



University of
Salford
MANCHESTER

A single-arm, non-randomized investigation into the short-term effects and follow up of a 4-week lower limb exercise programme on kinesiophobia in individuals with knee osteoarthritis

Molyneux, J, Herrington, LC, Riley, B and Jones, R

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/pri.1831>

Title	A single-arm, non-randomized investigation into the short-term effects and follow up of a 4-week lower limb exercise programme on kinesiophobia in individuals with knee osteoarthritis
Authors	Molyneux, J, Herrington, LC, Riley, B and Jones, R
Publication title	Physiotherapy Research International
Publisher	Wiley
Type	Article
USIR URL	This version is available at: http://usir.salford.ac.uk/id/eprint/56218/
Published Date	2020

USIR is a digital collection of the research output of the University of Salford. Where copyright permits, full text material held in the repository is made freely available online and can be read, downloaded and copied for non-commercial private study or research purposes. Please check the manuscript for any further copyright restrictions.

For more information, including our policy and submission procedure, please contact the Repository Team at: library-research@salford.ac.uk.

1 **A single-arm, non-randomized investigation into the short-term effects and follow up of**
2 **a 4-week lower limb exercise programme on kinesiophobia in individuals with knee**
3 **osteoarthritis.**

4 **AUTHORS** Dr. Jimmy Molyneux (j.molyneux1@edu.salford.ac.uk) ^{1 2}, Dr. Lee
5 **Herrington** (L.C.Herrington@salford.ac.uk) ², Ben Riley BSc
6 (ben.riley@bridgewater.nhs.uk) ¹, Professor Richard Jones (R.K.Jones@salford.ac.uk)
7 ².

8 **AFFILIATIONS** ¹ Physiotherapy Department, Bridgewater Community Healthcare
9 **Foundation Trust, Leigh Health Centre, Leigh, England, United Kingdom.**

10 ² School of Health Sciences, University of Salford, Greater Manchester, England, United
11 **Kingdom.**

12 **CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:** Jimmy Molyneux, Physiotherapy Department,
13 **Bridgewater Community Healthcare Foundation Trust, Leigh Health Centre, Leigh,**
14 **England, United Kingdom, WN7 1HR. ph: +44 1942 483413, fax: +44 1942 483418,**
15 **j.molyneux1@edu.salford.ac.uk**

16 **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

17 **Concept and design. Molyneux, Herrington, Riley, Jones.**

18 **Analysis and interpretation of data. Molyneux, Herrington, Jones.**

19 **Critical revision of the article for important intellectual content. Molyneux, Herrington,**
20 **Jones.**

21 **Final approval of the article. Molyneux, Herrington, Jones.**

22 **FUNDING. None.**

23 **DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST.** **None.**

24 **KEY WORDS:**

25 Osteoarthritis, Knee

26 Exercise

27 Pain

28 **Word Count:** 2893

29 **Abstract**

30 **Objective**

31 To investigate the short-term effects and follow-up of a 4-weeks lower limb exercise
32 programme on kinesiophobia in individuals with knee osteoarthritis.

33 **Design**

34 Participants diagnosed with knee OA clinically against the American College of
35 Rheumatology criteria (ACR) were recruited. Participants completed a 4 weeks lower limb
36 exercise programme. Each participant completed two questionnaires, the Tampa
37 kinesiophobia scale (TSK) and the knee injury and osteoarthritis outcome score (KOOS).
38 Each measurement was completed at the baseline assessment, at session 4 of the programme,
39 at session 8 of the programme, and 6-weeks after the exercise programme. Perceived levels of
40 exertion (RPE) were measured after each exercise session using the modified Borg scale.

41 **Results**

42 Fifty-four participants took part in the study. Kinesiophobia decreased from the baseline
43 assessment to 6-weeks after the exercise programme. KOOS pain, symptoms, sports and

44 recreation, quality of life and activities of daily living score increased, therefore showed
45 improvement. Correlational analysis highlighted a moderate negative correlation between the
46 KOOS pain and kinesiophobia at baseline and 6- weeks after the exercise programme (0.44,
47 0.48 respectively).

48 **Conclusions**

49 Understanding baseline kinesiophobia scores could provide an important resource for health
50 professionals who manage individuals with knee osteoarthritis to improve the quality of care
51 due to the correlation with pain changes and may improve exercise related outcomes for a
52 longer duration.

53 **CONTRIBUTION OF THE PAPER**

54 -Kinesiophobia is prevalent in individuals diagnosed with knee osteoarthritis.

55 -Kinesiophobia decreased in 75% of individuals with knee osteoarthritis after a 4-
56 weeksexercise programme.

