial w}{\partial r} \mid_{r=h}.$$  

Results and discussion

Numerical computations, based on the exact solutions derived in Section “Analytical solutions of the boundary value problem”, have been conducted to assess the influence of flow parameters

![Fig. 2. Velocity profile for different values of the (a) $\beta = 2.0$, 2.2. (b) $Gr = 2.0, 2.2$ and (c) $z = 0.10, 0.15$. (Red line pure water – Purple line CuO + H₂O – Blue line Ag + H₂O – Dark Purple line TiO₂ + H₂O). (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)](image-url)
on the peristaltic flow characteristics. These are depicted in Figs. 2–12. These computations are based on nanofluid properties documented in Table 1. Further solutions are given for the velocity and temperature fields in Tables 2–4.

Fig. 2(a)–(c) depict the velocity evolution in the tube for different values of \( \beta, G_r \), and \( \alpha \). It is observed that when we increase the value of heat absorption parameter \( \beta \), the velocity of the pure water and other nanoparticles also increases (Fig. 2a). Heat introduction into the fluid therefore enhances momentum transfer also and accelerates the axial flow. Parabolic distributions are observed across the tube diameter, with the core flow accelerated substantially due to greater heat source (absorption). Note the solid colored lines correspond to \( \beta = 2.0 \) whereas the dotted color lines are associated with \( \beta = 2.2 \). Axial velocity is maximized with silver-water (\( \text{Ag} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \)) nanofluid, whereas it is minimized with pure water. Copper-water nanofluid also achieves slightly greater acceleration in the core flow than does Titanium oxide nanofluid, however both under-perform compared with silver-nanofluid. It is also apparent in Fig. 2b, that with an increment in Grashof number, \( G_r \), nanofluid velocity is significantly decreased i.e. flow deceleration is induced across the tube diameter. Once again the silver-water nanofluid achieves the best acceleration, and out-performs the Copper water nanofluid, titaniums oxide nanofluid and achieves dramatically greater velocities than pure water. The Grashof number is a representation of thermal buoyancy effect relative to viscous hydrodynamic force. When \( G_r = 1 \) these forces are the same order of magnitude in the tube. For \( G_r > 1 \) thermal buoyancy force exceeds viscous force and vice versa for \( G_r < 1 \). Thermal buoyancy is known to decelerate viscous flows, since it opposes momentum development and inhibits propulsion in the tube. This observation is consistent with many other studies on peristaltic nanofluids, including [33–40]. Fig. 2c shows that an increase in viscosity parameter \( \alpha \), decreases the axial velocity, again especially in the core region. Because increasing in viscosity parameter there will be more resistance in the fluid that will reduce the velocity. As solid lines are for small viscosity parameters dotted lines are for large values of viscosity parameter so raising the viscosity parameter there is decline in the velocity. Based on the Reynolds model (Reynolds 1886), originally for non-Newtonian tribological flows, the nanofluid viscosity will decrease with increasing values of \( \alpha \); and higher temperature \( (\theta) \). This will reduce the viscous forces in the fluid and will lead to acceleration, as observed in Fig. 2c, pure water is found to sustain significant deceleration as compare with the nanofluid cases. Again Silver water nanofluid achieves the best acceleration in the core flow.

Fig. 3(a)–(b) present the radial temperature distributions, \( \theta(r) \) with variation in heat source parameter \( (\beta) \) and nano-particle volume fraction \( (\phi) \). Significant elevation in temperature is sustained as the increases in heat absorption parameter. Maximum temperatures are attained for pure water and the minimum temperatures are associated with silver-water nanofluid. Copper water nanofluid achieves greater temperatures than silver water nanofluid but

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure3.png}
\caption{Temperature profile against the radial axis \( r \) for different values of (a) \( \beta = 2.0, 2.2 \), (b) \( \phi = 0.01, 0.04 \) and (c) \( r = 2.0, 4.0 \). (Red line Pure water – Purple line CuO + H2O – Blue line Ag + H2O – Dark Purple line TiO2 + H2O). (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)}
\end{figure}
distinctly lower temperatures than Titanium oxide nanofluid. Silver water nanofluid therefore generally demonstrates the best cooling performance compared with pure water and nanofluids as it successfully minimizes temperature increase in the flow. This pattern of behavior is also confirmed by increasing the nanoparticle volume fraction, where again silver water nanofluid is found to consistently attain the lowest temperatures. With increasing nanoparticle volume fraction, the desired effect of cooling the pumping fluid is achieved. Titanium water nanofluid is observed to produce the highest temperatures, then Copper water nanofluid and finally silver water nanofluid. Therefore for temperature regulation in peristaltic nanofluid pumping, the optimized cooling performance is associated with Silver water nanofluids. In all cases symmetric parabolic distributions are observed across the tube cross-section, and the maximum temperature always arises at the tube centerline.

