



University of
Salford
MANCHESTER

Corrections to : The effect of Nordic hamstring exercise intervention volume on eccentric strength and muscle architecture adaptations : a systematic review and meta-analyses

Cuthbert, M, Ripley, N, McMahon, JJ, Evans, M, Haff, GG and Comfort, P

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s40279-019-01208-4>

Title	Corrections to : The effect of Nordic hamstring exercise intervention volume on eccentric strength and muscle architecture adaptations : a systematic review and meta-analyses
Authors	Cuthbert, M, Ripley, N, McMahon, JJ, Evans, M, Haff, GG and Comfort, P
Publication title	Sports Medicine
Publisher	Springer International Publishing
Type	Article
USIR URL	This version is available at: http://usir.salford.ac.uk/id/eprint/57212/
Published Date	2020

USIR is a digital collection of the research output of the University of Salford. Where copyright permits, full text material held in the repository is made freely available online and can be read, downloaded and copied for non-commercial private study or research purposes. Please check the manuscript for any further copyright restrictions.

For more information, including our policy and submission procedure, please contact the Repository Team at: library-research@salford.ac.uk.



Corrections to: The Effect of Nordic Hamstring Exercise Intervention Volume on Eccentric Strength and Muscle Architecture Adaptations: A Systematic Review and Meta-analyses

Matthew Cuthbert^{1,2} · Nicholas Ripley¹ · John J. McMahon¹ · Martin Evans² · G. Gregory Haff^{1,3} · Paul Comfort^{1,4}

Published online: 7 November 2019
© The Author(s) 2019

Correction to: Sports Medicine
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-019-01178-7>

Page 5, column 1, section 3.2, paragraph 1, sentence 1: The following sentence, which previously read:

“Consistency between the studies assessed for both hamstring strength measures and muscle architecture was moderate to high, with I^2 values of 62.49% and 88.03%, respectively.”

Should read:

“Consistency between the studies assessed for both hamstring strength measures and muscle architecture was moderate to high, with I^2 values of 58.58% and 88.03%, respectively.”

Page 5, columns 1–2, section 3.2, paragraph 1, sentence 3: The following sentence, which previously read:

“Two risk of bias assessments were also performed, the first (Cochrane risk of bias assessment tool) showing a low

risk of bias overall within the randomized controlled studies included in this review (Fig. 2), the second identifying the results of this meta-analysis are not subject to publication bias ($p < 0.001$) with 250 and 663 “filed-away” studies needed to prove null effects of NHE interventions on strength and architecture, respectively.”

Should read:

“Two risk of bias assessments were also performed, the first (Cochrane risk of bias assessment tool) showing a low risk of bias overall within the randomized controlled studies included in this review (Fig. 2), the second identifying the results of this meta-analysis are not subject to publication bias ($p < 0.001$) with 178 and 663 “filed-away” studies needed to prove null effects of NHE interventions on strength and architecture, respectively.”

Pages 5–6, columns 2 (page 5) and 1 (page 6), section 3.3, paragraph 1, sentence 6: The following sentence, which previously read:

“The pooled summary of variance from the random-effects model was 0.374 ($p = 0.009$, 95% CI 0.94–0.655) for strength and 0.793 ($p < 0.001$, 95% CI 0.338–1.248) for muscle architecture.”

Should read:

“The pooled summary of variance from the random-effects model was 0.439 ($p = 0.001$, 95% CI 0.160–0.709) for strength and 0.793 ($p < 0.001$, 95% CI 0.338–1.248) for muscle architecture.”

Page 11, Table 1, Alt et al. [58] row: The cell entry in column 1, which previously read:

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-019-01178-7>.

✉ Matthew Cuthbert
m.cuthbert@edu.salford.ac.uk

- ¹ Human Performance Laboratory, University of Salford, Greater Manchester, UK
- ² The FA Group, St George’s Park, Burton-upon-Trent, Staffordshire, UK
- ³ Centre for Exercise and Sports Sciences Research (CESSR), School of Exercise and Health Sciences, Edith Cowan University, Joondalup, Australia
- ⁴ Institute for Sport, Physical Activity and Leisure, Carnegie School of Sport, Leeds Beckett University, Leeds, UK

“Alt et al. [58]”

Should read:

“Alt et al. [48]”

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.