57 -Correlation between pain and kinesiophobia at baseline and 6-weeks after an exercise
58 programme.

59 -An understanding of an individual's kinesiophobia level before an exercise programme is
60 important for future prognosis of changes in pain.

61 **CLINICAL TRIAL NUMBER**

62 NCT02734342

63

64

66 Introduction

67 Osteoarthritis (OA) is one of the leading causes of pain and musculoskeletal disability (1) and
68 represents a typical chronic musculoskeletal condition (2). The management of knee
69 osteoarthritis can be categorized into pharmacological, surgical, and conservative
70 management. Usage of pharmacological treatments such as paracetamol and intra-articular
71 injections provide a reduction in pain (1). However, both have complications e.g. renal
72 toxicity, septic arthritis, and joint degradation (3). Surgical interventions may be required,
73 such as arthroscopic resection, osteotomies, and joint replacements, but come with risks such
74 as infection, deep vein thrombosis and revision surgery (4). Non-pharmacological core
75 interventions recommended via the NICE guidelines (1) include local muscle strengthening,
76 general aerobic exercises, and education for their effectiveness in reducing pain and
77 increasing function.

78 Increasing muscle strength can significantly reduce knee OA symptoms, pain and therefore
79 improve the quality of life and activities of daily living. Exercise has been recommended as a
80 core treatment for knee osteoarthritis (1). Despite positive evidence regarding exercise (7)
81 individuals with knee OA avoid exercise to prevent pain (12) and are not achieving the
82 recommended level of exercise (13). Psychological factors such as kinesiophobia are as
83 important as the physical characteristics in driving this behaviour (14). Kinesiophobia is a
84 psychological impairment that results from a feeling of vulnerability to a painful injury or re-
85 injury and therefore prevents individuals completing an activity (15). Kinesiophobia is
86 prevalent in individuals with knee OA with greater pain and functional limitations being
87 reported in individuals with increased kinesiophobia (16, 17). Therapeutic exercise has the
88 potential to improve knee instability and psychological factors (23, 35).

89 There is little research exploring kinesiophobia in knee OA with only one recent study
90 investigating the effects of dynamic balance and exercise in forty individuals diagnosed with
91 medial knee OA (28). Kinesiophobia was measured as a secondary outcome using the brief
92 fear of movement scale questionnaire with positive effects after completing a 10-weeks
93 partially supervised exercise programme. The study undertaken is the first study to measure
94 the short-term effects of kinesiophobia using the Tampa Scale of Kinesiophobia throughout a
95 4 weeks exercise programme at baseline, session 4, session 8 and 6-weeks after the exercise
96 programme in individuals with knee OA. The Tampa Scale of Kinesiophobia (TSK) is a
97 brief, reliable and a valid measure to link fear of movement with knee OA (26). We
98 hypothesized that a short-term exercise programme reduces kinesiophobia in individuals
99 diagnosed with knee OA.

100 **Participants and Methods**

101 The study is a level one prospective clinical trial (clinical trial number NCT02734342)
102 whereby ethical approval was obtained from the South West Research Ethics Committee
103 (16/SW/0036).

104 *Participants*

105 Individuals referred to physiotherapy were invited to participate who had a clinical diagnosis
106 of knee OA using the American College of Rheumatology guidelines, which are 95%
107 sensitive and 69% specific for the diagnosis of osteoarthritis (18). Individuals aged 45 and
108 above were invited into the study, as per national guidelines (1) with specific sign and
109 symptoms to include stiffness for less than thirty minutes; crepitus; bony tenderness; bony
110 enlargement and no palpable joint warmth, individuals must elicit three of the six to be
111 included in the study. Individuals who had a radiographic diagnosis were also included in the
112 study, as x-rays are gold standard in the diagnosis of OA with a greater specificity (18).

113 Reasons for exclusion from the study included previous lower limb joint injection within
114 three months; previous bilateral hip or knee joint replacement; any severe cognitive, cardio-
115 respiratory, musculoskeletal or neurological diagnosis that prevents participants from
116 exercising. Individuals with a body mass index (BMI) over 40 had a choice of being involved
117 in the study or be referred to the NHS weight management service, as per service
118 specification. Other minor health related issues were assessed prior to the commencement of
119 the study to ensure safe practice. All participants provided written informed consent.