Fig. 4(a)–(c) present the evolution of axial pressure gradient along the tube (i.e. with axial coordinate). The magnitudes of pressure gradient decrease with greater heat absorption parameter, as shown in Fig. 3a. Values are highest for Titanium oxide and lowest for Silver water nanofluid. Values are also generally maximized at the central zone of the tube length but are clearly non-zero both at the entry of the tube (z = 0) and at the exit (z = 1.0). A pressure gradient is therefore maintained throughout the tube irrespective of whether pure water or nanofluid is the transported material. Copper water nanofluid achieves greater pressure gradient magnitudes than silver water nanofluid but substantially lower values than Titanium oxide nanofluid. Fig. 4b shows that with increasing Grashof number, \( Gr \), a similar response in pressure gradient is computed i.e. it decreases significantly throughout the length of the tube. Increasing thermal buoyancy force in the peristaltic flow regime therefore depresses pressure gradient consistently. Maximum magnitudes are again noted for Titanium oxide nanofluid whereas the lowest magnitudes correspond to Silver water nanofluid. This has implications in clinical applications, since for sustaining greater pressure gradients, which affect the efficiency of delivery of drugs, Titanium oxide nano-particles would potentially perform better than the other nano-particles. Fig. 4c illustrates that with increasing viscosity parameter, \( \alpha \) which is associated with decreasing nanofluid dynamic viscosity, based on Reynolds exponential decay model, Eq. (12), pressure gradient is substantially elevated. Titanium oxide nanofluid is observed to achieve the peak values of pressure gradient and Silver water nanofluid again produces the lowest magnitudes. Overall therefore irrespective of the parameter being varied, Titanium oxide nanofluid prevails as the best choice for sustaining high axial pressure gradient values in peristaltic nanofluid propulsion.

Fig. 5(a)–(c) provide an insight into the response in pressure rise (\( \Delta p \)) with a variation in heat absorption, Grashof number and viscosity parameter, respectively. Again pure water and three
nano-particle suspension cases are considered. Pressure rise generally increases with an increase in heat absorption parameter ($\beta$), Grashof number ($Gr$) and also viscosity parameter $\alpha$ in the peristaltic pumping region which corresponds to the volumetric flow rate range, $-0.5 \leq Q \leq 0.5$. However in the augmented pumping region, which approximately corresponds to the range, $0.5 < Q < 2$, in which is $0.51 < Q < 2$ pressure rise decreases with an increase in Grashof number ($Gr$), heat absorption parameter ($\beta$), and viscosity parameter ($\alpha$), which is the reverse of the response in the peristaltic pumping region. In all the plots, the pressure rise-volumetric flow rate ($\Delta P/Q$) demonstrates a linear relationship. Minimum values are computed always at the lowest volumetric flow rate and are negative; maximum values arise at the maximum volumetric flow rate and are positive. In the peristaltic pumping region, Titanium oxide nanofluid consistently attains the minimal values of pressure rise, whereas in the augmented pumping region, it achieves the maximum pressure rise values. Conversely silver water nanofluid attains the maximum pressure rise in the peristaltic pumping region, whereas it achieves the minimum magnitudes in the augmented pumping region. The implication is that no single nanofluid achieves a maximum pressure rise in both pumping regions. Therefore designers may judiciously select different nanofluids to optimize pressure rise in different regions of the peristaltic flow.