120 *Intervention*

121 *Procedures*

122 Participants were asked to attend eight exercise sessions within a group class environment
123 that lasted for 1 hour per session. Participants attended each class, twice per week for four
124 weeks. Four-weeks was chosen due to time and workload constraints within the
125 physiotherapy department. Clinical guidelines suggest two to three exercise sessions per
126 week are required to attain a positive response in symptoms (20). During the hour, all
127 participants completed a 5-minute warm up and then commenced the 14-station exercise
128 programme, which were specifically orientated to strengthen the lower limb and improve
129 aerobic capacity. Exercises included cycling, treadmill walking, cross trainer, step machine,
130 wall squats with a swiss ball, mini- squats with an elastic band, trampette, step-ups, heel
131 raises, hip extension over a plinth, crab walking with an elastic band, monster walking with
132 an elastic band, balancing on a tilt board and single leg stands. Participants recorded the
133 number of repetitions, and progression of exercises was patient led based on pain and
134 perceived exertion. Each exercise was timed for two minutes with approximately one minute
135 in between each exercise. After seven exercises, a 5-minute hydration break occurred. After
136 each exercise class, a cool down was completed, with the participant completing the Borg

137 scale for patient specific maximal exertion. Participants were advised to have a recovery day
138 to prevent overloading (21). The group class operated from the physiotherapy department
139 gymnasium and was supervised by a specialist physiotherapist, who received three hours
140 training by the principal investigator, which consisted of reviewing each exercise station,
141 outcome measurements, and documentation. Both the principal investigator and specialist
142 physiotherapist offered telephone support to any of the participants during department open
143 times. Participants also received text message reminders the day before each exercise class to
144 increase attendance, which is cost effective (22). Other forms of interventions during the
145 study were not permitted such as the provision of injections or orthotics.

146 All individuals completed the Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS) and the
147 Tampa Scale of Kinesiophobia (TSK) at the baseline assessment. TSK Scores range from 17-
148 68 points, with high kinesiophobia being classified with a score above 37 (15). Although no
149 evidence has been reported in relation to the minimal clinical detectable change for the TSK
150 in knee OA, a 4.6-point change in kinesiophobia was found using the brief fear of movement
151 with medial knee OA (28), with a 5.6-point change for generalised chronic pain (26) and 9.2
152 point for low back pain (38). Furthermore, a minimal clinical detectable change of 13.4
153 points has been suggested for the KOOS pain scale in knee OA (29-30).

154 The questionnaires were repeated at session 4 and session 8 of the exercise programme. At
155 the end of the allocated sessions, all participants were issued with a six-week follow up
156 assessment and referred to a local leisure centre for further exercise. Perceived level of
157 exertion was measured after each exercise programme using the modified Borg scale.

158 *Statistical Analysis*

159 Statistical analysis was performed using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS
160 version 24.0) with the significance level set at $p < 0.05$. Data were reviewed for normality

161 prior to data analysis with the Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests being completed. The KOOS data
162 were normally distributed; therefore, a repeated measure of analysis of variance (ANOVA)
163 was completed to investigate the mean variability within the participants' scores. Normal
164 distribution was not found in the TSK; therefore, a Friedman test was completed with a post
165 hoc Wilcoxon sign ranks test. A Spearman's correlation coefficient was completed to analyse
166 the TSK and KOOS.

167

168 **Results**

169 Ninety- five individuals diagnosed with knee OA were invited into the study. Thirty-one
170 individuals did not consent to the exercise programme and were re-appointed with another
171 physiotherapist. Ten individuals completed the 60-minute assessment and then e-
172 mailed/telephoned directly after to decline participation. Therefore, Fifty- four individuals
173 with knee OA participated in the study, 27 males and 27 females with a mean age of 63.35
174 (SD 8.1) years; mean height 1.64 (SD 0.34) metres; mean mass 78.37 (SD 21.22) kilograms;
175 mean body mass index 27.12 (SD 4.08). Seventeen participants (31.5%) were diagnosed with
176 grade 2 Kellgren and Lawrence scale (KL); 19 participants (35.2%) diagnosed with grade 3
177 KL; 12 participants (22.2%) diagnosed with grade 4 KL, all with medial compartment OA,
178 and six participants (11.1%) diagnosed without x-ray but with specific clinical symptoms
179 using the American College of Rheumatology criteria (ACR).

180

181

182

Insert Table 1 here

183 Table 2 shows the median points scored after completing the TSK at baseline, session 4,
184 session 8 and 6-weeks after the exercise programme. Participants' baseline scores recorded a
185 median of 37 (IQR 9.25), at session 4, median score 33.5 (IQR 11), at session 8, median
186 score 32 (IQR 8.5) and 6-weeks post-exercise programme, median score 33 (IQR 12).
187 Therefore, an overall median change score of four was recorded from baseline to 6-weeks
188 post-exercise programme. Secondary analysis using the Wilcoxon signed ranks test data
189 indicated a non-significant change from baseline to session 4 ($p= 0.052$), however from
190 baseline to session 8 ($p= 0.002$) and baseline to 6-weeks post-exercise programme ($p= 0.001$)
191 there was a statistically significant change. Over half of the participants' recorded high levels
192 of kinesiophobia at baseline (52% scored 37 and over). Fourteen participants' remained high
193 after 6-weeks post-exercise programme, 12 scored highly at baseline and remained high
194 throughout the exercise programme. Two participants scored low at baseline, but had a re-
195 occurrence of their symptoms at the 6-week follow-up. Those participants whose
196 kinesiophobia remained high, the pain levels minimally reduced (baseline 46.69, 6-weeks
197 post-exercise programme 45.88).

198 **Insert Table 2 here**

199 Table 3 shows the KOOS mean scores at baseline, session 4, session 8 and 6-weeks after the
200 exercise programme. Participant's baseline KOOS pain score recorded a mean of 41.06 (SD
201 17.46) at session 4, mean score 47.79 (SD 14.83) at session 8, mean score 51.18 (SD 21.82)
202 and 6-weeks post-exercise programme, mean score 56.53 (SD 22.21). A non-significant
203 change from baseline to session 4 ($p= 0.06$) occurred, however from baseline to session 8
204 ($p=0.009$) and baseline to 6-week post- exercise programme ($p= 0.001$) the change was
205 statistically significant. Participant's baseline KOOS symptoms score recorded a mean of
206 41.67 (SD 18.78) at session 4, mean score 49.34 (SD 14.09) at session 8, mean score 49.03
207 (SD 20.29) and 6-weeks post-exercise programme, mean score 56.48 (SD 19.19). With

208 significant changes occurring from baseline to session 4 ($p= 0.001$), from baseline to session
209 8 ($p=0.05$) and baseline to 6-week post-exercise programme ($p= 0.001$). Participant's baseline
210 KOOS activities of daily living score recorded a mean of 46.9 (SD 21.62) at session 4, mean
211 score 54.33 (SD 18.04) at session 8, mean score 57.44 (SD 25.31) and 6-weeks post-exercise
212 programme, mean score 61.39 (SD 20.97). There was a non-significant change from baseline
213 to session 4 ($p= 0.09$) was recorded, however from baseline to session 8 ($p=0.038$) and
214 baseline to 6-week post- exercise programme ($p= 0.01$) the change was statistically
215 significant. Participant's baseline KOOS sport and recreation score recorded a mean of 21.39
216 (SD 29.71) at session 4, mean score 29.07 (SD 21.06), at session 8, mean score 32.41 (SD
217 26.22) and 6-weeks post-exercise programme, mean score 32.94 (SD 27.13). There was a
218 non-significant change from baseline to session 4 ($p= 0.25$), however from baseline to session
219 8 ($p=0.010$) and baseline to 6-week post-exercise programme ($p= 0.029$) the change was
220 statistically significant. Participant's baseline KOOS quality of life score recorded a mean of
221 24.15 (SD 19.39) at session 4, mean score 37.06 (SD 17.74) at session 8, mean score 40.33
222 (SD 24.21) and 6-weeks post -exercise programme, mean score 43.08 (SD 23.47). A
223 significant change occurred from baseline to session 4 ($p= 0.001$), baseline to session 8 ($p=$
224 0.0001) and baseline to 6 week post-exercise programme ($p= 0.001$). Mean scores throughout
225 the 8-session exercise programme ranged from 13.22 to 14.07. Baseline scores for the
226 participants perceived exertion was 13.5, with the greatest increases at session 4 (13.98) and
227 session 5 (14.07), then the score levels decreased to 13.29 at session 8. Correlational analysis
228 suggests that the KOOS pain and TSK have a moderate negative correlation at baseline
229 (coefficient -0.48) and at 6-weeks after the exercise programme (coefficient -0.44). Mean
230 scores for the Borg scale ranged from 13.22 to 14.07 throughout the programme.