Fig. 5 depicts the axial response in wall tube shear stress ($\tau_z$) for pure water and three different nanofluids, for variation with different thermo-physical parameters. The oscillatory nature of peristaltic propulsion is clearly captured in the profiles, owing to the sinusoidal wave propagation along the flexible tube wall. It is evident that silver water nanofluid invariably achieves the highest shear stress magnitudes as compared with pure water and other nanofluids (copper oxide water, Titanium oxide water). This is consistent with the acceleration computed earlier in connection with Silver water nanofluid. The lowest shear stress is observed for the pure water case. Therefore it is established again that the presence of nano-particles serves to increase velocity and there by increase in shear stresses at the wall. Close inspection of the profiles reveals that in the first region, magnitudes of shear stress start decreasing at the entry point of the tube until a maximum constriction is reached and thereafter the shear stress magnitudes increase to the end of the contracting section in the range $0 \leq z \leq 1$. This characteristic is also exhibited for subsequent regions i.e. $(1 \leq z \leq 2$ and $2 \leq z \leq 3$) i.e. it is repeated until the termination of the tube i.e. exit. Similar patterns are observed in all of Fig. 6(a)–(c). The figures respectively show that shear stresses are elevated with increasing heat source ($\beta$), Grashof number ($Gr$) and viscosity parameter ($\alpha$) i.e. the flow is accelerated at the inner wall of
the tube with greater heat absorption, thermal buoyancy and decreasing viscosity of the nanofluid. These trends are indeed consistent with the earlier computations presented for axial velocity and also concur with other studies of nanofluid transport under peristaltic waves e.g. Akbar [14] and Nadeem, and Ijaz [36].

Figs. 7–14 depict streamline visualizations for the influence of different parameters in the peristaltic flow. These permit a better
appraisal of the influence of trapping of boluses of nanofluid which is a characteristic phenomenon associated with creeping-type peristaltic dynamics. The effects of heat source parameter ($\beta$) on trapping phenomena for pure water CuO + H$_2$O nanofluid and also Ag + H$_2$O nanofluid cases are presented respectively in Figs. 7a–9b. The number of trapped boluses increases with an increase in the value of heat source or sink parameter $\beta$ in all pure water, copper water and silver water nanofluids cases. Fig. 10(a)–(b) demonstrate that the quantity of trapped boluses decreases for the Titanium oxide water nanofluid (TiO$_2$ + H$_2$O) case with the increase of heat source parameter $\beta$. The modification in trapped phenomena again for pure water, CuO + H$_2$O and Ag + H$_2$O nanofluids with variation in viscosity parameter ($\alpha$) are illustrated in Figs. 11a–13b respectively. The number of trapped boluses and also the size of the bolus both increase with an increase in viscosity parameter ($\alpha$) i.e. with decreasing viscosity of the nanofluid. Fig. 14a and b present the
effects of viscosity parameter ($\beta$) for $\text{TiO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O}$ nanofluid in the tube. The trapped bolus quantity decreases for the $\text{TiO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O}$ nanofluid case, with an increase in viscosity parameter $\beta$ whereas the magnitude of the bolus markedly increases. Therefore different responses are observed for the different nano-particle cases.

Tables 2–4 provide solutions for velocity and temperature functions, based on numerical evaluation of the closed-form solutions. They show that silver water nanofluid generally attains higher axial