231

Insert Table 3 here

232 **Discussion**

233 The study aimed to understand whether kinesiophobia was altered following an 8 session
234 physiotherapy intervention. To the authors' knowledge, this is the first study to demonstrate
235 that an 8-session exercise programme in the national health service setting reduces
236 kinesiophobia, pain, and symptoms, increases quality of life, sporting and recreation
237 activities. Kinesiophobia has a negative influence on the outcome of rehabilitation with a
238 high level of kinesiophobia presenting poorer rehabilitation outcomes (34) with an increased
239 level of disability and a reduction in strength being reported (37). Kinesiophobia interferes
240 with descending pain-inhibitory systems and facilitates neuroplastic changes in the spinal
241 cord during painful stimulation, which ends with pain sensitisation (38). Consequently, this
242 pain sensitisation causes functional decline that in turn causes depression and disturbed sleep
243 that can increase psychological distress (19). Over half of the participants' recorded high
244 levels of kinesiophobia at baseline (23), therefore assessing for kinesiophobia during an
245 initial assessment is important to allow the therapist and patient to collaborate a physical
246 activity programme with specific goals that will reduce the fear of movement sooner and
247 potentially reduce the chronic processes that can be related to kinesiophobia.

248 Previous research into kinesiophobia using the TSK for functional disability reported an
249 average score of 24.5 with osteoarthritis (39), which is much lower than our study. However,
250 a mean reduction of 4.6 points in kinesiophobia was found using the brief fear of movement
251 scale after an exercise programme in individuals with medial knee OA (28), which aligns
252 with our findings. Furthermore, the difference in this study is lower than the average score for
253 other musculoskeletal conditions, which is 42 (24). Higher levels of kinesiophobia have been
254 reported at baseline in individuals with chronic low back pain, which reduced after 6 months
255 of physical activity (25). Although no evidence has been reported in relation to the minimal
256 clinical detectable change for kinesiophobia in knee OA, the median change of 4 during this
257 study does not appear to meet the minimal clinical detectable change of 5.6 for generalised

258 chronic pain (26) or even 9.2 for low back pain (27). Correlational analysis between the TSK
259 and KOOS pain highlighted a moderate negative correlation at baseline and 6-weeks post
260 programme, therefore, the reduction in pain might be potentially related to the reduction in
261 kinesiophobia, but it is unlikely this is the only reason and future study should attempt to
262 investigate this in detail. Identifying individuals who have high TSK at the start of the
263 exercise programme may help to stratify different strategies to increase physical activity
264 levels, reduce chronic behaviour patterning, and improve the rehabilitation process. Similarly,
265 pain coping strategies and exercise programmes have been positively associated in
266 individuals with knee OA (17, 35), however not cost effective (17).

267

268 At the 6-week review compared to baseline, the KOOS pain subscale significantly improved.
269 However, between baseline and session 4 no significant improvement was found, this could
270 be related to the individuals starting an exercise programme or even commencing exercises
271 that they had never completed before and after the first few sessions developing pain due to
272 working the muscles. From session 4 onwards, the exercise programme provided the
273 individuals with reduced pain. With a mean change of 15.47, which is clinically significant
274 (29, 30). Further KOOS subscale scores highlighted statistically significant scores reducing
275 symptoms, increasing quality of life, increasing sport and recreation and increasing activities
276 of daily living. However, between baseline and session 4 no significant improvement was
277 found in the individual's activities of daily living, with the exercise programme reducing the
278 individuals' activities, which could be down to pain and reduced function (32). Perceived
279 exertion was recorded whilst using the Borg scale, with scores ranging from 13.22 to 14.07,
280 which can significantly influence knee OA (33). Moderate activity has been linked with
281 improved function and reduced pain for up to 6-months (34).

282 The main limitation of this study relates to the multiple factors that are associated with
283 kinesiophobia, as it is considered a psychological behavioural factor with sociological, lack
284 of confidence and previous experience being as important as the physical characteristics. We
285 have assumed that the exercise programme had a positive impact on kinesiophobia; however,
286 the interaction with the physiotherapist could have influenced the results and reduced
287 kinesiophobia. Therefore, a control group is an essential part of research to minimize the
288 effects of the intervention; future studies should include a control group with a matched
289 alternative therapy. Understanding individual exercise behaviours and habits should be
290 established as part of the routine examination and treatment for chronic musculoskeletal
291 conditions especially in relation to physical activity.

292

293 In conclusion, our findings demonstrate that kinesiophobia and pain reduces after completing
294 an exercise programme in participants with knee OA. During the exercise programme, as
295 kinesiophobia reduced, so did the participant's pain, therefore an understanding an
296 individual's kinesiophobia level before an exercise programme is important for future
297 prognosis of changes in pain, as individuals who interpret pain as none threatening confront
298 the situation, maintain daily activities, and are more likely to recover quicker and are less
299 likely to experience problems.

300 **ETHICAL APPROVAL**

301 The study is a level one prospective clinical trial (clinical trial number NCT02734342)
302 whereby ethical approval was obtained from the South West Research Ethics Committee
303 (16/SW/0036).

304

305

306

307 **Table 1: Descriptive characteristics. Data presented as mean (SD) unless otherwise**
308 **indicated.**

Characteristics	N=54
Age (years)	63.35 (SD 8.1) Range: 47-79
Gender	Male: 27 Female: 27
Weight (kg)	78.37 (SD 21.22) Range: 57.15 – 120.6
Height (m)	1.64 (SD 0.34) Range: 1.49- 1.91
Body Mass Index (BMI)	27.12 (SD 4.08)

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317 **Table 2: Friedman Test. Median change (IQR), percentage difference between sessions,**
318 **p-values, and changes in kinesiophobia using the TSK (* Significant value)**

319

	TSK	P-value from baseline	% of change between session
Baseline Score	37 (9.25)		
Session 4 Score	33.5 (11)	0.052	-9.46%
Session 8 Score	32 (8.5)	0.002*	-4.48%
6-week post Score	33 (12)	0.002*	+3.13%
Total change	4		-10.81%

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329 **Table 3: Repeated Analysis of Variance. Mean (SD) changes in the KOOS**
330 **questionnaire at baseline, session 4, session 8, and 6-week post exercise programme (***
331 **Significant value) .**

KOOS Subscale	Baseline score	Session 4 score	Session 8 score	6-week post score	Total change from baseline	P-value from baseline
Pain	41.06 (17.46)	47.79 (14.83)	51.18 (21.82)	56.53 (22.21)	15.47	0.001*
Symptoms	41.67 (18.78)	49.34 (14.09)	49.03 (20.29)	56.48 (19.19)	14.81	0.001*
Activities of daily living	46.9 (21.62)	54.83 (18.04)	57.44 (25.31)	61.39 (20.97)	14.49	0.002*
Sport/Recreation	21.89 (29.71)	29.07 (29.07)	32.41 (26.22)	32.94 (27.13)	11.55	0.011*
Quality of life	24.15 (19.39)	37.06 (17.74)	40.33 (24.21)	43.08 (23.47)	18.93	0.001*

332

333

334

335 **REFERENCES**

336 1. National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence. (2014) Osteoarthritis: The care
337 and management of osteoarthritis in adults. Guideline CG177.

338 2. Guillemin F, Carruthers E, Li L. (2014) Determents of MSK Health and disability-
339 social determinants of inequities in MSK health. Best Practice & Research. Clinical
340 Rheumatology. 28, (3), 411-433.

341 3. Cheng J, Abdi S. (2007) Complications of joint, tendon, and muscle injections.
342 Techniques in Regional Anesthesia & Pain Management.11, (3), 141-147.

- 343 4. Nyland J, Caborn D, Jakob R. (2014) Early surrender to knee arthroplasty. Not so fast.
344 Let the knee tissue preservation and function sustainability dialogue begin. *Knee*
345 *Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy*. 22, (11), 2583-2584.
- 346 5. Kerkhoffs G, Servien E, Dunn W, Dahm D, Bramer J, Haverkamp D. (2012) The
347 influence of obesity on the complication rate and outcome of total knee arthroplasty: a
348 meta- analysis and systematic literature review. *Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery*. 94,
349 (200, 1839-1844.
- 350 6. Thorlund J, Felson D, Segal N, Nevitt M, Niu J, Neoqi T, Lewis C, Guermazi A,
351 Roemer F, Englund M. (2016) Effect of knee extensor strength on incident
352 radiographic and symptomatic knee osteoarthritis in individuals with meniscal
353 pathology: The MOST study. *Arthritis Care & Research*. 68, (11), 1640-1646.
- 354 7. Henriksen M, Klokke L, Graven-Nielsen T, Bartholdy C, Jørgensen T, Bandak E,
355 Danneskiold-Samsøe B, Christensen R, Bliddal H. (2014) Association of Exercise
356 Therapy and Reduction of Pain Sensitivity in Patients With Knee Osteoarthritis: A
357 Randomized Controlled Trial. *Arthritis Care and Research*. 66, (12), 1836–1843.
- 358 8. Messier S, Mihalko S, Legault C, Miller G, Nicklas B, DeVita P, Beavers D, Hunter
359 D, Lyles M, Eckstein F, Williamson J, Carr J, Guermazi A, Loeser R. (2013) Effects
360 of Intensive Diet and Exercise on Knee Joint Loads, Inflammation, and Clinical
361 Outcomes Among Overweight and Obese Adults With Knee Osteoarthritis. The IDEA
362 Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA*. 310, (12), 1263-1273.
- 363 9. Segal N, Glass N. (2011) Is quadriceps muscle weakness a risk factor for incident or
364 progressive knee osteoarthritis? *Physician and Sportsmedicine*. 39, (4), 44-50.
- 365 10. Jenkinson C, Doherty M, Avery A, Read A, Taylor M, Sach T, Silcocks P, Muir K.
366 (2009) Effects of dietary intervention and quadriceps strengthening exercises on pain
367 and function in overweight people with knee pain: randomised controlled trial. *British*
368 *Medical Journal*. 339.
- 369 11. McAlindon T, Bannuru R, Sullivan M, Arden N, Berenbaum F, Bierma-Zeinstra S,
370 Hawker G, Henrotin Y, Hunter D, Kawauchi H, Kwoh H, Lohmander S, Rannou F,
371 Roos E, Underwood M. (2014) OARSI guidelines for the non-surgical management of
372 knee osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage*. 22, (3), 363-388.
- 373 12. Wallis J, Webster K, Leveinger P, Taylor N. (2013) What proportion of people with
374 hip and knee osteoarthritis meet physical activity guidelines? A systematic review and
375 meta-analysis. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage*. 21, (11), 1648-1659.