Table 1
Thermo-physical properties of pure water and other metallic nano-particles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Physical properties</th>
<th>$\text{H}_2\text{O}$</th>
<th>$\text{CuO}$</th>
<th>$\text{Ag}$</th>
<th>$\text{TiO}_2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$c_p$ (J/kgK)</td>
<td>4179.0</td>
<td>540.0</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>686.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho$ (kg/m$^3$)</td>
<td>997.1</td>
<td>6500.0</td>
<td>10500.0</td>
<td>4250.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k$ (W/mK)</td>
<td>0.613</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>429.0</td>
<td>401.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma \times 10^{-8}$ (1/K)</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>1.67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2
Variation of the velocity profile for different values of sink parameter $P$ for pure water, CuO, Ag, and TiO$_2$ case.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$w(r_z)$</th>
<th>Pure water ($P = 0.00$)</th>
<th>CuO ($P = 0.004$)</th>
<th>Ag ($P = 0.004$)</th>
<th>TiO$_2$ ($P = 0.004$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$r$</td>
<td>$\beta = 2$</td>
<td>$\beta = 2.2$</td>
<td>$\beta = 2$</td>
<td>$\beta = 2.2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\frac{a}{C_0}$</td>
<td>$\frac{a}{C_0}$</td>
<td>$\frac{a}{C_0}$</td>
<td>$\frac{a}{C_0}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>-0.1026</td>
<td>-0.0928</td>
<td>-0.0721</td>
<td>-0.0629</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-0.2270</td>
<td>-0.2146</td>
<td>-0.1702</td>
<td>-0.1585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-0.3271</td>
<td>-0.3142</td>
<td>-0.2511</td>
<td>-0.2389</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-0.3865</td>
<td>-0.3736</td>
<td>-0.2992</td>
<td>-0.2871</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-0.4018</td>
<td>-0.3889</td>
<td>-0.3116</td>
<td>-0.2995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>-0.3729</td>
<td>-0.3599</td>
<td>-0.2881</td>
<td>-0.2759</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>-0.3002</td>
<td>-0.2873</td>
<td>-0.2292</td>
<td>-0.2171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>-0.1898</td>
<td>-0.1778</td>
<td>-0.1404</td>
<td>-0.1292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>-0.0652</td>
<td>-0.0574</td>
<td>-0.0438</td>
<td>-0.0365</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3
Variation of the velocity profile with different values of viscosity parameter ($\alpha$) for pure water, CuO, Ag and TiO$_2$ nanofluid cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$w(r_z)$</th>
<th>Pure water ($\alpha = 0.00$)</th>
<th>CuO ($\alpha = 0.004$)</th>
<th>Ag ($\alpha = 0.004$)</th>
<th>TiO$_2$ ($\alpha = 0.004$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$r$</td>
<td>$\alpha = 0.15$</td>
<td>$\alpha = 2$</td>
<td>$\alpha = 0.15$</td>
<td>$\alpha = 2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\frac{a}{C_0}$</td>
<td>$\frac{a}{C_0}$</td>
<td>$\frac{a}{C_0}$</td>
<td>$\frac{a}{C_0}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>-0.1008</td>
<td>-0.089</td>
<td>-0.0689</td>
<td>-0.0698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-0.2329</td>
<td>-0.168</td>
<td>-0.1744</td>
<td>-0.0588</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-0.3414</td>
<td>-0.322</td>
<td>-0.2638</td>
<td>-0.2104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-0.4065</td>
<td>-0.4462</td>
<td>-0.3176</td>
<td>-0.3115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.4233</td>
<td>-0.4766</td>
<td>-0.3316</td>
<td>-0.3385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>-0.3915</td>
<td>-0.4193</td>
<td>-0.3052</td>
<td>-0.2876</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>-0.3121</td>
<td>-0.2824</td>
<td>-0.2395</td>
<td>-0.1669</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>-0.1929</td>
<td>-0.0994</td>
<td>-0.1419</td>
<td>-0.0111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>-0.0624</td>
<td>0.0362</td>
<td>-0.0399</td>
<td>0.0816</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4
Variation of the Velocity profile for different values of nanoparticle volume fraction $0$ for CuO, Ag, and TiO$_2$ case.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$w(r_z)$</th>
<th>CuO</th>
<th>Ag</th>
<th>TiO$_2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$r$</td>
<td>$\phi = 0.01$</td>
<td>$\phi = 0.04$</td>
<td>$\phi = 0.01$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.1647</td>
<td>0.1419</td>
<td>0.1554</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.2916</td>
<td>0.2511</td>
<td>0.2751</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.3805</td>
<td>0.3277</td>
<td>0.3589</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.4316</td>
<td>0.3716</td>
<td>0.4071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.4447</td>
<td>0.3829</td>
<td>0.4194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.4198</td>
<td>0.3616</td>
<td>0.3961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.3571</td>
<td>0.3075</td>
<td>0.3368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.2564</td>
<td>0.2208</td>
<td>0.2419</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.1179</td>
<td>0.1015</td>
<td>0.1112</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 13. Stream lines of Ag $+ \text{H}_2\text{O}$ for different values of $\alpha$. 
velocities than the pure water or other nanofluid cases, provided the nano-particle volume fraction is the same i.e. \( \phi = 0.004 \). Maximum velocity and temperature, as shown and elaborated in earlier graphs, consistently arise at the centerline of the channel i.e. at \( r = 0 \). The temperature and velocity profiles always increase at the center of the tube with an increase in heat source parameter (\( \beta \)), viscosity parameter (\( \alpha \)) and also with the nanoparticle volume fraction (\( \phi \)). Conversely the temperature and velocity magnitudes are found to fall in the near-wall regions of the tube.