- 376 13. Farr J, Going S, Lohman T, Rankin L, Kastle S, Cornett M, Cussler E. (2008) Physical
377 activity levels in patients with early knee osteoarthritis measured by accelerometry.
378 *Arthritis Rheumatology*. 59, (9), 1229–36.
- 379 14. Nicolson P, Bennell K, Dobson F, Van Ginckel A, Holden M, Hinman R. (2017b)
380 Interventions to increase adherence to therapeutic exercise in older adults with low
381 back pain and/or hip/knee osteoarthritis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *British*
382 *Journal of Sports Medicine*. 51, (10), 791-799.
- 383 15. Kori S, Miller R, Todd D. (1990) Kinesiophobia: a new view of chronic pain
384 behavior. *Pain Management*. 3,(1), 35–43.
- 385 16. Sanchez- Heran A, Agudo-Carmona D, Ferrer- Pena R, Lopez-de-Uralde- Villanueva
386 I, Gil-Martinez A, Paris-Aleman A, La Touche R. (2016) Postural Stability in
387 Osteoarthritis of the Knee and Hip: Analysis of Association With Pain Catastrophizing
388 and Fear-Avoidance Beliefs. *Journal of injury, function and rehabilitation*. 8, (7), 618-
389 628.
- 390 17. Bennell K, Ahamed Y, Jull G, Bryant C, Hunt M, Forbes A, Kasza J, Akram M,
391 Metcalf B, Harris A, Egerton T, Kenardy J, Nicholas M, Keefe F. (2016) Physical
392 therapist- delivered pain coping and skills training and exercise for knee osteoarthritis:
393 Randomised Controlled Trial. *Arthritis Care & Research*. 68, (5).
- 394 18. Altman R, Asch E, Bloch D, Bole G, Borenstein D, Brandt K, Christy W, Cooked T,
395 Greenwald R, Hochberg M, Howell D, Kaplan D, Koopman W, Longley S, Mankin H,
396 McShane D, Medsger T, Meenan R, Mikkelsen W, Moskowitz R, Murphy W,
397 Rothschild B, Segal M, Sokoloff L, Wolfe F. (1986) Development of criteria for the
398 classification and reporting of osteoarthritis. *Arthritis and Rheumatism*. 29, (8), 1039-
399 1049.
- 400 19. Bijlsma J, Bernbaum F, Lafeber F. (2011) Osteoarthritis: an update with relevance for
401 clinical practice. *Lancet*. 377, 2115-2126.
- 402 20. Juhl C, Christensen R, Roos E, Zhang W, Lund H. (2014) Impact of exercise type and
403 dose on pain and disability in knee osteoarthritis. *Arthritis and Rheumatology*. 66, (3),
404 622-639.
- 405 21. De Carlo M, Armstrong B. (2010) Rehabilitation of the Knee following Sports
406 injuries. *Clinics in Sports Medicine*. 29, (1), 81-106.
- 407 22. Gurol-Urganci I, de Jongh T, Vodopivec- Jamsek V, Atun R, Car J. (2013) Mobile
408 phone messaging reminders for attendance at healthcare appointments. *Cochrane*
409 *Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2.

- 410 23. Branstrom H, Fahlstrom M. (2008) Kinesiophobia in patients with chronic
411 musculoskeletal pain: differences between men and women. *Journal of Rehabilitation*
412 *Medicine*. 40, 375-380.
- 413 24. Sullivan M, Standish W. (2003) Psychologically based occupational rehabilitation:
414 The pain-disability prevention programme. *Clinics Journal of Pain*. 19, 97-104.
- 415 25. Koho P, Aho S, Watson P, Hurri, H. (2001) Assessment of chronic pain behaviour:
416 reliability of the method and its relationship with perceived disability, physical
417 impairment and function. *Journal of Rehabilitation Medicine*. 33,(3), 128-132.
- 418 26. Hapidou E, O'Brien M, Pierrynowski M, de las Heras E, Patel M, Patla T. (2012)
419 Fear and Avoidance of movement in people with chronic pain: Psychometric
420 properties of the 11-item Tampa Scale for Kinesiophobia (TSK -11). *Physiotherapy*
421 *Canada*. 64, (3). 234-241.
- 422 27. Ostelo R, Swimkels- Meewisse I, Vlaeyen J, Knol D, de Vet H. (2007) Assessing pain
423 and pain-related fear in acute low back pain: what is the smallest detectable change?
424 *Behavioral Medicine*.14, (4), 242-248.
- 425 28. Tackas J, Krowchuk N, Garland S, Carpenter M, Hunt M. (2017) Dynamic balance
426 training improves physical function in individuals with knee osteoarthritis: a pilot
427 randomised controlled trial. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*. 98, (8),
428 1586-1593.
- 429 29. Collins N, Misra D, Felson D, Crossley K, Roos E. (2011) Measures of knee function:
430 International Knee Documentation Committee (IKDC) Subjective Knee Evaluation
431 Form, Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS), Knee Injury and
432 Osteoarthritis Outcome Score Physical Function Short Form (KOOS-PS), Knee
433 Outcome Survey Activities of Daily Living Scale (KOS-ADL), Lysholm Knee Scoring
434 Scale, Oxford Knee Score (OKS), Western Ontario and McMaster Universities
435 Osteoarthritis Index (WOMAC), Activity Rating Scale (ARS), and Tegner Activity
436 Score (TAS). *Arthritis Care Research*. 63, (11), S208-S228.
- 437 30. Goncalves R, Cabri J, Pinheiro J, Ferreira P. (2009) Cross-cultural adaptation and
438 validation of the portuguese version of the Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome
439 Score. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage*. 17, 1156-1162.
- 440 31. Ornetti P, Parratte S, Gossec L, Tavernier C, Argenson J, Roos E. (2008) Cross-
441 cultural adaptation and validation of the French version of the Knee Injury and
442 Osteoarthritis Outcome Score. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage*.16, 423-428.

- 443 32. Felson, D, Lawrence R, Dieppe P, Hirsch R, Helmick C, Jordan J, Kington R, Lane N,
444 Nevitt M, Zhang Y, Sowers M, McAlindon T, Spector T, Poole A, Yanovski S,
445 Ateshian G, Sharma L, Buckwalter J, Brandt K, Fries J. (2000) Osteoarthritis: new
446 insights. Part 1: the disease and its risk factors. *Annals of Internal Medicine*. 133, (8),
447 635-646.
- 448 33. Esser S, Bailey A. (2011) Effects of exercise and physical activity on knee
449 osteoarthritis. *Current Pain and Headache Reports*. 15, 423-430.
- 450 34. Fransen M & McConnell S. (2008) Exercise for osteoarthritis of the knee. *Cochrane*
451 *Database Systematic Review*.
- 452 35. Fitzgerald G, White D, Piva S. (2012) Associations for change in physical factors and
453 treatment response following exercise in knee osteoarthritis: an exploratory study.
454 *Arthritis Care & Research*. 64, (11).
- 455 36. Filardo G, Merli G, Marcacci T, Ceroni B, Raboni D, Bortolotti B, De Pasqual L,
456 Marcacci M. (2015) Patient kinesiophobia affects both recovery time and final
457 outcome after total knee arthroplasty. *Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology,*
458 *Arthroscopy*. 1-7.
- 459 37. Leeuw M, Goossens M, Linton S, Crombez G, Boersma K, Vlaeyen J. (2007) The
460 Fear-Avoidance Model of Musculoskeletal Pain: Current State of Scientific Evidence.
461 *Journal of Behavioral Medicine*. 30, (1), 77-94.
- 462 38. Goodin B, McGuire L, Allshouse M, Stapleton L, Haythornwaite J, Burns N, Mayes
463 L, Edwards R. (2009) Associations between catastrophizing and endogenous pain-
464 inhibitory processes: sex differences. *Journal of Pain*. 10, (2), 180-190.
- 465 39. Heuts P, Vlaeyen J, Roelofs J, De Bie R, Aretz K, Van Weel C, Van Schayck O.
466 (2004) Pain related fear and daily functioning in patients with osteoarthritis. *Pain*. 20,
467 103-110.

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

483