Conclusions

A mathematical study has been conducted of peristaltic propulsion and heat transfer in a temperature-dependent variable-viscosity nanofluid propagating through a flexible tube under thermal buoyancy and heat generation effect. The transformed boundary value problem has been linearized via appropriate creeping flow and long wavelength approximations and solved exactly. Numerical evaluation of the closed-form solutions has been conducted in symbolic software to evaluate the influence of heat source, Grashof number and viscosity parameter on axial velocity, axial pressure gradient, temperature, pressure rise, wall shear stress and also streamline plots. Pure water and also three nanofluid cases (Copper oxide-water, Silver-water and Titanium oxide water nanofluids) have been examined in detail. The computations have shown that:

1. Increasing heat absorption parameter (\( \beta \)) generally accelerates the axial flow i.e. increases velocity and is greatest for silver-water (Ag + H2O) nanofluid and lowest for pure water. The presence of nano-particles therefore aids the flow.
2. Axial flow is decelerated with increasing Grashof number, \( (G_c) \) i.e. with greater thermal buoyancy force, with highest velocity magnitudes achieved by Silver-water nanofluid.
3. Axial velocity is significantly increased with an increase in viscosity parameter (\( \alpha \)) i.e. with decreasing viscosity of the nanofluid, again with Silver water nanofluid achieving the highest acceleration.
4. Temperature is elevated with heat absorption parameter (\( \beta \)) and is highest for pure water and lowest for Silver-water nanofluid.
5. Temperature generally decreases significantly with increase in nanoparticle volume fraction (\( \phi \)) i.e. nano-particles cool the regime and Silver water nanofluid demonstrates superior cooling to copper oxide nanofluid and Titanium oxide nanofluid.
6. Axial pressure gradient is enhanced with increasing viscosity parameter (\( \alpha \)) i.e. decreasing viscosity. Maximum magnitudes are associated with Titanium oxide nanofluid whereas the lowest values are computed for Silver water nanofluid. Pressure gradient is lower for pure water as compared to Titanium oxide and Copper water nanofluids but higher than Silver water nanofluid.
7. Axial pressure gradient decreases with greater heat absorption parameter (\( \beta \)) with highest values achieved by Titanium oxide and lowest values corresponding to Silver water nanofluid.
8. Axial pressure gradient decreases substantially with increasing Grashof number, \( (G_c) \) throughout the length of the tube.
9. Pressure rise increases with an increase in heat absorption parameter (\( \beta \)), Grashof number (\( G_c \)) and also viscosity parameter (\( \alpha \)) in the peristaltic pumping region i.e. the range \(-0.5 < Q < 0.5\). However the opposite trend is observed in the augmented pumping region i.e. in the range \( 0.5 < Q < 2 \), where pressure rise decreases with an increase in Grashof number (\( G_c \)), heat absorption parameter (\( \beta \)) and viscosity parameter (\( \alpha \)).
10. Wall shear stress is a maximum for Silver water nanofluid and is minimized for the pure water case.
11. The quantity of trapped boluses is elevated with an increase in the value of heat source parameter (\( \beta \)) for CuO + H2O nanofluid and also Ag + H2O nanofluid cases whereas it decreases for the Titanium oxide water nanofluid (TiO2 + H2O) case.
12. The number of trapped boluses and also the size of the bolus both increase with an increase in viscosity parameter (\( \alpha \)) i.e. with decreasing viscosity of the nanofluid, for CuO + H2O and Ag + H2O nanofluids whereas the quantity of trapped boluses is reduced whereas the magnitude of the bolus markedly increases, for the TiO2 + H2O nanofluid case, with an increase in viscosity parameter (\( \alpha \)).

The present study has overall provided a reasonable assessment of nano-particle influence on peristaltic nanofluid dynamics. It has however ignored inclination of the tube [39] and also species diffusion [40] which may be simulated via the Buonjorno model [38]. These aspects will be considered in future investigations.
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